

Homework 2

Tuesday, February 23, 2021 1:41 PM

Problem 2.1

a) let $S \sim$ training data from D

$f_S =$ the best performing algorithm

$$f_S = \arg \min_{f \in F} L_S(f)$$

$$L(f_{\text{Bayes}}) = 0 \quad (\text{realizability})$$

$$L_D(f_S) - L(f_{\text{Bayes}}) = (L_D(f_S) - L_D(f_{\text{Bayes}})) + (L_D(f_{\text{Bayes}}) - L(f_{\text{Bayes}}))$$
$$\leq L_D(f_S) + (L_D(f_{\text{Bayes}}) - L(f_{\text{Bayes}}))$$
$$\leq L_D(f_S) + 0 = L_D(f_S)$$

PAC learnable condition

$$P[L_D(f_S) \leq \epsilon] \geq 1 - \delta$$
$$1 \geq 1 - \delta \quad \checkmark$$

PAC learnable given agnostic PAC + realizability

$$b) L_D(f_A) \leq \arg \min_{f \in F} L_D(f) + \epsilon$$

$$\text{Since } f_{\text{Bayes}} \in F \rightarrow \arg \min_{f \in F} L_D(f) = 0$$

$$L(f_A) \leq \epsilon \quad \therefore \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{it is a successful} \\ \text{pac learner} \\ > 1-\delta \end{array}$$

Problem 2.2

$$a) \quad \mathbb{E}[L_D(h_{\text{best}})] \leq \mathbb{E}[L_D(h_S)]$$

any function's loss is expected to be lower
bounded by the best performing algorithm

Since $L_D(h_{\text{best}})$ is a constant

$$L_D(h_{\text{best}}) \leq \mathbb{E}[L_D(h_S)]$$

$$h_S = \arg \min_{h \in F} L_S(h)$$

h_S is the best possible performance on the sampled data in any other function (including h_{best}) would be lower bounded by h_S on the training data.

$$E[L_S(h_S)] \leq E[L_S(h_{\text{best}})]$$

$$E[L_S(h_S)] \leq L_S(h_{\text{best}})$$

$$L_S(h_{\text{best}}) = L_D(h_{\text{best}})$$

$$E[L_S(h_S)] \leq L_D(h_{\text{best}}) \leq E[L_D(h_S)]$$

$$b) 2 \exp\left(-\frac{2\epsilon^2}{\sum c_i^2}\right) \geq 1 - \delta$$

$$1 - \frac{2\epsilon^2}{\sum c_i^2} \geq 1 - \delta$$

$$\ln \left(2 \exp \left(\frac{-2\epsilon^2}{\sum_i c_i^2} \right) \right) \geq \ln(1-\delta)$$

$$\frac{-2\epsilon^2}{\sum_i c_i^2} \ln 2 \geq \ln(1) - \ln(\delta)$$

$$\frac{-2\epsilon^2}{\sum_i c_i^2} \geq \frac{\ln(1) - \ln(\delta)}{\ln 2}$$

$$-2\epsilon^2 \geq \frac{\ln(1/\delta)}{\frac{\ln 2}{\sum_i c_i^2} m}$$

$$\epsilon \leq \sqrt{\frac{\ln(1/\delta)}{\frac{\ln 2}{\sum_i c_i^2} m}}$$

c) Confidence increases with a decrease in c_i and m .

$$P_{n+1} \quad \gamma \quad 2 \quad 1 \perp \overline{w} = \left[\frac{b}{a} \right]^\uparrow$$

Problem 2.3 let $\bar{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w \\ b \end{bmatrix}$

$$a) \min \sum (w^T x_i + b - y_i)^2 + \lambda \|w\|^2 + \epsilon b^2$$

$$x^T x w + b - y_i^2 + \lambda w^T (X^T) w + b^T \epsilon b + \bar{w}^T Q \bar{w}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}} (\lambda^T \lambda w + b - y_i)^2 + \bar{w}^T Q w$$

$$\lambda^T \lambda \bar{w} - x^T y + Q \bar{w} = 0$$

$$\lambda^T \lambda \bar{w} + Q \bar{w} = x^T y$$

$$(\lambda^T \lambda + Q) \bar{w} = x^T y$$

$$C \bar{w} = x^T y$$

e) the indirect RLS is computationally slower and slows down \hat{x} . It has the benefit of not requiring a direct calculation of C^{-1} , which may or may not be possible