# Chapter 11: Functional Languages

CSE 216 - PROGRAMMING ABSTRACTIONS

HTTPS://PPAWAR.GITHUB.IO/CSE216-S19/INDEX.HTML

## **Historical Origins**

- The imperative and functional models grew out of work undertaken Alan Turing, Alonzo Church, Stephen Kleene, Emil Post, etc. ~1930s
- Turing's model of computing was the *Turing machine* a sort of pushdown automaton using an unbounded storage "tape"
  - the Turing machine computes in an imperative way, by changing the values in cells of its tape like variables just as a high level imperative program computes by changing the values of variables
  - https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gJQTFhkhwPA

## **Historical Origins**

- Church-Turing thesis
  - A function on the natural numbers is computable by a human being following an algorithm, ignoring resource limitations, if and only if it is computable by a Turing machine.
- Church's model of computing is called the *lambda* calculus (also written as  $\lambda$ -calculus)
  - is a formal system in mathematical logic for expressing computation based on function abstraction and application using variable binding and substitution
  - based on the notion of parameterized expressions (with each parameter introduced by an occurrence of the letter  $\lambda$ —hence the notation's name)

#### λ-calculus

• Terms are built using only the following rules producing expressions such as: producing expressions such as:  $(\lambda x.\lambda y.(\lambda z.(\lambda x.z x) (\lambda y.z y)) (x y))$ 

Syntax	Name	Description
X	Variable	A character or string representing a parameter or mathematical/logical value
(λx.M)	Abstraction	Function definition (M is a lambda term). The variable x becomes bound in the expression.
(M N)	Application	Applying a function to an argument. M and N are lambda terms.

- alpha equivalence:  $\lambda a.a = \lambda b.b$
- beta substitution:  $(\lambda a.aa) b = bb$
- <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eis11j">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eis11j</a> iGMs

- Functional languages such as Lisp, Scheme, FP, ML, Miranda, and Haskell are an attempt to realize Church's lambda calculus in practical form as a programming language
- The key idea: do everything by composing functions
- So how do you get anything done in a functional language?
  - Recursion takes the place of iteration
  - First-call functions take value inputs
  - Higher-order functions take a function as input

- So how do you get anything done in a functional language?
  - Recursion (especially tail recursion which uses accumulator) takes the place of iteration
  - In general, you can get the effect of a series of assignments

```
x := 0
x := expr1
x := expr2
...
```

from f3(f2(f1(0))), where each f expects the value of x as an argument, f1 returns expr1, and f2 returns expr2

• Recursion even does a nifty job of replacing looping

becomes f(0,1,100), where

$$f(x,i,j) == if i < j then$$
  
 $f(x+i*j, i+1, j-1) else x$ 

- Necessary features, many of which are missing in some imperative languages
  - 1st class and high-order functions
  - Extensive polymorphism use function on as general a class of arguments
  - powerful list facilities
  - structured function returns return structured types such as arrays from functions
  - garbage collection

## LISP languages

- All of them use (symbolic) s-expression syntax: (+ 1 2).
- LISP is old dates back to 1958 only Fortran is older.
- Anything in parentheses is a function call (unless quoted)
  - (+ 1 2) evaluates to 3
  - (\* 5 (+ 7 3)) evaluates to 50.
  - -((+12)) <-error, since 3 is not a function.
  - by default, s-expressions are evaluated. We can use the quote special form to stop that: (quote (+ 1 2))
  - Short form: '(+ 1 2) is a list containing +, 1, 2

- Scheme is a particularly elegant Lisp
- Other functional languages
  - ML
  - Miranda
  - Haskell
  - FP
- Haskell is the leading language for research in functional programming

#### **Evaluation Order**

### applicative order:

• evaluates arguments before passing them to a function:

```
((lambda (x) (* x x)) (+ 1 2))
((lambda (x) (* x x) 3)
(* 3 3)
```

#### normal order:

• passes in arguments before evaluating them:

```
((lambda (x) (* x x)) (+ 1 2))
(* (+ 1 2) (+ 1 2))
(* 3 3)
9
```

-Note: we might want normal order in some code.

(if-tuesday (do-tuesday)) // do-tuesday might print something and we want it only if it's Tuesday

## **Evaluation Order Example**

- ((lambda (x y) (if x (+ y y) 0) t (\* 10 10))
- Applicative order:

```
((lambda (x y) (if x (+ y y) 0) t 100)
(if t (+ 100 100) 0)
(+ 100 100)
200
–(four steps!)
```

• Normal Order:

```
(if t (+ (* 10 10) (* 10 10)) 0)
(+ (* 10 10) (* 10 10))
(+ 100 (* 10 10))
(+ 100 100)
200
–(five steps!)
```

## **High-Order Functions**

- Higher-order functions
  - Take a function as argument, or return a function as a result
  - Great for building things
  - Currying (after Haskell Curry, the same guy Haskell is named after)
    - For details see Lambda calculus on CD
    - ML, Miranda, OCaml, and Haskell have especially nice syntax for curried functions

## **Currying**

A common operation, named for logician Haskell Curry, is to replace a multiargument function with a function that takes a single argument and returns a function that expects the remaining arguments:

Among other things, currying gives us the ability to pass a "partially applied" function to a higher-order function:

```
(map (curried-plus 3) '(1 2 3)) ⇒ (4 5 6)
```

• Some languages use currying as their main function-calling semantics (ML): **fun add a b : int = a + b;** ML's calling conventions make this easier to work with: **add 1** 

add 1 2 (There's no need to delimit arguments.)

## **Pattern Matching**

- It's common for FP languages to include pattern matching operations:
  - •matching on value,
  - •matching on type,
  - •matching on structure (useful for lists).
  - -ML example:

```
fun sum_even 1 =
case l of
nil => 0
| b :: nil => 0
| a :: b :: t => h + sum even t;
```

## **Functional Programming in Perspective**

- Advantages of functional languages
  - lack of side effects makes programs easier to understand
  - lack of explicit evaluation order (in some languages) offers possibility of parallel evaluation (e.g. MultiLisp)
  - lack of side effects and explicit evaluation order simplifies some things for a compiler (provided you don't blow it in other ways)
  - programs are often surprisingly short
  - language can be extremely small and yet powerful

## **Functional Programming in Perspective**

#### Problems

- -difficult (but not impossible!) to implement efficiently on von Neumann machines
  - •lots of copying of data through parameters
  - •frequent procedure calls
  - •heavy space use for recursion
  - •requires garbage collection
  - •requires a different mode of thinking by the programmer
  - •difficult to integrate I/O into purely functional model