

## ML01 – Spring 2019

### Lab 2: Exploratory data analysis, $k$ nearest neighbor regression and classification, normal data generation

#### 1 Exploratory data analysis and $K$ nearest neighbor regression

The data in the file `prostate.data` come from a study by Stamey et al. (1989) that examined the correlation between the level of prostate specific antigen (PSA) and a number of clinical measures, in 97 men who were about to receive a radical prostatectomy. The goal is to predict the log of PSA (`lpsa`) from a number of measurements including log cancer volume (`lcavol`), log prostate weight `lweight`, `age`, log of benign prostatic hyperplasia amount `lbph`, seminal vesicle invasion `svi`, log of capsular penetration `lcp`, Gleason score `gleason`, and percent of Gleason scores 4 or 5 `pgg45`.

1. Read the data file. What are the different data types? (Use the function `summary`).
2. Display the data using scatter plots (function `plot`) and boxplots (function `boxplot`). Which variables seem to explain the response variable `lpsa`?
3. Predict `lpsa` from input variables `lcavol`, `lweight`, `age` and `lbph` using  $k$  nearest neighbor regression (function `knn.reg` in package `FNN`). (Use the partition between training and test data encoded in variable `train`. Normalize the input data using function `scale`).
4. Represent graphically the test mean-squared error as a function of  $K$ . Which value of  $K$  seems to be optimal?

#### 2 Normal data generation and $k$ nearest neighbor regression

We consider a classification problem with  $k = 3$  classes and  $p = 2$  input variables. Let  $Y \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  denote the class variables and  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2)^T$

the feature vector. The marginal distribution of  $Y$  is defined by the following “prior probabilities” :

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = 1) = 0.3, \quad \mathbb{P}(Y = 2) = 0.2, \quad \mathbb{P}(Y = 3) = 0.5,$$

and the conditional densities of  $\mathbf{X}$  given  $Y = k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3$  are multivariate normal distributions  $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 &= (0, 0)^T, \quad \boldsymbol{\mu}_2 = (0, 2)^T, \quad \boldsymbol{\mu}_3 = (2, 0)^T, \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -0.5 \\ -0.5 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

To generate an instance, we can first generate a realization of  $Y$  (using function `sample`), and then a realization of  $\mathbf{X}$  from the condition distribution (using function `rmvnorm` of package `mvtnorm`).

1. Write a function `gen.data` that generates a data set of size  $N$ . Generate a training set of size  $N = 100$  and a test set of size  $N_t = 1000$ . Plot the training data.
2. Classify the test set using the training set and the  $k$  nearest neighbor rule with  $k = 5$  (function `knn` of package `FNN`). What is the test error rate (the proportion of misclassified data in the test set) ?
3. Repeat the previous question with different values of  $k$  and different values of  $N$ .
4. Plot the average test error rate as a function of  $k$  for  $M = 10$  training sets of size  $N = 100$ , and  $M = 10$  training sets of size  $N = 500$ . What do you observe ?