Coursework 5: STAT 570

Philip Pham

November 1, 2018

1. Consider the data given in Table 1, which are a simplified version of those reported in Breslow and Day (1980). These data arose from a case-control study that was carried out to investigate the relationship between esophageal cancer and various risk factors. Disease status is denoted Y with Y=0 and Y=1 corresponding to without/with disease and alcohol consumption is represented by X with X=0 and X=1 denoting less than 80g and greater than or equal to 80g on average per day. Let the probabilities of high alcohol consumption in the cases and controls be denoted

$$p_1 = \mathbb{P}(X = 1 \mid Y = 1) \text{ and } p_2 = \mathbb{P}(X = 1 \mid Y = 0),$$
 (1)

respectively. Further, let X_1 be the number exposed from n_1 cases and X_2 be the number exposed from n_2 controls. Suppose $X_i \mid p_i \sim \text{Binomial}(n_i, p_i)$ in the case (i = 1) and control (i = 2) groups.

(a) Of particular interest in studies such as this is the odds ratio defined by

$$\theta = \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y = 1 \mid X = 1) / \mathbb{P}(Y = 0 \mid X = 1)}{\mathbb{P}(Y = 1 \mid X = 0) / \mathbb{P}(Y = 0 \mid X = 0)}.$$
 (2)

Show that the odds ratio is equal to

$$\theta = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X=1 \mid Y=1) / \mathbb{P}(X=0 \mid Y=1)}{\mathbb{P}(X=1 \mid Y=0) / \mathbb{P}(X=0 \mid Y=0)} = \frac{p_1/(1-p_1)}{p_2/(1-p_2)}.$$
 (3)

Solution: We have that

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = y \mid X = x) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X = x \mid Y = y) \mathbb{P}(Y = y)}{\mathbb{P}(X = x)}$$
(4)

by Bayes' rule. Applying Equation 4 to Equation 2, we get

$$\theta = \frac{\left[\mathbb{P}(X=1 \mid Y=1)\,\mathbb{P}(Y=1)\right]/\left[\mathbb{P}(X=0 \mid Y=1)\,\mathbb{P}(Y=0)\right]}{\left[\mathbb{P}(X=0 \mid Y=1)\,\mathbb{P}(Y=1)\right]/\left[\mathbb{P}(X=0 \mid Y=0)\,\mathbb{P}(Y=0)\right]}.$$
 (5)

The $\mathbb{P}(Y=y)$ factors cancel and we obtain the first part of Equation 3. Using Equation 1, we substitute to obtain the second part of Equation 3.

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} & X = 0 & X = 1 \\ \hline Y = 1 & 104 & 96 & 200 \\ Y = 0 & 666 & 109 & 775 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Table 1: Case-control data: Y = 1 corresponds to the event of esophageal cancer, and X = 1 exposure to greater than 80g of alcohol per day. There are 200 cases and 775 controls.

(b) Obtain the MLE and a 90% confidence interval for θ , for the data of Table 1.

Solution: The likelihood and log-likelihood functions are

$$L(p_1, p_2) = \binom{n_1}{x_1} p_1^{x_1} (1 - p_1)^{n_1 - x_1} + \binom{n_2}{x_2} p_2^{x_2} (1 - p_2)^{n_2 - x_2}$$

$$l(p_1, p_2) = \log L(p_1, p_2)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[\log \binom{n_i}{x_i} + x_i \log p_i + (n_i - x_i) \log (1 - p_i) \right],$$
(6)

so the score function is

$$S(p_1, p_2) = \nabla \log L(p_1, p_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x_1 - n_1 p_1}{p_1 (1 - p_1)} \\ \frac{x_2 - n_2 p_2}{p_2 (1 - p_2)} \end{pmatrix}$$
(7)

Thus, the Fisher information is

$$I(p_1, p_2) = mathbb{E} \left[S(p_1, p_2) S(p_1, p_2)^{\mathsf{T}} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{n_1}{p_1(1-p_1)} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{n_2}{p_2(1-p_2)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

From Equation 7, we can solve $S(\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2) = \mathbf{0}$ to get the MLEs $\hat{p}_1 = x_1/n_1$ and $\hat{p}_2 = x_2/n_2$. Since the MLE is invariant to reparameterization, we have the MLE for θ :

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{\hat{p}_1/(1-\hat{p}_1)}{\hat{p}_2/(1-\hat{p}_2)} = \frac{1992}{1417} \approx 5.640.$$
 (9)

We estimate the confidence interval for $\log \hat{\theta}$ which works since \log is a monotonic transform. Using the delta method and Equation 8, we have that

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\log \hat{\theta}\right) \approx \left(\nabla \log \hat{\theta}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} \left(I\left(\hat{p}_{1}, \hat{p}_{2}\right)\right)^{-1} \left(\nabla \log \hat{\theta}\right) \\
= \left(\frac{1}{\hat{p}_{1}(1-\hat{p}_{1})} \quad \frac{1}{\hat{p}_{2}(1-\hat{p}_{2})}\right) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\hat{p}_{1}(1-\hat{p}_{1})}{n_{1}} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{\hat{p}_{2}(1-\hat{p}_{2})}{n_{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\hat{p}_{1}(1-\hat{p}_{1})} \\ \frac{1}{\hat{p}_{2}(1-\hat{p}_{2})} \end{pmatrix} \\
= \frac{1}{n_{1}\hat{p}_{1}\left(1-\hat{p}_{1}\right)} + \frac{1}{n_{2}\hat{p}_{2}\left(1-\hat{p}_{2}\right)} \\
= \frac{1}{n_{1}\hat{p}_{1}} + \frac{1}{n_{1}\left(1-\hat{p}_{1}\right)} + \frac{1}{n_{2}\hat{p}_{2}} + \frac{1}{n_{2}\left(1-\hat{p}_{2}\right)}. \tag{10}$$

Numerically, this is $\operatorname{Var}\left(\log \hat{\theta}\right) \approx 0.0307$.

The 90% confidence interval for $\log \hat{\theta}$ is approximately

$$\left(\log \hat{\theta} - \Phi^{-1}(0.95)\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\log \hat{\theta}\right)}, \log \hat{\theta} + \Phi^{-1}(0.95)\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\log \hat{\theta}\right)}\right), (11)$$

which is about (1.441, 2.018). Taking the exponent of both sides, we have a 90% confidence interval for $\hat{\theta}$ of (4.228, 7.524).

(c) We now consider a Bayesian analysis. Assume that the prior distribution for p_i is the beta distribution Beta (a, b) for i = 1, 2. Show that the posterior distribution $p_i \mid x_i$ is given by the beta distribution Beta $(a + x_i, b + n_i - x_i)$, i = 1, 2.

Solution: From Equation 6, we have that the posterior:

$$p(p_i \mid X_i = x_i) \propto \mathbb{P}(X_i = x_i \mid p_i) p(p_i)$$
$$\propto p_i^{x_i + a - 1} (1 - p_i)^{n_i - x_i + b - 1}.$$

Integration from 0 to 1, we have the beta fuction, so

$$p(p_i \mid X_i = x_i) = \frac{\Gamma(a + x_i + b + n_i - x_i)}{\Gamma(a + x_i)\Gamma(b + n_i - x_i)} p_i^{a + x_i - 1} (1 - p_i)^{b + n_i - x_i - 1}, \quad (12)$$

which is the Beta $(a + x_i, b + n_i - x_i)$ distribution.

(d) Consider the case a = b = 1. Obtain expressions for the posterior mean, mode, and standard deviation. Evaluate these posterior summaries for the data of Table 1. Report 90% posterior credible intervals for p_1 and p_2 .

Solution: For a = b = 1, we have that $p_1 \mid x_1 \sim \text{Beta}(97, 105)$ and $p_2 \mid x_2 \sim \text{Beta}(110, 667)$.

For the posterior means, we have that $\mathbb{E}[p_1 \mid x_1] = 97/202$ and $\mathbb{E}[p_2 \mid x_2] = 110/777$.

The mode of a Beta (α, β) distributed random variable is $\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+\beta-2}$. So, for the posterior modes, we have that mode $(p_1 \mid x_1) = 12/25$ and mode $(p_2 \mid x_2) = 109/775$.

The variance of a Beta (α, β) distributed random variable is $\frac{\alpha\beta}{(\alpha+\beta)^2(\alpha+\beta+1)}$. For $p_1 \mid x_1$ and $p_2 \mid x_2$, we have standard errors:

$$\sigma_{p_1|x_1} = \frac{1}{202} \sqrt{\frac{10185}{203}} \approx 0.0351$$

$$\sigma_{p_2|x_2} = \frac{1}{777} \sqrt{\frac{36685}{389}} \approx 0.0125.$$

For the 90% credible interval, I choose l and u such that $\mathbb{P}([l,u]) = 0.9$, $\mathbb{P}((-\infty,l)) = 0.05$ and $\mathbb{P}((u,\infty)) = 0.05$. This is called the *equal-tailed* interval.

For $p_1 \mid x_1$, the interval is [0.4226, 0.5380]. For $p_2 \mid x_2$, the inverval is [0.1215, 0.1626] This is computed numerically with scipy.stats.beta.interval in case_control.ipynb.

(e) Obtain the asymptotic form of the posterior distribution and obtain 90% credible intervals for p_1 and p_2 . Compare this interval with the exact calculation of the previous part.

Solution:

2. (a) Consider the likelihood, $\hat{\theta} \mid \theta \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, V)$ and the prior $\theta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, W)$ with V and W known. Show that $\theta \mid \hat{\theta} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(r\hat{\theta}, rV\right)$, where r = W/(V + W).

Solution: This result follows from the conjugacy of the normal distribution with

itself:

$$\begin{split} p\left(\theta\mid\hat{\theta}\right) &\propto p\left(\hat{\theta}\mid\theta\right)p\left(\theta\right) \\ &\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2V}\left(\hat{\theta}-\theta\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2W}\theta^2\right) \\ &\propto \exp\left(-\frac{V+W}{2\left(VW\right)}\left(\frac{W}{V+W}\hat{\theta}^2 - 2\frac{W}{V+W}\hat{\theta}\theta + \theta^2\right)\right) \\ &\propto \exp\left(-\frac{V+W}{2\left(VW\right)}\left(\theta - \frac{W}{V+W}\hat{\theta}\right)^2\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\left(rV\right)}\left(\theta - r\hat{\theta}\right)^2\right) \end{split}$$

after completing the square. We recognize this distribution as being part of the normal family, which gives us the result.

(b) Suppose we wish to compare the models M_0 : $\theta = 0$ versus M_1 : $\theta \neq 0$. Show that the Bayes factor is given by

$$\frac{p\left(\hat{\theta}\mid M_0\right)}{p\left(\hat{\theta}\mid M_1\right)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-r}}\exp\left(-\frac{Z^2}{2}r\right),\tag{13}$$

where $Z = \hat{\theta}/\sqrt{V}$.

Solution:

(c) Suppose we have a prior probability $\pi_1 = \mathbb{P}(M_1)$ of model M_1 being true. Write down an expression for the posterior probability $\mathbb{P}(M_1 \mid \hat{\theta})$ in terms of the Bayes factor.

Solution: