## Coursework 2: STAT 570

## Philip Pham

October 9, 2018

1. Consider the simple linear regression model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i, \ i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where the error terms  $\epsilon_i$  are such that  $\mathbb{E}\left[\epsilon_i\right] = 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Var}\left(\epsilon_i\right) = \sigma^2$ , and  $\operatorname{Cov}\left(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j\right) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .

In the following you will consider  $x_i \sim_{\text{iid}} \mathcal{N}(20, 3^2)$ , with  $\beta_0 = 2$  and  $\beta_1 = -2.5$  and n = 15, 30.

Consider the model in Equation 1 with the error terms  $\epsilon_i$ , independent and identically distributed, from the distributions:

- The normal distribution with mean 0 and variance  $2^2$ .
- The uniform distribution on the range (-r, r) for r = 2.
- A skew normal distribution with  $\alpha = 5$ ,  $\omega = 1$ , and  $\xi$  chosen to given mean 0.
- (a) What is the theoretical bias for  $\hat{\beta}$  if the errors are of the form specified?

**Solution:** The theoretical bias for  $\hat{\beta}$  is 0. Let

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ 1 & x_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

If we use the least squares estimate, we have

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^{\mathsf{T}}X)^{-1} X^{\mathsf{T}}y$$

$$= (X^{\mathsf{T}}X)^{-1} X^{\mathsf{T}} (X\beta + \epsilon)$$

$$= \beta + (X^{\mathsf{T}}X)^{-1} X^{\mathsf{T}}\epsilon,$$
(2)

Thus, using Equation 2 and linearity of expectations, we have

$$bias(\hat{\beta}) = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta}] - \beta = \beta + (X^{\mathsf{T}}X)^{-1} X^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbb{E}[\epsilon] - \beta = 0.$$
(3)

(b) Compare the variance of the estimator as reported by least squares, with that which follows from the sampling distribution of the estimator.

Solution:

2. Consider the exponential regression problem with independent responses

$$p(y_i \mid \lambda_i) = \lambda_i \exp(-\lambda_i y_i), y_i > 0, \tag{4}$$

and  $\log \lambda_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i$  for given covariates  $x_i$ , i = 1, ..., n. We wish to estimate the  $2 \times 1$  regression parameter  $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 & \beta_1 \end{pmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}$  using maximum likelihood estimation (MLE).

(a) Find expressions for the likelihood function  $L(\beta)$ , log-likelihood function  $l(\beta)$ , score function  $S(\beta)$ , and Fisher's information matrix  $I(\beta)$ .

**Solution:** We can rewrite Equation 4 in terms of  $\beta$ , which gives us

$$p(y_i \mid \beta_0, \beta_1) = \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i) \exp(-y_i \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i))$$
  
= \exp(\beta\_0 + \beta\_1 x\_i - y\_i \exp(\beta\_0 + \beta\_1 x\_i)). (5)

Using Equation 5, we can write the likelihood function

$$L(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(y_i \mid \beta_0, \beta_1)$$

$$= \exp\left(n\beta_0 + \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i)\right).$$
 (6)

Taking the log of Equation 6, we have the log-likelihood function as

$$l(\beta) = \log L(\beta)$$

$$= n\beta_0 + \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i).$$
(7)

Taking the gradient of Equation 7, we have the score function

$$S(\beta) = \nabla l(\beta)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} n - \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i) \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(8)

One definition of the Fisher information is the expected value of the observed information which is the negative of the second derivative of the log-likelihood function. For a single observation,

$$\mathcal{I}_{1}(\beta) = \mathbb{E}\left[\begin{pmatrix} Y \exp(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x_{i}) & x_{i}Y \exp(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x_{i}) \\ x_{i}Y \exp(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x_{i}) & x_{i}^{2}Y \exp(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x_{i}) \end{pmatrix} \mid X = x_{i} \right] \\
= \frac{1}{\exp(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x_{i})} \begin{pmatrix} \exp(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x_{i}) & x_{i} \exp(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x_{i}) \\ x_{i} \exp(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x_{i}) & x_{i}^{2} \exp(\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x_{i}) \end{pmatrix} \\
= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{i} \\ x_{i} & x_{i}^{2} \end{pmatrix} \tag{9}$$

by properties of the exponential distribution. Thus, Fisher information is

$$\mathcal{I}_n(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} n & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

i	$x_i$	$y_i$
1	6.1	0.8
2	4.2	3.5
3	0.5	12.4
4	8.8	1.1
5	1.5	8.9
6	9.2	2.4
7	8.5	0.1
8	8.7	0.4
9	6.7	3.5
10	6.5	8.3
11	6.3	2.6
12	6.7	1.5
13	0.2	16.6
14	8.7	0.1
15	7.5	1.3

Table 1: Each observation is a rat.  $x_i$  are the concentrations of the contaminant, and  $y_i$  are the survival times.

(b) Find expressions for the maximum likelihood estimate  $\hat{\beta}$ . If no closed form solution exists, then instead provide a functional form that could be simply implemented for solution.

**Solution:** We can solve for  $\hat{\beta}_0$  in terms of  $\hat{\beta}_1$ . We know that  $S(\hat{\beta}) = \mathbf{0}$ . From Equation 8, we can solve for  $\hat{\beta}_0$ ,

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \log n - \log \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \exp\left(\hat{\beta}_1 x_i\right). \tag{11}$$

Substituing Equation 11 into the second entry of Equation 8, we have

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - \exp\left(\hat{\beta}_0\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i \exp\left(\hat{\beta}_1 x_i\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \exp\left(\hat{\beta}_1 x_i\right)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i \exp\left(\hat{\beta}_1 x_i\right), \tag{12}$$

which we can solve numerically with a root-finding algorithm.

(c) For the data in Table 1, numerically maximize the likelihood function to obtain estimates of  $\beta$ . These data consist of the survival times (y) of rats as function of concentration of a contaminant (x). Find the asymptotic covariance matrix for your estimate using the information  $\mathcal{I}(\beta)$ . Provide a 95% confidence interval for each element of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ .

**Solution:** Numerically solving Equations 11 and 12, we have that

$$\hat{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_0 \\ \hat{\beta}_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2.821150253077923 \\ 0.30133576292327585 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{13}$$

The Fisher information gives a lower bound on the variance according to the Cramér-Rao bound. Asymptotic normality of the MLE tells us that

$$\hat{\beta}_n - \beta \to \mathcal{N}\left(0, \mathcal{I}_n^{-1}\left(\beta\right)\right)$$

in distribution.

Thus, we have the covariance matrix

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}\right) \approx \begin{pmatrix} 15 & 90.1\\ 90.1 & 671.07 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.34448471 & -0.04625162\\ -0.04625162 & 0.00770005 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{14}$$

Using this we can approximate 95% confidence intervals as  $\hat{\beta}_j \pm z_{0.975} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_j\right)}$ , where  $z_p = \Phi^{-1}\left(p\right)$  and  $\Phi$  is the cumulative distribution function of the normal distribution.

We have the confidence intervals

$$\left(\hat{\beta}_0 - 1.150358, \hat{\beta}_0 + 1.150358\right) = (-3.97150839, -1.67079212)$$
$$\left(\hat{\beta}_1 - 0.171986669, \hat{\beta}_1 + 0.171986669\right) = (0.12934909, 0.47332243)$$

for  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$ , respectively.

All calcuations can be found in exponential\_regression.ipynb.