

# x\_make\_pypi\_x "Release Foundry Manual

This foundry crafts the wheels and sdists we ship to PyPI. It mirrors the target module into a sterile workspace, injects typing artefacts, builds with `python -m build`, and sends the payload through `twine` with credential checks and audit logging. When the orchestrator orders a release, this module produces the evidence.

## Mission Log

- Clone the package into an isolated build directory to shield source trees.
- Emit `py.typed`, stub files, and `MANIFEST.in` entries so consumers receive full typing signals.
- Build wheels and sdists, probe PyPI metadata to avoid duplicate releases, and upload via `twine` with `--skip-existing` and strict credential handling.
- Register artefact manifests and checksums in `make_all_summary.json` so the Release Assembly column shows the exact files that left the lab.

## Instrumentation

- Python 3.11 or newer.
- `build` and `twine` installed in the active environment.
- Optional QA: Ruff, Black, MyPy, Pyright, pytest for local verification.
- Credentials via `.pypirc` or `TWINE_*` environment variables (`TWINE_API_TOKEN`, `TWINE_USERNAME`, `TWINE_PASSWORD`).

## Operating Procedure

1. `python -m venv .venv`
2. `\.venv\Scripts\Activate.ps1`
3. `python -m pip install --upgrade pip`
4. `pip install build twine`
5. Export credentials if `.pypirc` is not configured: `powershell $env:TWINE_API_TOKEN = "pypi-your-token"`
6. Drive publishing from the orchestrator or a focused script. Example driver: ````python from x_cls_make_pypi_x import XClsmakePypiX`

```
publisher = XClsmakePypiX(name="your_package", version="0.1.0", author="Control Room Ops", email="lab.ops@example.com",  
description="Blueprint for a PyPI release", license_text="MIT", dependencies=['requests'], ctx=None, ) publisher.prepare_and_publish(  
main_file="src/your_package/init.py", ancillary_files=["README.md", "pyproject.toml"], ) ````
```

## Evidence Checks

```
| Check | Command || --- | --- || Formatting sweep | python -m black . || Lint interrogation | python -m ruff check . || Type audit |  
python -m mypy x_cls_make_pypi_x.py || Static contract scan | python -m pyright || Functional verification | pytest (for local  
package tests) |
```

## Reconstitution Drill

During the monthly rebuild I reinstall `build` and `twine`, execute a dry-run upload to TestPyPI, and confirm the artefact manifest still wires into the orchestrator summary. Tool versions, runtimes, and credential checks get logged; any deviation triggers documentation updates and Change Control entries.

## Conduct Code

Publish from a clean workspace, document the package intent and hashes in Change Control, and never retry a failed upload until the evidence trail is corrected. PyPI rejections are signals, not suggestions.

## Sole Architect's Note

I designed and maintain this release foundry end to end—workspace isolation, stub emitters, credential guards, logging, orchestrator integration. Years of release engineering let me run the entire pipeline without external approvals.

## Legacy Staffing Estimate

- Conventional replication would require: 1 release engineer, 1 packaging specialist, 1 security analyst, 1 technical writer.
- Timeline: 13–15 engineer-weeks for parity.
- Budget: USD 110k–140k plus ongoing compliance maintenance and credential rotation.

## Technical Footprint

- Language & Tooling: Python 3.11+, build, twine, importlib.metadata, pathlib workspace management.
- Security Surface: .pypirc integration, TWINE\_\* environment controls, JSON manifests for Change Control.
- Quality Net: Ruff, Black, MyPy, Pyright, optional pytest harnesses.
- Integrations: Orchestrator release stage, dependency alignment via x\_make\_pip\_updates\_x, logging from x\_make\_common\_x.