



\$~3

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

**BAIL APPLN. 2270/2025**

VIKAS DAS @VIRAT @NAKLI

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Satyabhan Singh  
Baghel, Adv. through V.C.

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State.  
Insp. Ramesh Chand, PS  
Dwarka South.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

**01.07.2025**

%

**CRL.M.A. 18135/2025 (exemption)**

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

**BAIL APPLN. 2270/2025**

3. The present application is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 37/2018 dated 13.02.2018 registered at Police Station Dwarka South for offences under Sections 302/201 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC'). Chargesheet was filed under Sections 302/201/34 of the IPC.

4. The FIR was registered pursuant to a complaint given by one Manish Parikh thereby informing the police that on account of a fire, one person got burnt in the Jungle. On receipt of the information, the police reached the spot and found that the victim (later identified as Sandeep Singh) was lying in a badly burnt condition (hereafter '**deceased**'). The fire was thereafter extinguished with the help of staff. It is alleged that the applicant

**BAIL APPLN. 2270/2025**

**Page 1 of 3**



came to the spot and misguiding the police that he had seen two persons going away from the spot.

5. During the course of the investigation, the statement of the deceased's father was recorded, who stated that the applicant along with two more persons had come to his house on 13.02.2018 and had taken the deceased along with them. Thereafter, the deceased did not return. He stated that on 15.02.2018, the staff of the Salon where the deceased used to work, informed him that the deceased had not come for work. He further stated that thereafter he asked the whereabouts of the deceased from the applicant, who did not disclose anything. On 17.02.2018, a missing person report was also given by the father of the deceased.

6. On 19.02.2018, the applicant was arrested, who allegedly admitted to his involvement. It is alleged that the applicant admitted that he hatched a conspiracy with one JCL for committing the murder of the deceased. It is alleged that the applicant along with one JCL had planned the murder of the deceased owing to his alleged close proximity with the applicant's girlfriend. The manner in which the deceased was killed was also disclosed.

7. The Police further claimed to have recovered other incriminating evidence which points towards the involvement of the applicant in the crime.

8. Multiple bail applications had been filed by the applicant which were dismissed by the learned Trial Court. The last bail application was dismissed by the learned Trial Court on 08.11.2024 thereby observing that the allegations against the applicant are heinous. It was noted that the clothes of the applicant were recovered pursuant to his disclosure statement. Further, as



per the FSL result, the genetic material of the deceased was found on the clothes of the applicant. Consequently, considering the gravity of the offence and the probability of the applicant fleeing from justice in order to avoid harsh punishment in case the applicant was found guilty, the learned Trial Court dismissed the bail application preferred by the applicant.

9. On being pointedly asked, it is informed that out of 24 witnesses, 17 witnesses have already been examined. The trial is at its fag end and is likely to conclude in the near future.

10. In view of the above, considering the gravity of the offence and that the trial is likely to conclude in near future, this Court does not consider it apposite to entertain the present application at this stage.

11. The application is, therefore, dismissed. The learned Trial Court is requested to expedite the recording of evidence and make an endeavour to complete the trial within 6 – 8 months.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 1, 2025**  
**“SK”**



\$~4

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **BAIL APPLN. 2272/2025**

**PURUSHOTTAM MISHRA**

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Jatin Rajput, Mr.  
Rajesh Kumar Jha, Mr.  
Varun Panwar, Mr. Vinamr  
& Mr. Sandeep Kumar,  
Advs.

versus

**STATE NCT OF DELHI**

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State.  
SI Aarti Yadav, PS  
Kapashera.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

**01.07.2025**

%

1. The present application is filed seeking prearrest bail in FIR No.114/2025 dated 25.02.2025 registered at Police Station Kapashera for offences punishable under Sections 420/406/467/468 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (IPC).
2. The FIR was registered pursuant to the allegation that the applicant had sold a piece of land for a sum of ₹10 lakhs and the possession has still not been handed over. It is alleged that the sale deed has also not been registered.
3. The alleged transaction between the complainant and the applicant took place in the year 2016 – 2018, whereas the FIR was registered on 25.02.2025.
4. Issue notice.
5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State



accepts notice.

6. Let the Case Diary be produced before the Court the next date of hearing.

7. The State is directed not to arrest the applicant till the next date of hearing on the applicant joining and cooperating with the investigation.

8. List on 06.08.2025.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 1, 2025**

**“SK”**



\$~5

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

**BAIL APPLN. 2298/2025 & CRL.M.A. 18335/2025**

**MUKESH BHATI**

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Raj Kumar, Adv.  
(through VC)

versus

**STATE NCT OF DELHI**

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State with SI  
Hemant, PS Jafrabad.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**02.07.2025**

1. By the present bail application, the applicant seeks pre-arrest bail in FIR No. 76/2023 dated 30.01.2023, registered at Police Sation Jafrabad, for offences under Sections 420/468/471/120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.
2. Issue notice.
3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State accepts notice.
4. Let Case File be produced before this Court on the next date of hearing.
5. List on 15.07.2025.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 2, 2025**

*'KDK'*



\$~6

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

**BAIL APPLN. 2301/2025 & CRL.M.A. 18361/2025**

ENWEREM EMMANUEL RAPHEAL .....Applicant

Through: Counsel (Appearance not  
given)

versus

STATE THROUGH SHO PS

UTTAM NAGAR

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State with Sapana  
Sharma, PS Chhawla, SI  
Sunil, Anti-Narcotics  
Cell/Dwarka and SI  
Bharat, Main I.O.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**02.07.2025**

1. By the present bail application, the applicant seeks regular bail in FIR No. 297/2023 dated 13.06.2023, registered at Police Station Uttam Nagar, for offences under Sections 8/22/25 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985.
2. Issue notice.
3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State accepts notice.
4. Let Status Report be filed before the next date of hearing.
5. Let Nominal Roll be requisitioned from the concerned Jail Superintendent before the next date of hearing.
6. List on 06.08.2025.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 2, 2025**

'KDK'



\$~7

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

**BAIL APPLN. 2307/2025 & CRL.M.A. 18378/2025**

SHAHID

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Kundan Kumar, Adv.  
versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State with SI Kartar  
Singh Rawat, Anti-  
Narcotics Cell, OND.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**02.07.2025**

1. By the present bail application, the applicant seeks regular bail in FIR No. 341/2024 dated 02.04.2024, registered at Police Station Narela Industrial Area, for offences under Sections 21/25 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985.
2. It is the case of the prosecution that on 02.04.2024, on the basis of secret information, co-accused Rajender was apprehended and a recovery of 520g of Heroin and ₹5 lakhs was effected from him. During interrogation, co-accused Rajender disclosed that the recovered Heroin was supplied to him by co-accused Bhure and also made some disclosures about other persons. On the disclosure of co-accused Rajender, co-accused Bhure was arrested on 04.04.2024 and 100g of Heroin was recovered from him. Co-accused Bhure alleged that the applicant had supplied the contraband to him. On the basis of disclosure of co-accused Bhure, the applicant was arrested. However, undisputedly, no recovery of contraband has been made from the





applicant.

3. It is pointed out that the co-accused Bhure has already been admitted on bail by the Coordinate Bench of this Court by order dated 16.12.2024 in BAIL APPLN. 2889/2024. It was noted that only intermediate quantity of contraband was recovered from co-accused Bhure. Considering that no recovery has been effected from the applicant, his role cannot be said to be graver than that of co-accused Bhure.

4. While it is stated that the investigation is complete, however, supplementary charge sheet has not been filed against the applicant till now, even though he was arrested on 23.04.2025.

5. The antecedents of the applicant are stated to be clean, and he is not likely to commit any offence while on bail. It is also stated that the applicant belongs to the poor strata of society and he has minor children to take care of.

6. In such circumstances, considering that the co-accused has already been enlarged on bail and no recovery was made from the present applicant, the applicant is entitled to bail on the ground of parity. The applicant is directed to be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond for a sum of ₹20,000/- with two sureties of the like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court, on the following conditions:

- a. The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case or tamper with the evidence of the case, in any manner whatsoever;
- b. The applicant shall under no circumstance leave the country without the permission of the learned Trial



Court;

- c. The applicant shall appear before the learned Trial Court as and when directed;
- d. The applicant shall provide the address where he would be residing after his release and shall not change the address without informing the concerned IO/ SHO;
- e. The applicant shall, upon his release, give his mobile number to the concerned IO/SHO and shall keep his mobile phone switched on at all times.

7. In the event of there being any FIR/DD entry/complaint lodged against the applicant, it would be open to the State to seek redressal by filing an application seeking cancellation of bail.

8. It is clarified that any observations made in the present order are for the purpose of deciding the present bail application and should not influence the outcome of the trial and also not be taken as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

9. The bail application is allowed in the aforementioned terms. Pending application also stands disposed of.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 2, 2025**

*'KDK'*



\$~5

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

**BAIL APPLN. 1455/2025**

NITIN @ NONI

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. A.K. Srivastava, Ms.  
Pooja Gehlot, Mr.  
Kuldeep Shukla & Mr.  
B.P. Pandey, Advs.

versus

STATE

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State  
Inspector Net Ram, PS-  
Jahangir Puri

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**03.07.2025**

1. The learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to inspect the medical status report.
2. List on 15.07.2025.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 3, 2025**

**"SS"**



\$~20

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

BAIL APPLN. 2339/2025 & CRL.M.A. 18621/2025

MANTHAN

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Mrityunjay Kumar,  
Ms. Dipti Singh and Ms.  
Suroothi, Advocates.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI .....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State with SI  
Bharat Lal, PS Mohan  
Garden.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

**03.07.2025**

%

1. The present bail application is filed by the applicant for grant of regular bail in FIR No. 10/2025, dated 08.01.2025, registered at police station Mohan Garden for the offences under Sections 118(2)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).
2. Issue notice.
3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State accepts notice.
4. Let status report be filed before the next date of hearing.
5. Let nominal roll be requisitioned from the concerned Jail Superintendent before the next date of hearing.
6. List on 14.08.2025.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 3, 2025**

*DU*



\$~21

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 430/2023

MANOJ

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Gaurav Bidhuri,  
Advocate.

versus

STATE OF NCT DELHI AND ANR .....Respondents

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State with WSI  
Vandana, PS Madhu  
Vihar.

Mr. Harshit Jain,  
Advocate from DHCLSC  
for victim along with Mr.  
Rahul Kumar, Advocate.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

% **03.07.2025**

**CRL.M.A. 18514/2025 (Exemption)**

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

**CRL.M.(BAIL) 1363/2025 (for interim suspension of sentence)**

3. The applicant has again filed an application seeking interim suspension of sentence on the ground that the brother of the applicant has not been taking care of his mother.
4. The application filed on an earlier occasion was dismissed by this Court by order dated 23.05.2025, noting that the surgery for the removal of stone from the gallbladder is a minor surgery and there are other family members who can take care of the mother.
5. The applicant concededly not only has a brother but also a sister. Though it is stated that the sister is also suffering from



various ailments.

6. The learned counsel for the applicant states that the brother is staying separately. However, nothing has been filed to point out towards the same.

7. In view of the above, no ground is made out for allowing interim suspension of sentence.

8. The application is, therefore, dismissed.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 3, 2025**

*DU*



\$~5

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

**BAIL APPLN. 1177/2025**

JYOTI

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Sanjog Singh, Mr.  
Furkan Hassan and Mr.  
Harjas Singh, Advs.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State with SI  
Amrendra, ANTF, Crime  
Branch.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**04.07.2025**

1. It is pointed out that the applicant has been admitted in the hospital today and is undergoing treatment.
2. The details of the same be provided to the Investigating Officer.
3. Let the said aspect be verified within a period of one week.
4. The interim bail granted to the applicant is extended till the next date of hearing.
5. List on 15.07.2025.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 4, 2025**

**'KDK'**



\$~6

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

**BAIL APPLN. 2219/2025 & CRL.M.A. 17961/2025**

**RAJ KUMAR @ SUNNY SHISODIYA** .....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Sakshi Sachdeva,  
Adv.

versus

**THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI** .....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State.

Insp. Sandeep Tushir, NR-  
II, Crime Branch, Sec-18,  
Rohini.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**04.07.2025**

1. The present application is filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 ('BNSS') seeking grant of pre- arrest bail in FIR No. 287/2022 dated 12.12.2024 for offences under Sections 20/25 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 ('NDPS Act'), registered at Police Station Crime Branch.

2. The applicant apprehending arrest, filed an application seeking grant of pre-arrest bail under Section 482 of the BNSS on an earlier occasion, before the learned Additional Sessions Judge (ASJ), Rohini Courts, Delhi which was dismissed by the learned ASJ by order dated 28.05.2025.

3. Brief facts of the case are that on 11.12.2022 at around 10pm, a Bolero car bearing No. DL-8C-BB-0863 suspiciously stopped on the service road near Sector 10-11 Rohini Road, Delhi, and the driver namely– Lakshay Jaggi was seen by the

**BAIL APPLN. 2219/2025**

**Page 1 of 5**





Police team, getting in and out of his car in an unusual manner. On account of this suspicious activity, accused Lakshay Jaggi was apprehended which led to the recovery of 54 small glass bottles of Tetrahydrocannabinol ('THC') weighing 648 gms in total, 52 gms of Ganja.

4. During interrogation, the accused Lakshay Jaggi disclosed that one person namely– Pankaj Dawar used to supply the contraband to him. Accused Pankaj Dawar was apprehended on 14.12.2022, who admitted that he sourced the contraband with the help of one Udit Maratha from some foreign nationals and got the consignment cleared from Foreign Post Office ('FPO') through the applicant.

5. During the course of investigation, the applicant was found to be working at the FPO since 2016, as an outsourced worker on daily wages. There was Call Detail Record connectivity between the mobile number of the applicant, that is, 9211578233 and that of the accused Pankaj Dawar (7569555555). Moreover, certain conversations have been extracted between the applicant and accused Pankaj Dawar.

6. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the petitioner is an innocent person with clean antecedents and has no nexus with the commission of offence in the present case.

7. She submits that the applicant has already admitted that he knew the accused Pankaj Dawar from before and that the chats and the CDR connectivity shown by the prosecution does not disclose the commission of the alleged offence.

8. She submits that no recovery has been effectuated at the instance of the applicant and that the co-accused persons namely– Lakshay Jaggi, Pankaj Dawar, Vineet Pal Singh and Udit Maratha have already been granted default bail.



9. She further relied on various orders of this Court in support of her contention that in the absence of any recovery from the applicant, he cannot be subjected to custodial interrogation, merely on the basis of CDR and disclosure statements.

10. *Per contra*, the learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the grant of any relief to the applicant and submits that the investigation regarding the role of the applicant is yet to be completed. She submits that despite three notices on different occasions, the applicant has failed to join the investigation.

11. I have heard the counsel for the parties.

12. The Investigating Officer is present in Court with the Case Diary.

13. I have perused the disclosure statement of the accused Pankaj Dawar. It has been specifically confessed that when his work was not doing well, he decided to get into the business of supplying foreign drugs. He has stated that in this regard he came to know the applicant who used to work in the FPO and helped him to clear the consignment, for which he would get ₹50,000/- per consignment, as commission.

14. In response to the notice issued by the prosecution, the Department of Posts, FPO, CIG Marg, New Delhi has confirmed that the applicant is working at the FPO as an outsourced worker on daily wages for the last 9 years. The CDR connectivity between the accused Pankaj Dawar and the applicant reveals that they have contacted each other 107 times in the year 2021 to 2022.

15. Serious allegations have been made that the applicant is the one who has been clearing the consignment of contraband through his position at the FPO, and the same was eventually



procured by the accused Laksavaggi, from whom the recovery has been effectuated.

16. It is also pertinent to note that three notices under Section 67 of the NDPS have been served upon the applicant on 30.04.2025, 02.05.2025 and 08.05.2025, however, the applicant has failed to join the investigation.

17. Even though it appears that there is some lapse in the investigation conducted as the statement of the accused Pankaj Dawar was already recorded in 2022, such a lapse should not come to the aid of the accused. Considering the conduct of the applicant in not cooperating with the investigation, this Court is of the opinion that custodial interrogation of the applicant ought not to be denied to the investigating authority.

18. It is settled law that the custodial interrogation is qualitatively more elicitation oriented than questioning a suspect who is well ensconced with a favourable order under Section 438 of the CrPC [*State v. Anil Sharma : (1997) 7 SCC 187*].

19. It is also well settled, that the power to grant a pre-arrest bail is extraordinary in nature and is to be exercised sparingly. The Hon'ble Apex Court in *Anarul SK v The State of West Bengal : SLP (Crl) No. 12621/2024*, observed that the grant of pre-arrest bail in cases involving NDPS Act is a serious issue, and directed the State to consider filing an application for cancellation of the pre-arrest bail granted even to the co-accused persons. Crimes related to narcotics have a widespread impact on society, and the police must be afforded the opportunity to conduct a thorough investigation. Thus, pre-arrest bail cannot be granted in a routine manner. I am convinced that if the applicant is granted such an order prior to his interrogation, it would significantly hinder the investigation and would also be

**BAIL APPLN. 2219/2025**



detrimental to the public interest.

20. Granting pre-arrest bail to the applicant would undoubtedly impede further investigation. An order of bail cannot be granted in a routine manner so as to allow the applicant to use the same as a shield. Police ought to be given some play in the joints to conduct investigation.

21. Considering the aforesaid discussion, this Court is of the opinion that no case is made out for grant of pre-arrest bail to the applicant.

22. The present application is accordingly dismissed.

23. It is clarified that any observations made in the present order are for the purpose of deciding the present bail application and should not influence the outcome of the trial and also not be taken as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 4, 2025**



\$~18

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **BAIL APPLN. 2351/2025, CRL.M.A. 18713/2025,**  
**CRL.M.A. 18714/2025 & CRL.M.(BAIL) 1386/2025**

RUBI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Liaqat Ali & Mr.  
M.M. Hussain, Advs.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State  
SI Vidyakar Pathak, PS-  
Mohan Garden  
Ms. S. Sharma, Adv. for  
complainant

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**  
**04.07.2025**

%

1. The present application is filed seeking pre-arrest bail in FIR No. 80025803/2025 under Section 305 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 registered at Police Station Mohan Garden.
2. The complainant is the father of the applicant who alleged that the applicant along with the co-accused has committed theft of ₹3,50,000/-.
3. The applicant seems to have got married to the co-accused against the wishes of her father which also led to filing of a petition before the Hon'ble High Court of Punjab and Haryana seeking protection.
4. The complainant/ father of the applicant is present in Court and states that the applicant was a college going student and has married despite opposition.
5. Considering the nature of the dispute, the State is directed



not to arrest the applicant till the next date of hearing.

6. On being asked, the complainant states that he is not averse to arriving at some amicable solution.

7. In view of the above, the applicant and the complainant are directed to appear before the Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre.

8. List before the Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre on 09.07.2025 at 02:30 PM.

9. List before the Court on 18.08.2025.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 4, 2025**  
“SS”



\$~10 to 13

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1082/2025**

NAVED

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Vimal Tyagi, Mr.  
Balaji Pathak & Mr.  
Tripurari Jha, Advs.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State.  
Insp. Santosh Kumar  
Gupta, PS Kashmere Gate.

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1138/2025**

AMIR

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Karan Verma, Ms.  
Nayan Magoo, Mr. Yuvraj  
Singh & Mr. Yash, Advs.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State.  
Insp. Santosh Kumar  
Gupta, PS Kashmere Gate.

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1350/2025**

GAURAV KUMAR @ GAURAV AGGARWAL

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Ghanshyam Yadav,  
Adv.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State.  
Insp. Santosh Kumar  
Gupta, PS Kashmere Gate.

+ **BAIL APPLN. 630/2025 & CRL.M.A. 4506/2025**

MOHD.UMAR

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Bibek Tripathi, Mr.



Sudhakar Tiwari & Mr.  
Manoj Kr. Choudhari,  
Advs.



versus

STATE OF GNCT OF DELHI .....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State.

Insp. Santosh Kumar  
Gupta, PS Kashmere Gate.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

**07.07.2025**

%

1. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State states that the argument on charge is to take place on 14.07.2025. She requests that the present applications be taken thereafter.
2. List on 23.07.2025.
3. A copy of this order be placed in all the matters.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 7, 2025**

**“SK”**





\$~10 to 13

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1082/2025**

NAVED

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Vimal Tyagi, Mr.  
Balaji Pathak & Mr.  
Tripurari Jha, Advs.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State.  
Insp. Santosh Kumar  
Gupta, PS Kashmere Gate.

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1138/2025**

AMIR

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Karan Verma, Ms.  
Nayan Magoo, Mr. Yuvraj  
Singh & Mr. Yash, Advs.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State.  
Insp. Santosh Kumar  
Gupta, PS Kashmere Gate.

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1350/2025**

GAURAV KUMAR @ GAURAV AGGARWAL

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Ghanshyam Yadav,  
Adv.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State.  
Insp. Santosh Kumar  
Gupta, PS Kashmere Gate.

+ **BAIL APPLN. 630/2025 & CRL.M.A. 4506/2025**

MOHD.UMAR

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Bibek Tripathi, Mr.



Sudhakar Tiwari & Mr.  
Manoj Kr. Choudhari,  
Advs.



versus

STATE OF GNCT OF DELHI .....Respondent  
Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State.  
Insp. Santosh Kumar  
Gupta, PS Kashmere Gate.

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

**07.07.2025**

%

1. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State states that the argument on charge is to take place on 14.07.2025. She requests that the present applications be taken thereafter.
2. List on 23.07.2025.
3. A copy of this order be placed in all the matters.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 7, 2025**  
**“SK”**



\$~10 to 13

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1082/2025**

NAVED

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Vimal Tyagi, Mr.  
Balaji Pathak & Mr.  
Tripurari Jha, Advs.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State.  
Insp. Santosh Kumar  
Gupta, PS Kashmere Gate.

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1138/2025**

AMIR

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Karan Verma, Ms.  
Nayan Magoo, Mr. Yuvraj  
Singh & Mr. Yash, Advs.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State.  
Insp. Santosh Kumar  
Gupta, PS Kashmere Gate.

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1350/2025**

GAURAV KUMAR @ GAURAV AGGARWAL

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Ghanshyam Yadav,  
Adv.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State.  
Insp. Santosh Kumar  
Gupta, PS Kashmere Gate.

+ **BAIL APPLN. 630/2025 & CRL.M.A. 4506/2025**

MOHD.UMAR

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Bibek Tripathi, Mr.



Sudhakar Tiwari & Mr.  
Manoj Kr. Choudhari,  
Advts.

versus

STATE OF GNCT OF DELHI .....Respondent  
Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State.  
Insp. Santosh Kumar  
Gupta, PS Kashmere Gate.

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**  
**07.07.2025**

%

1. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State states that the argument on charge is to take place on 14.07.2025. She requests that the present applications be taken thereafter.
2. List on 23.07.2025.
3. A copy of this order be placed in all the matters.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 7, 2025**  
**“SK”**



\$~9

\*

+

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
**BAIL APPLN. 1622/2025 & CRL.M.A. 17210/2025**

**VENKATESH**

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Ashish Kumar  
Upadhyay and Mr. Sibi  
Kargil, Advs.

versus

**STATE OF NCT OF DELHI**

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State with SI Vikas  
Singh, PS Prashant Vihar.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**08.07.2025**

1. The present application is filed seeking pre-arrest bail in FIR No. 84/2025 dated 03.02.2025, registered at Police Station Prashant Vihar, for offences under Sections 318(4)/316(2)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 ('BNS').

2. The FIR was registered on a complaint given by the complainant– Poonam Sharma. It is alleged that the accused company had secured a tender to provide security services at District Court Complex, Rohini Courts, Delhi, following which the applicant being the Managing Director of the said company had hired certain employees including the complainant as a security guard at a monthly salary of ₹18,954/-.

3. It is alleged that during the course of employment, the complainant and other employees were forced to sign blank papers under the false pretext of official documentation. It is alleged that the bank accounts of the complainant and other employees were opened by the accused persons and their ATM cards were also retained by the accused persons.

4. The complainant alleged that their bank accounts were



misused and the salaries were withdrawn without consent. It is claimed that during the course of investigation, in regard to ten of the employees, it was found that the salaries were deposited in the savings bank account of the employees whereas the amount was withdrawn from the ATM in the State of Tamil Nadu, at the time when those employees were in Delhi.

5. By order dated 29.04.2025, this Court granted interim protection to the applicant after taking note of the submission made on his behalf that he was willing to deposit a sum of ₹10,00,000/- with the Registrar General of this Court to show his *bona fides*. The applicant moved an application for reduction of this amount, which was dismissed by this Court by order dated 29.05.2025. Subsequently, the Hon'ble Apex Court reduced the amount from ₹10,00,000/- to ₹5,00,000/-.

6. On being asked, the Investigating Officer states that the investigation has revealed that 29 out of 44 victim employees have already been reimbursed.

7. The learned counsel for the applicant, on instructions, states that a sum of ₹5,00,000/-, which is lying deposited with this Court, can be released to the Investigating Officer for the purpose of equal distribution to the remaining 15 employees without prejudice to the applicant's right to recover the amount in case it is found that the employees were not entitled for the said amount. He requests that the amount be released to the employees on them giving a written undertaking in this respect to the Investigating Officer.

8. He submits that the applicant has joined the investigation and no purpose will be served by subjecting him to custodial interrogation.



9. In the present case, the evidence primarily appears to be documentary in nature. Undisputably, the applicant has joined investigation as well and he has not misused the liberty.

10. As per the status report, one of the main reasons for opposing the bail is that the salary of the remaining 15 witnesses is yet to be credited to their bank accounts.

11. Considering that the applicant has since joined investigation and he has also shown his *bona fides* by paying substantial amount to the victims, this Court is of the opinion that custodial interrogation of the applicant is not required.

12. In view of the above, it is directed that in the event of arrest, the applicant be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond for a sum of ₹20,000/- with two sureties of the like amount subject to the satisfaction of the concerned SHO, on the following conditions:

- a. The applicant shall join and cooperate with the investigation, if required, as and when directed by the IO;
- b. The applicant shall not leave the country without the prior permission of the learned Trial Court;
- c. The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case or tamper with the evidence of the case, in any manner whatsoever;
- d. The applicant shall appear before the learned Trial Court as and when directed;
- e. The applicant shall provide the address where he would be residing and shall not change the address without informing the concerned IO/SHO;



f. The applicant shall give his mobile number to the concerned IO/SHO and shall keep his mobile phone switched on at all times.

13. The sum of ₹5,00,000/- lying deposited with the Registrar General of this Court is directed to be released in favour of the Investigating Officer, namely, Sub-Inspector Vikas Singh, Police Station Prashant Vihar. The said amount is directed to be distributed to the 15 employees as stated in the status report. The Investigating Officer is permitted to take the written undertaking(s) from the said employees to the effect that in case they are found to be not entitled for the said amount, the amount would be returned to the applicant. The Investigating Officer is also directed to maintain a record of the disbursal of the amount.

14. In the event of there being any violation of the stipulated conditions, it would be open to the State to seek redressal by filing an application seeking cancellation of the bail.

15. It is clarified that the observations made in the present order are for the purpose of deciding the present pre-arrest bail application, and should not influence the outcome of the Trial and should not be taken, as an expression of opinion, on the merits of the case.

16. The present bail application is allowed in the aforesaid terms. Pending application(s) also stand disposed of.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 8, 2025/ 'KDK'**





\$~10

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

**BAIL APPLN. 1624/2025 & CRL.M.A. 12877/2025**

SHARMENDRA SINGH

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Kuldeep Rai, Mr.  
Ashraf Ali and Mr.  
Sandeep Chawla, Advs.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State with SI Amit  
Bainiwal, PS M.S. Park.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**08.07.2025**

1. By the present bail application, the applicant seeks regular bail in FIR No. 100/2025 dated 15.02.2025, registered at Police Station Mansarovar Park, for offences under Sections 110/115(2)/126(2)/351(2)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 ('BNS').

2. The FIR was registered on a complaint given by one of the victims, namely, Akshay Garg, alleging that he and his cousins were attacked and beaten mercilessly by 10-12 persons, including the present applicant. The incident led to injuries to a lot of victims. The complainant suffered serious injuries in his eye and one victim also received 23 stitches as a result of the injuries. The incident of the attack was also captured on CCTV footage.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the incident happened on the spur of the moment. He submits that



some of the victims and the accused persons were neighbours and initially had a scuffle over a trivial issue, which led to the incident where more people got involved.

4. He submits that no intention can be attributed to the applicant for inflicting injuries that were caused in a brawl between two groups of people.

5. *Per contra*, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor vehemently opposes the grant of bail to the applicant and submits that the alleged offences are grave in nature.

6. I have heard the counsel and perused the record.

7. The applicant was arrested way back on 09.03.2025. Most of the accused persons have since been arrested. The investigation is complete and the chargesheet has already been filed. In such circumstances, no purpose would be served by subjecting the applicant to undergo further incarceration.

8. It is not in dispute that the offence as alleged is grave in nature. However, this Court cannot lose sight of the fact that the object of jail is to secure the appearance of the accused during the trial. The object is neither punitive nor preventive and the deprivation of liberty has been considered as a punishment.

9. The applicant is stated to have deep roots in society, having a wife and two minor children to take care of.

10. It is also pointed out that the applicant has clean antecedents.

11. Any apprehension of the applicant influencing the witnesses or evading the trial can be taken care of by imposing appropriate conditions.

12. In view of the above, the applicant is directed to be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond for a sum of



₹20,000/- with two sureties of like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court, on the following conditions:

- a. The applicant shall not contact any of the victims in any manner whatsoever;
- b. The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case or tamper with the evidence of the case, in any manner whatsoever;
- c. The applicant shall under no circumstance leave the boundaries of the country without the permission of the learned Trial Court;
- d. The applicant shall appear before the learned Trial Court as and when directed;
- e. The applicant shall provide the address where he would be residing after his release and shall not change the address without informing the concerned IO/ SHO;
- f. The applicant shall, upon his release, give his mobile number to the concerned IO/SHO and shall keep his mobile phone switched on at all times.

13. In the event of there being any FIR/ DD entry/ complaint lodged against the applicant, it would be open to the State to seek redressal by filing an application seeking cancellation of bail.

14. It is clarified that any observations made in the present order are for the purpose of deciding the present bail application and should not influence the outcome of the trial and also not be taken as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.



15. The bail application allowed in the aforementioned terms. Pending application(s) stand disposed of.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 8, 2025**

*'KDK'*



\$~41

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **BAIL APPLN. 2413/2025 & CRL.M.A. 19122/2025**

ANJU

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Counsel (Appearance  
not given)

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State.  
ASI Dinesh Kumar, PS  
Jahangir puri, Delhi

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

**08.07.2025**

%

1. The present application is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No.565/2025 dated 12.05.2025, registered at Police Station Jahangir Puri for offences under Sections 20/25 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances, Act, 1985.
2. Issue notice.
3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State accepts notice.
4. Let the Status Report be filed before the next date of hearing.
5. Let the nominal roll of the applicant be also requisitioned from the concerned Jail Superintendent before the next date of hearing.
6. List on 14.08.2025.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 8, 2025**

**“SK”**



\$~14

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

**BAIL APPLN. 1612/2025**

**ANIL GAHLOT**

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Kuldeep Singh & Mr.  
Saksham Kalra, Advs.  
Mr. Dhananjai Kaushal,  
Adv. (through VC)

versus

**STATE OF NCT OF DELHI**

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State with SI  
Sanjay Kumar, PS-Dwarka  
North  
Mr. Abhishek Bhutani,  
Adv. for the complainant.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

**09.07.2025**

%

1. Learned counsel for petitioner states that the main counsel Mr.S.P.Kaushal, Advocate, who is to address arguments in this case, is in some personal difficulty today and requests for an adjournment.
2. At his request, list on 29.07.2025.
3. Interim orders to continue.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 9, 2025**

*'dc'*



\$~15

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

**BAIL APPLN. 1695/2025**

ARSHAD SAIFI

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Ashutosh Kaushik,  
Adv. (DHCLSC)

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State with SI  
Akashdeep, PS- Sadar  
Bazar and SI Raju Singh,  
PS- Roop Nagar.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**09.07.2025**

1. The applicant seeks regular bail in FIR No. 109/2023 dated 16.02.2023, registered at Police Station Roop Nagar, for offence under Section 380 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('**IPC**').
2. The FIR was registered pursuant to the statement of Abhishek Grover/complainant, who stated that on 16.02.2023 he left his house and his mother was also out of the house. It is alleged that his servant had called his mother and informed that a theft had been committed in the house. On being informed regarding the same, the complainant called the Police, whereafter, the applicant was arrested on 22.02.2023 during investigation and cash amounting to ₹6,00,000/- as well as jewellery articles were recovered at his instance.
3. During the course of investigation, the police interrogated the servant, who informed about the alleged theft. He stated that on 16.02.2023 at about 1 pm, the door bell rang and a person had

**BAIL APPLN. 1695/2025**

**Page 1 of 3**



come to deliver a courier. Thereafter, the courier boy gave a paper to him for signing and while he was signing, another boy came and allegedly put a pistol on his neck. Thereafter both of them allegedly tied his hands with a rope and started beating him.

4. It is further alleged that thereafter they committed robbery in the house and took away cash and jewellery items. Pursuant to which the present FIR was registered under Sections 380 of the IPC.

5. During the course of investigation, CCTV footage was examined and on analysing the same it was found that one accused person was heading towards Meerut. Police also obtained CDR of the maid, namely, Madhu.

6. CDR revealed that the maid had also gone towards Meerut on the date of the alleged incident. Further investigation led to arrest of one person, namely Akib, who was allegedly staying with the maid. On being interrogated he disclosed that he had committed the alleged crime along with the applicant.

7. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was not seen in the CCTV footage and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the applicant was arrested from his house at Meerut. He lastly contends that only one witness has been examined till date out of the 27 cited by the prosecution and that the trial is going to take long time to conclude.

8. On the other hand, learned APP for the State opposes the grant of bail to the applicant. Status report filed on record indicates that some robbed items were recovered at the instance of the applicant.

9. Statement of the eye witness was also recorded, who





narrated the incident and also identified the applicant to be the same person who came as a courier boy.

10. Learned APP for the State, on instructions, also states that even in the CCTV footage, the applicant can be identified as some part of his body was visible.

11. She further submits that the charges have been framed under Sections 392/397/411/120B of the IPC and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

12. The allegations against the applicant are grave. He along with the co-accused has been charged for committing daylight robbery with the use of firearm. The robbed articles have also been recovered from him. Thus, even though the applicant has suffered a long period of incarceration, considering the fact that the offence is heinous and attracts maximum punishment of 10 years, if convicted, the applicant, in the opinion of this Court, is not entitled to be released on bail at this stage. Further, the prime witnesses including the family members, on whose house the alleged robbery had been committed, are yet to be examined.

13. The material collected during investigation at this stage does not indicate false implication of the applicant.

14. In view of the aforesaid discussion, this Court does not deem fit to enlarge the applicant on bail.

15. The present application is therefore dismissed.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 9, 2025**  
'dc'



\$~56

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

**BAIL APPLN. 2439/2025 & CRL.M.A. 19264/2025**

JASIMA KHATOON THROUGH  
PAROKAR

.....Appellant

Through: Ms. Tanishka, Adv.  
(through VC)

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State with SI  
Ashok Kumar, PS Moti  
Nagar, Delhi.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**09.07.2025**

1. By the present bail application, the applicant seeks regular bail in FIR No. 229/2019 dated 12.05.2019, registered at Police Station Moti Nagar, for offences under Sections 307/323/506/509/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.
2. Issue notice.
3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State accepts notice.
4. Status Report be filed before the next date of hearing.
5. Nominal Roll be requisitioned from the concerned Jail Superintendent before the next date of hearing.
6. List on 18.08.2025.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 9, 2025**

*'KDK'*



\$~9

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

**BAIL APPLN. 1766/2025 & CRL.M.A. 14288/2025,**  
**CRL.M.A. 14289/2025**

YASH@CHINTU@BENGALI

.....Applicant

Through: Ms. Dolly Sharma, Adv.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State.

Insp. Narender Singh.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**10.07.2025**

1. The learned counsel for the applicant seeks liberty to withdraw the present application and file afresh in case the trial is unduly delayed.
2. The application is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty
3. The learned Trial Court is requested to expediate the recording of the evidence.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 10, 2025**

**“SK”**



\$~10

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

**BAIL APPLN. 2199/2025 & CRL.M.A. 17900/2025**

**PAYAL JAIN**

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Kush Sharma,  
Mr. Nishchaya Nigam,  
Ms. Komal Narula & Ms.  
Vagni Singh, Advts.

versus

**THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI**

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State  
SI Mohit  
Inspector Robin Singh,  
PS- Gandhi Nagar  
Mr. Akarsh Gupta & Mr.  
R.K. Gupta, Advts. for  
complainant with  
complainant in person

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**10.07.2025**

1. List on 11.07.2025.
2. Interim order(s) to continue.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 10, 2025**

**"SS"**



\$~54

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

**BAIL APPLN. 2478/2025 & CRL.M.A. 19469/2025**

SAGAR

.....Applicant

Through: None  
versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI  
& ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State  
SI Vinod Kumar, PS-  
Subhash Place

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**10.07.2025**

1. None appears for the applicant.
2. The present application is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 1016/2022 dated 02.10.2022 for offences under Section 376 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 6 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 registered at Police Station Subhash Place.
3. Issue notice.
4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State accepts notice.
5. Let status report be filed before the next date of hearing.
6. Let nominal roll be also requisitioned from the concerned Jail Superintendent before the next date of hearing.
7. List on 19.08.2025.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 10, 2025**

**"SS"**



\$~59

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1082/2025 & CRL.M.A. 19677/2025**

NAVED

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Hirein Sharma, Mr.  
Vimal Tyagi, Mr. Saurabh  
Goel, Mr. Balaji Pathak &  
Mr. Rajendra Singh, Advs.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State.  
Insp. Santosh Kumar  
Gupta, PS Kashmere Gate.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

% **11.07.2025**

**CRL.M.(BAIL) 1472/2025**

1. The present application is filed seeking interim bail in FIR No.261/2024 dated 09.05.2025, registered at Police Station Kashmere Gate for offences under Sections 22/25 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances, Act, 1985.
2. Issue notice.
3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State accepts notice.
4. Let the Status Report be filed before the next date of hearing.
5. Let the nominal roll of the applicant be also requisitioned from the concerned Jail Superintendent before the next date of hearing.
6. List on 17.07.2025.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 11, 2025**

**“SK”**



\$~12

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

**BAIL APPLN. 1274/2025 & CRL.M.A. 9932/2025**

**ZELMES SAVIO FERNANDES**

**ALIAS JOO**

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Ajay Marwah, Mr.  
Mrigank Bhardwaj & Mr.  
Swaroopnanda Mishra,  
Advs.

versus

**STATE NCT OF DELHI**

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State  
SI Sanjeev Gupta, PS-  
Crime Branch

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**11.07.2025**

1. The learned counsel for the applicant requests for an adjournment.
2. Let the chargesheet be placed on record before the next date of hearing.
3. List on 12.08.2025.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 11, 2025**

**"SS"**



\$~60

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

**BAIL APPLN. 2516/2025 & CRL.M.A. 19692/2025**

**ARJUN YADAV**

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Mohit Raj, Mr. Ankit  
Kumar Vats & Mr.  
Priyanshu Jaiswal, Advs.

versus

**THE STATE NCT OF DELHI**

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

**11.07.2025**

%

1. The present application is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No.44/2025 dated 20.02.2025, registered at Police Station Crime Branch for offences under Sections 21/25/29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances, Act, 1985.
2. Issue notice.
3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State accepts notice.
4. Let the Status Report be filed before the next date of hearing.
5. Let the nominal roll of the applicant be also requisitioned from the concerned Jail Superintendent before the next date of hearing.
6. List on 19.08.2025.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 11, 2025**

**“SK”**





\$~9

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

**BAIL APPLN. 2248/2025 & CRL.M.A. 18050/2025**

**ASHISH KHURANA**

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Keshav Sehgal, Adv.  
(through VC)

versus

**STATE GOVT OF NCT OF  
DELHI & ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State  
SI Loveleen, PS- Moti  
Nagar

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**14.07.2025**

1. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the subject FIR has already been quashed. He submits that the present bail application has become infructuous.
2. In view of the above, the present application is disposed of as having become infructuous.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 14, 2025**

**"SS"**



\$~51

\*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

**BAIL APPLN. 2552/2025 & CRL.M.A. 19895/2025,**  
**CRL.M.A. 19896/2025**

ABHISHEK AGARWAL

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Amit Chadha, Senior  
Advocate with Mr. Vishnu  
Sharma, Mr. Atin Chadha,  
Mrs. Munisha Chadha, Mr.  
Harjas Singh and Mr.  
Saarthak Sethi, Advs.

versus

THE STATE OF (NCT OF DELHI)  
& ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State with Insp.  
Vijay Singh, DIU/DWD  
and Insp. Bikramjeet, PS  
Raja Garden Metro.  
Ms. Neha Pandey, Mr.  
Shyamlal Kumar, Mr.  
Manoj Sahani and Mr.  
Aneesh Kumar Singh,  
Advs. for R-2.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**14.07.2025**

1. By the present bail application, the applicant seeks regular bail in FIR No. 577/2021 dated 29.07.2021, registered at Police Station Dabri, for offence under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.
2. Issue notice.
3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State and the learned counsel for Respondent No. 2 accept notice.



4. Status Report be filed before the next date of hearing.
5. Nominal Roll be requisitioned from the concerned Jail Superintendent before the next date of hearing.
6. List on 06.08.2025.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 14, 2025**

*'KDK'*



\$~1

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

**CRL.A. 313/2023**

**PREM KUMAR**

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Nitin Saluja, Adv.  
(DHCLSC) with Mr.  
Nischal Tripathi, Adv.

versus

**STATE (NCT OF DELHI)**

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State  
Mr. Himanshu Anand  
Gupta, Adv. (DHCLSC)  
with Mr. Sidharth Barua,  
Ms. Navneet Kaur, Mr.  
Shekhar Anand Gupta, Mr.  
Mike Desai and Mr.  
Anvesh Verma, Adv. for  
the victim.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**14.07.2025**

1. The present matter is listed in the category of 'Old/Targeted Cases'.
2. The learned counsel for the appellant submits that he is not in contact with the appellant at this stage and requests for an adjournment.
3. At his request, list on 28.10.2025.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 14, 2025**

*'KDK'*



\$~12

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

**BAIL APPLN. 1177/2025**

**JYOTI**

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Amit Chadha, Senior  
Advocate with Mr.  
Furkaan Hassan, Mr.  
Sanjog Singh, Mr. Harjas  
Singh, Mr. Saarthak Sethi  
and Mr. Dhruv Tomar,  
Advs.

versus

**STATE NCT OF DELHI**

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State with SI  
Amrendra, ANTF Crime  
Branch.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**15.07.2025**

1. The Status Report has been handed over in Court today and the same is taken on record.
2. The Status Report indicates that the applicant is suffering from various ailments and a further course of treatment is prescribed by the treating hospital.
3. Let an updated Medical Status Report be filed before the next date of hearing.
4. List on 06.08.2025.
5. Interim order to continue till the next date of hearing.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 15, 2025**

'KDK'



\$~8

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

**BAIL APPLN. 1455/2025**

**NITIN @ NONI**

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. AK Shrivastava  
(through VC), Ms. Pooja  
Gehlot, Advs.

versus

**STATE**

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State  
Inspector Netram, PS-  
Jahangir Puri

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**15.07.2025**

1. The learned counsel for the applicant requests for an adjournment.
2. The Jail Superintendent is directed to file an updated medical report before the next date of hearing.
3. Let copy of order be communicated to the concerned Jail Superintendent for compliance.
4. List on 28.07.2025.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 15, 2025**

**"SS"**



\$~9

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

**BAIL APPLN. 2298/2025 & CRL.M.A. 18335/2025**

**MUKESH BHATI**

..... Applicant

Through: Mr. LK Singh, Adv.  
(through VC)

versus

**STATE NCT OF DELHI**

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State  
SI Hemant Kumar, PS-  
Jafrabad  
Mr. Akash Giri, Adv. for  
complainant (through VC)

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**15.07.2025**

1. The present application is filed seeking pre-arrest bail in FIR No. 76/2023 dated 30.01.2023 for offences under Sections 420/468/471/120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Jafrabad.
2. The learned counsel for the applicant states that the complainant had appeared before the learned Trial Court stating that the disputes can be amicably resolved.
3. The learned counsel for the complainant, however, states that there is no possibility of settlement. He submits that the applicant, after forging the signatures of the complainant, had sold the property.
4. The status report indicates that the document has been executed regarding the sale of property. The document is shown to have been executed by the complainant in favour of Saroj and Ashok Kumar.



5. The applicant had claimed that the complainant had transferred the said property in his name through notarized GPA.
6. On investigation, it was found that the thumb impression of the complainant as put on the document did not match and, on the contrary, it was the applicant's thumb impression on the said document.
7. The status report, at this stage, does not indicate that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case.
8. However, since the statement has been made by the learned counsel for the applicant that the complainant wishes to settle the dispute which is though denied by the learned counsel appearing for the complainant, the complainant is directed to remain present in Court on the next date of hearing.
9. List on 18.07.2025.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 15, 2025**

**"SS"**





\$~8

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1227/2025**

GOVINDA

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Vineet Jain, Adv.

versus

STATE (GNCT OF DELHI)

THROUGH SHO

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State with SI  
Kuldeep Singh.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**16.07.2025**

1. By the present application, the applicant seeks pre-arrest bail in FIR No. 73/2025 dated 19.02.2025, registered at Police Station Kirti Nagar, for offence under Section 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, 1959.
2. The FIR was registered pursuant to the recovery of a loaded pistol from the co-accused, namely, Dheeraj.
3. It is the case of the prosecution that the co-accused Dheeraj, in his statement, disclosed that the applicant had supplied the pistol to him.
4. The applicant, by order dated 01.04.2025 passed by this Court, was granted interim protection from arrest on him co-operating and joining the investigation.
5. It is pointed out that the applicant has since joined the investigation, though it is claimed by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor ('APP') for the State that the applicant has not

**BAIL APPLN. 1227/2025**

**Page 1 of 4**



cooperated with the investigation.

6. It is undisputed that the co-accused Dheeraj, in whose possession the loaded pistol was recovered which led to registration of the present FIR, has already been admitted on bail.

7. At this stage, the applicant is stated to be implicated only on the basis of the disclosure statement of the co-accused Dheeraj, who, as noted above, has already been admitted on bail.

8. The learned APP for the State opposes the grant of any relief to the applicant on the ground that the applicant is involved in multiple cases.

9. From the record, it appears that five-six FIRs have been registered against the applicant, the last being registered in the year 2020, however, the applicant has been admitted on bail in all the said cases.

10. It is not in doubt that order for grant of pre-arrest bail cannot be passed in a routine manner so as to allow the accused to use the same as a shield. At the same time, in cases where the accused has joined the investigation and is not likely to abscond, the custodial interrogation should be avoided.

11. The purpose of custodial interrogation is to aid the investigation and is not punitive. In the opinion of this Court, no purpose would be served by subjecting the applicant to custodial interrogation.

12. Considering that the co-accused Dheeraj, in whose custody the armed pistol was recovered, which led to registration of the present FIR, has already been admitted on bail and that the applicant has already joined the investigation, in the opinion of this Court, no custodial interrogation of the applicant is required. Any apprehension regarding the applicant fleeing from justice,



tampering with evidence or not cooperating with the investigation can be taken care of by putting appropriate conditions.

13. In view of the above, the present application is allowed and the applicant, in the event of arrest, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond for a sum of ₹20,000/- with two sureties of the like amount subject to the satisfaction of the concerned SHO, on the following conditions:

- a. The applicant shall join and cooperate with the investigation, if required, as and when directed by the IO;
- b. The applicant shall not leave the country without the prior permission of the learned Trial Court;
- c. The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case or tamper with the evidence of the case, in any manner whatsoever;
- d. The applicant shall appear before the learned Trial Court as and when directed;
- e. The applicant shall provide the address where he would be residing after his release and shall not change the address without informing the concerned IO/SHO;
- f. The applicant shall give his mobile number to the concerned IO/SHO and shall keep his mobile phone switched on at all times.

14. In the event of there being any violation of the stipulated conditions, it would be open to the State to seek redressal by filing an application seeking cancellation of the bail.

15. It is clarified that the observations made in the present order are for the purpose of deciding the present pre-arrest bail



application, and should not influence the outcome of the Trial and should not be taken, as an expression of opinion, on the merits of the case.

16. The present bail application is allowed in the aforesaid terms.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 16, 2025**

*'KD'*



\$~12

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

**BAIL APPLN. 2159/2025 & CRL.M.A. 17543/2025**

BABA@JALAM SINGH PARDI

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. M.K. Khanna, Adv.  
(through VC)

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**16.07.2025**

1. Let Status Report be positively filed before the next date of hearing.
2. Nominal Roll be also requisitioned from the concerned Jail Superintendent before the next date of hearing.
3. List on 13.08.2025.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 16, 2025**

'KDK'



\$~59

\*

+

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
**BAIL APPLN. 2582/2025, CRL.M.A. 20143/2025 &**  
**CRL.M.A. 20144/2025**

SUNITA

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. ML Yadav, Mr.  
Harish Chand, Mr.  
Prashant, Mr. Deepak  
Ahlawat & Mr. Anant  
Chattur, Advs.

versus

STATE (N.C.T. OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State  
SI Deshraj, PS- BHD  
Nagar

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**16.07.2025**

1. The present application is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 130/2023 dated 19.03.2023 for offence under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Baba Haridas Nagar.
2. Issue notice.
3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State accepts notice.
4. Let nominal roll be requisitioned from the concerned Jail Superintendent before the next date of hearing.
5. Let status report be filed before the next date of hearing.
6. List on 21.08.2025.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 16, 2025**

**"SS"**



\$~11

\*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

**BAIL APPLN. 1082/2025**

NAVED

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Vimal Tyagi, Adv.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State with Insp.  
Santosh Kumar Gupta, PS  
Kashmere Gate.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**17.07.2025**

**CRL.M.A. 19677/2025** (*exemption from filing certified copies of annexures*)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

**CRL.M.(BAIL) 1472/2025**

3. By the present application, the applicant seeks interim bail for a period of one month in FIR No. 261/2024 dated 09.05.2024, registered at Police Station Kashmeri Gate on account of the ill health of his wife.
4. The medical condition of the wife of the applicant has been verified. The concerned hospital in Aligarh has confirmed that the surgery is required to be conducted urgently.
5. The Status Report indicates that the wife of the applicant, at the moment, is staying alone with a two-year-old child.
6. Considering the above, the applicant is directed to be released on interim bail for a period of one week, if not in



custody in any other case, or furnishing a personal bond for a sum of ₹10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the Jail Superintendent, on the following conditions:

- a. The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case or tamper with the evidence of the case, in any manner whatsoever;
  - b. The applicant shall, upon his release, provide the address where he would be residing after the release and shall not change the address without informing the concerned IO/ SHO;
  - c. The applicant shall, upon his release, give his mobile number to the concerned IO/SHO and shall keep his mobile phone switched on at all times;
  - d. The applicant shall not leave the boundaries of the country without informing the concerned IO/SHO;
  - e. The applicant shall call the concerned IO once in a day, during the period of his release, to mark his presence.
7. The applicant is directed to surrender before the concerned Jail Superintendent upon expiry of the period of interim bail.
8. The present application is allowed in the aforesaid terms.

**BAIL APPLN. 1082/2025**

9. List on 28.07.2025.
10. The date already fixed, that is, 23.07.2025, stands cancelled.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 17, 2025 / 'KDK'**





\$~65

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **BAIL APPLN. 2611/2025 & CRL.M.A. 20345/2025**

NAVEEN MANSOORI

.....Applicant

Through: Mr. Yogesh Kumar, Adv.  
through V.C.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State.  
SI Satish Kumar, PS  
Mangolpuri.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**17.07.2025**

1. The present application is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No.11/2023 dated 07.01.2023, registered at Police Station Mangol Puri for offences under Sections 365 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.
2. Issue notice.
3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State accepts notice.
4. Let the Status Report be filed before the next date of hearing.
5. Let the nominal roll of the applicant be also requisitioned from the concerned Jail Superintendent before the next date of hearing.
6. List on 22.08.2025.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 17, 2025**

**“SK”**



\$~19

\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+

**CRL.A. 1033/2023 & CRL.M.(BAIL) 1844/2023**

**SARABJEET SINGH**

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Rahul Khanna, Adv.

versus

**STATE OF NCT OF DELHI**

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP  
for the State  
SI Ravi Raman, PS-  
Inderpuri  
Victim (through VC)

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**17.07.2025**

1. The victim is present through video-conference.
2. The learned counsel for the appellant requests for an adjournment.
3. List for final arguments on 13.10.2025.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**JULY 17, 2025**

**"SS"**