

Why PR and code reviews?

- It's **not** just about getting code into the master branch
- Chance to catch bugs & code quality issues, early
- An exchange of best practices and experiences
- Learning opportunity for Reviewee & Reviewer
- Without pairing, review is the primary way to make sure knowledge of a feature change not siloed

Why PR and code reviews?

- Finally
 - It's cheaper to do things right the first time
 - We should improve our code quality
 - Foster constructive exchange of ideas and knowledge
- If pairing intensively on a branch, the PR may be formality because everything was already reviewed during develepment.

Reviewee's Mindset

- Be humble, expect comments
- It is not YOUR code, it the team's code
- Leave the code better than you found it
- Respect people's time

Reviewer's Mindset

- Be polite
- No voice - less context
- Use to pass on knowledge of best practices
- Don't try to fix everything, avoid nitpicking
- Don't just hope for the code to work
- Give sincere praise, on good practices

A good starting point would be:
Start with making sure to know what the ticket says
so you can see if code does is meant
if PR more complex
then open project check in its context
for test coverage
resharper/compiler/sonar warnings
etc.

PR size

- Make your PRs
- How small should be decided
- PR should be handled within
- Disadvantages of large PRs:
 - Difficult and long to review
 - May result in fast approvals
 - People don't bother reviewing properly
 - hesitate invest so much time
- Benefits of small PRs:
 - Easier to review
 - spot bugs and issues
 - Faster fix
 - merge
 - Fewer merge conflicts

Prepare A good PR



- Commit atomic self-contained changes
- Have on-point commit messages
- Unrelated changes? Separate commit
- Write useful descriptions and titles
- Review your own code first

Helpful questions for the self review:
Are all style commitments fulfilled?
tests running well?
build pipelines running
warnings analyzed and removed if possible?
Does code fit into architecture commitments?
changes fulfill requested requirements?
Is implementation best one I can provide?

Commenting On PRs

- Avoid "you" => use "we", "me", "code"...
- Try use Observe/Impact/Request pattern
- If possible or useful add code examples
- Don't try to fix everything
- Use lables, e.g. "minor: xxx", "major: "hint: xxx"
- Add praise to good implementation
- Review quickly

Iterations Commenting On PRs

- have a maximum on iterations for review e.g. 2 iterations
- more iterations will increase the stress level on both sides
- Found X issues, raise all at once => then: let people fix them if found more critical BUT: don't change your mind or start challenging the design

Iterations Commenting On PRs

- As soon as there's something complicated that maybe needs discussion
 - Talk to the person directly after review is done
 - Instead of leaving a comment likely induce stress
- Finish what you started and do not disappear from reviewing

PR finished & Post work

- You might:
 - Fix as suggested
 - the way you prefer
 - Push back
- Regardless: reply to **ALL** comments
 - "fixed" or "done"
 - "done x"
- No response may be perceived "you ignored me"
 - And DON'T "resolve" comment
 - Let reviewer check and click resolve themselves!