NOTES ON DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

ALEX NELSON

1. An Introduction To Grassmann Variables

We want to have variables which satisfy the relation

(1.1)
$$\varepsilon^i \varepsilon^j = -\varepsilon^j \varepsilon^i$$

which implies when i = j that

$$(1.2) (\varepsilon^i)^2 = 0.$$

So we basically will have variables that look like

(1.3)
$$a_0 + \sum_i b_i \varepsilon^i + \sum_i i, j c_{ij} \varepsilon^i \varepsilon^j + \dots$$

where a_0 , b_i , $c_i j$, etc. are coefficients. By our condition (1.2) we see that we can have at most, with n different grassmann "generators" (meaning we have ε^i and i = 1, ..., n), we can have

$$(1.4) 1 + \binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{2} + \ldots = n^2$$

terms total, where

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!}$$

is the binomial coefficients.

2. Exterior Derivative

With n grassmann generators, we can write

$$(2.1) d = \sum_{i} \varepsilon^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}$$

Date: August 02, 2008.

where in cartesian coordinates $x^1 = x$, $x^2 = y$, $x^3 = z$, etc. Observe that by direct computation we find

(2.2)
$$d^2 = \left(\sum_i \varepsilon^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right)^2.$$

We will perform a proof by induction that

$$(2.3) d^2 = 0.$$

We will use the notation

(2.4)
$$\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}.$$

Proof. Base Case We see that with

$$(2.5a) \quad (\varepsilon^1 \partial_1 + \varepsilon^2 \partial_2)^2 = (\varepsilon^1 \partial_1)^2 + (\varepsilon^2 \partial_2)^2 + (\varepsilon^1 \varepsilon^2 \partial_1 \partial_2) + (\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^1 \partial_1 \partial_2)$$

(2.5b)
$$= (0) + (0) + (\varepsilon^1 \varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^1) \partial_1 \partial_2$$

$$(2.5c) = (0)\partial_1\partial_2$$

$$(2.5d) = 0.$$

So it is true with n=2.

Inductive Hypothesis We assume that it works with n.

Inductive Case With n + 1 we see that

(2.6)
$$(\varepsilon^1 \partial_1 + \ldots + \varepsilon^n \partial_n + \varepsilon^{n+1} \partial_{n+1})^2 = (z + \varepsilon^{n+1} \partial_{n+1})^2$$

where we define

(2.7)
$$z = \varepsilon^1 \partial_1 + \ldots + \varepsilon^n \partial_n.$$

By the inductive hypothesis, we assumed that

$$(2.8) z^2 = 0$$

so we find

$$(2.9) \quad (z + \varepsilon^{n+1} \partial_{n+1})^2 = z^2 + \underbrace{(\varepsilon^{n+1} \partial_{n+1})^2}_{0} + z \varepsilon^{n+1} \partial_{n+1} + \varepsilon^{n+1} \partial_{n+1} z.$$

So we have a simple expression, and it's one we all know and love! It's simply

(2.10)

$$z\varepsilon^{n+1}\partial_{n+1} + \varepsilon^{n+1}\partial_{n+1}z = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\varepsilon i\partial_{i}\right)\varepsilon^{n+1}\partial_{n+1} + \varepsilon^{n+1}\partial_{n+1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\varepsilon i\partial_{i}\right)$$

but by our expression (1.1), we see that these two terms cancel each other out! That is, we get a number of expressions of the form

(2.11)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon^{n+1} \varepsilon^{i} + \varepsilon^{i} \varepsilon^{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (0) = 0.$$

This completes our proof by induction!

APPENDIX A. A NOTE ON DIFFERENTIALS

We have seen that

(A.1)
$$d = \sum_{i} \varepsilon^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}$$

but how exactly do we end up with dx, dy, dz, etc.?

Well, we take in this case

and we can rewrite the exterior derivative as

(A.3)
$$d = \sum_{i} dx^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}.$$

A k-form is then

(A.4)
$$\omega = \partial_{i_1} \dots \partial_{i_k} f \varepsilon^{i_1} \dots \varepsilon^{i_k}$$

and integration of a one form is simply

(A.5)
$$\int \omega d^n x d^n \varepsilon = \int \partial_{i_1} \dots \partial_{i_n} f d^n x.$$

Furthermore change of coordinates is absolutely trivial, if

$$(A.6) x^i = \psi^i(\tilde{x})$$

then

(A.7)
$$\int f(x)dx^{1}\dots dx^{n} = \int f(\psi(\tilde{x}))\underbrace{\prod_{\text{Super-Jacobian}}}_{\text{Super-Jacobian}} = \int f(\psi(\tilde{x}))d^{n}\tilde{x}.$$

Note that the super Jacobian is 1.