

# Reader Report: Pearl's *Causal Inference in Statistics*

Chapter 3: The Effects of Interventions

Prabakaran

## 1. Notes

**Do-Operator & Graph Surgery.** The chapter distinguishes *seeing* from *doing*:  $P(Y | X = x)$  conditions on observation, while  $P(Y | do(X = x))$  represents intervention. Intervention is formalized as **graph surgery**—deleting all arrows into  $X$ , yielding  $G_{\overline{X}}$ . The post-intervention distribution follows the truncated factorization:  $P(Y | do(X = x)) = \sum_z \prod_{i: V_i \neq X} P(V_i | pa_i)|_{X=x}$ .

**Back-Door Criterion.** A set  $Z$  satisfies the back-door criterion relative to  $(X, Y)$  if: (i) no node in  $Z$  is a descendant of  $X$ , and (ii)  $Z$  blocks every back-door path from  $X$  to  $Y$ . When satisfied, the **adjustment formula** applies:  $P(Y | do(X = x)) = \sum_z P(Y | X = x, Z = z) \cdot P(Z = z)$ . This simulates randomization by averaging over confounder strata.

**Front-Door Criterion.** When confounders are unobserved, identification may proceed through mediators. A set  $M$  satisfies the front-door criterion if it intercepts all directed  $X \rightarrow Y$  paths, has no unblocked back-door from  $X$ , and all  $M \rightarrow Y$  back-doors are blocked by  $X$ :  $P(Y | do(X = x)) = \sum_m P(M = m | X = x) \sum_{x'} P(Y | M = m, X = x') P(X = x')$ .

**IPW Connection.** The adjustment formula rewrites as inverse probability weighting:  $E[Y | do(X = x)] = E[Y \cdot \mathbb{1}(X = x) / P(X = x | Z)]$ , linking to propensity score methods.

## 2. Questions

1. **Soft interventions:** How does graph surgery extend to stochastic policies that don't fully sever incoming edges?
2. **Adjustment set selection:** With multiple valid back-door sets, what are variance-efficiency tradeoffs? Can over-adjustment introduce bias?
3. **Front-door rarity:** Why is the front-door criterion rarely applicable? What makes complete mediating pathways uncommon?
4. **Positivity:** Adjustment requires  $P(X | Z) > 0$  for all strata. How do violations manifest and what remedies exist?
5. **Sequential treatments:** How do these results generalize to time-varying interventions and the g-formula?

## 3. Summary

Chapter 3 operationalizes Pearl's framework: *How do we compute causal effects from observational data?* The **do-operator** formalizes intervention as graph surgery, separating  $P(Y | X)$  (association) from  $P(Y | do(X))$  (causation). The **back-door criterion** enables identification when confounders are observed; the **front-door criterion** provides an alternative through mediators when confounders are hidden.

The core insight: causal effects are *identifiable* when the graph has appropriate structure—the graph dictates both *whether* identification is possible and *how* to achieve it. This chapter grounds randomized experiments, observational study design, propensity scores, and mediation analysis—transitioning from “what is a causal model?” to “what can we compute with it?”