

# Introduction to electroencephalography and magnetoencephalography (M/EEG)

Théo Papadopoulos

INRIA



Master DSAL, October 2023

# Spatio-temporal properties of various brain functional imagery modalities

Log Spatial Resolution (mm)

brain


area

column

layer  
neuron

dendrite

synapse



non-invasive




invasive

Log Time Resolution (sec)



## 1791: Galvani discovers bio-electricity.






- Experiment of “galvanic paw”.
- Two metallic stalks of different metals (copper & zinc) cause an ionic current flow.



# 1924: Hans Berger invents electroencéphalography

- ✓ Demonstration of electrical activity of the brain at the surface of the scalp.
- ✓ This raises the question of the precise origin of this signal.





- First publication: 1929
- Discovery of two types of rhythms/oscillations
  - alpha: 10Hz
  - beta: 15Hz
  - Up to 200 $\mu$ V

# Bases of Neuroanatomy

## Cerebral cortex & cellular organisation


- Cortical layers :
  - Up to 6, variable in thickness, density and neuronal cell types



# Neuroanatomy bases



- The neuron
  - Basic building block of the nervous system.
    - Discrete organization.
    - Cajal 1889 & Waldeyer 1891
  - Many different neuron types across the central nervous system (CNS).

12




# Elements of neural electrophysiology


- The **pyramidal neuron** case
  - Big cell
  - Organized into macro-assemblies
  - Superposition of elementary currents
    - « traces » visible at distance, at the scalp surface



# Elements of neural electrophysiology



- Post-Synaptic Potentials
  - Evoked by action potentials (AP) of afferent cells.
  - Excitatory (EPSP) or inhibitory (IPSP)
  - Summation effect with eventual emission of an efferent AP.
  - Wider and slower than AP.
    - This reinforces superposition of PSPs of the same neural assemblies.




# A question of scale

Excitatory post-synaptic potentials





Scale: **micro**

Pyramidal cell assembly



# A question of scale

Scale: micro → meso






# A question of scale

Scale:

micro


meso

macro




CNRS UPR640 - USC - LANL

# The current dipole: a simple model for electrophysiology of neural assemblies




Current flow lines (-) and isopotential lines (--)



Excitatory post-synaptic potentials

# Current dipole

Pyramidal cell assembly




- $Q = I \times d = 10 \text{ fAm} (20 \text{ fAm})$
- Cortical macrocolumn
  - $10^6$  neurons
  - $Q = \sim 10 \text{nAm}$
  - Homogeneous infinite domain:


$$V = \frac{1}{4\pi\sigma} \frac{Q \cdot R}{\|R\|^3} \approx 2 \text{ mV}$$

# From bio-electricity to bio-magnetism

- Magnetic field:  
dual of the  
neural electric  
potential.




Electrical current flow lines



Magnetic field flow lines


# 1963: First magnetocardiogram (MCG)

- Baul & McFee,  
1963




# 1972: First magnetoencephalogram (MEG)

- David Cohen (MIT)
  - Oscillations of type alpha
  - As Berger with EEG about 40 years before.



# Summary :



EEG:

- From 1 to 100  $\mu\text{V}$

MEG:

- About 100 fT
- Earth static magnetic field (50  $\mu\text{T}$ )
- $\sim$ 1 billion times weaker

# Instrumentation: EEG



1945



1955

# Instrumentation: EEG

- Integration of more and more sensors.
- Up to 256.
- Faster and faster.
  - ++kHz
- Reliable and easy to setup.



Electrical  
Geodesics




NeuroScan



MicroMed

# Magnitude of cerebral magnetic fields

## Magnetic Fields




# Instrumentation: MEG

(a)





# Instrumentation: MEG




# MEG: improving the SNR


Gradient of magnetic fields of higher order with reference sensors




# Modelisation of neural electromagnetic fields



# Modelisation of neural electromagnetic fields




# Modelisation of neural electromagnetic fields



Field propagation effects are neglected.

# Fields and potentials produced by a current dipole

## Magneto & Electroencephalography



MEG & EEG are complementary and can be combined

# MEG/EEG Data

Multivariate temporal series ✓

- Number of sensors depends on the device



- Up to 256 EEG sensors

- Setup costs, price of the device.

- Up to 400 MEG sensors.

✓ Very noisy data.

- Not easily interpreted (importance of frequency).



# Spontaneous Activity

Artifacts (ocular, cardiac, ...)

Different rhythms (thalamo-cortical loops)

- alpha : 8 - 13 Hz (occipital)
- mu : 7 - 11 Hz (motion)
- beta : 18 - 30 Hz (motor)
- gamma : 30 - 50 Hz
- delta : 0.5 - 4 Hz (sleep)




Epileptic signals

- interictic spikes
- EEG ictal (crisis)



# Evoked Potentials


Stimulus synchronized averaging (Dawson 1937)




Different responses (latency and amplitude)

Nomenclature :



- N<sub>xxx</sub> : EEG negative wave at xxx ms
- P<sub>xxx</sub> : EEG positive wave
- M<sub>xxx</sub> : MEG wave ..... at xxx ms



# MEG/EEG Data (Evoked potentials).



# Influence of the number of repetitions




1 trial

10 trials

50 trials

# Influence of the number of repetitions



100 trials

400 trials


1600 trials

# Example of MEG measures





## Tennis ball catching

- 100 trials
  - 70 cm – fall
  - Paris CTF 151-channel system




# Example of MEG measures



Data courtesy of Patrice Senot, LPPA, Collège de France


# Radial and tangential dipoles

- Defined for spherical geometries



# MEG/EEG differences: influence of tissues

---




Cortex

External skull


# Influence of source orientation

- Spherical geometry


MEG





EEG



Tangential dipole




Radial dipole




# Influence of source orientation

- Realistic geometry


Tangential dipole





MEG



EEG



Radial dipole



# Influence of the source depth


- Realistic geometry

Superficial source

External cortex

Deep source

Internal cortex



1

1

1/100

1/3

# DIFFERENCES BETWEEN MEG and EEG: SUMMARY



## MEG

- ✓ Measures magnetic field.
- ✓ Dipolar response perpendicular to dipole direction.
- ✓ Focal response.
- ✓ Only slightly affected by head tissues.
- ✓ Selective to tangential sources.
- Low sensitivity to deep sources.
- Expensive instrument.


## EEG

- ✓ Measures electrical potential.
- ✓ Dipolar response parallel to that of the dipole.
- ✓ Diffuse response.
- ✓ Strongly affected by head tissues.
- ✓ Sensitive to all dipole orientations.
- Sensitive to deep sources.
- Less expensive instrument.

# From empirical localization...



# ...to Dynamic Brain Mapping



# EEG/MEG Applications

- Clinical (epilepsy, SEP, ...).
  - Localization
  - Pre-surgical planning
- Cognitive (audition, motor, visual, sensory tasks).
  - Localization.
  - Timing of events.
- Brain Computer Interfaces
  - Primarily EEG.
  - Mostly at signal level.