# Introduction to Reinforcement Learning 3/10

Jean Martinet

MSc DSAI

2024 - 2025

## Program<u>me</u>

- Introduction
  - Course 1 : Introduction to Reinforcement Learning (RL)
- Part I on tabular methods
  - Course 2: Markov Decision Processes
  - Course 3 : Dynamic programming in RL
  - Course 4 : Temporal difference 1/2 (Q-learning)
  - Course 5 : Temporal difference 2/2 (SARSA)
- Part II on approximate methods
  - Course 6 : Value function approximation
  - Course 7 : Eligibility traces
  - Course 8 : Policy gradient 1/2 (REINFORCE)
  - Course 9 : Policy gradient 2/2 (actor-critic methods)
  - Course 10 : Projects presentation session

# Reminder: think of a project topic

#### Choose from :

- Public presentation of articles/advanced topics/applications
  - Conference paper or book chapter
  - Advanced theme (e.g. actor-critic, eligibility trace, etc.)
  - Application domain (e.g. temperature control, revenue management, etc.)
- Deepening or exploration project
  - Subject to be chosen/defined and validated
- Choice to be validated before session 4
- Expected result :
  - Short 2-page max PDF report
  - Code (ipynb / py / git)
  - Short 10-min presentation during last / before last session

## About the project

- Double objective
  - Dig deeper in a specific subject (discussed or not during the lectures)
  - Share your insights with other students (in a teacher mode)
- A bit hard to choose early, before having reviewed all topics
- If you can define what is the environment, the reward, the agent, and the actions, it is a good start
- Stay small, at least for a first version, then make it more complex if you have time
- An experimental contribution is needed
  - E.g. compare two algorithms
  - E.g. start from an existing approach, and monitor changes when parameters vary
- The project needs be ORIGINAL
  - You need an original contribution of your own
  - Make sure your project is different from what can be found online
- IMPORTANT: if you decide to use an existing work, it is MANDATORY to cite the source, and you need to state what your contribution is

## Note

• Graded lab next week (Q-learning)

# Today's menu

- Key idea of DP
- Policy iteration (evaluation-improvement)

# Dynamic programming

- Collection of algorithms that can be used to compute optimal policies given a perfect model of the environment like MDP
- Limited utility yet theoretically important
  - Perfect model assumption
  - Computationally expensive
- DP uses value functions to organise the search for good policies

## Remember last week

ullet Bellman optimality equation for  $V^*$ 

$$V^{*}(s) = \max_{a} \mathbb{E}[r_{t+1} + \gamma V^{*}(S_{t+1}) | S_{t} = s, A_{t} = a]$$

$$= \max_{a} \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|s,a)[r + \gamma V^{*}(s')]$$

• Bellman optimality equation for  $Q^*$ 

$$Q^*(s, a) = \mathbb{E}[r_{t+1} + \gamma \max_{a'} Q^*(S_{t+1}, a') | S_t = s, A_t = a]$$

$$= \sum_{s', r} p(s', r | s, a) [r + \gamma \max_{a'} a' Q^*(s', a')]$$

- ullet We can easily find  $\pi^*$  once we have once we have  $V^*$  or  $Q^*$
- DP algorithms turn Bellman equations into update rules

# Policy evaluation (prediction)

- How to compute  $V^{\pi}$  for an arbitrary  $\pi$ ?
- Remember last week

$$V^{\pi}(s) \doteq \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[G_{t}|S_{t} = s]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[r_{t+1} + \gamma G_{t+1}|S_{t} = s]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[r_{t+1} + \gamma V^{\pi}(S_{t+1})|S_{t} = s]$$

$$= \sum_{a} \pi(a|s) \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|s,a)[r + \gamma V^{\pi}(s')]$$

If the environment dynamics are completely known, we can iterate:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{k+1}^{\pi}(s) &= \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[r_{t+1} + \gamma V_{k}^{\pi}(S_{t+1}) | S_{t} = s] \\ &= \sum_{a} \pi(a|s) \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|s,a) [r + \gamma V_{k}^{\pi}(s')] \end{aligned}$$

- Initialisation : random for all states, expect 0 terminal states
- ullet We are guaranteed to converge towards  $V^\pi$  when  $k o \infty$
- This is the iterative policy evaluation

# Policy evaluation (prediction)

Each iteration updates all cells

Input  $\pi$ , the policy to be evaluated

• Two versions : 2 arrays versus in-place (equivalent, the latter is faster)

#### Iterative Policy Evaluation, for estimating $V \approx v_{\pi}$

```
Algorithm parameter: a small threshold \theta > 0 determining accuracy of estimation Initialize V(s), for all s \in \mathbb{S}^+, arbitrarily except that V(terminal) = 0

Loop:  \Delta \leftarrow 0 
Loop for each s \in \mathbb{S}:  v \leftarrow V(s) 
 V(s) \leftarrow \sum_a \pi(a|s) \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|s,a) \big[ r + \gamma V(s') \big] 
 \Delta \leftarrow \max(\Delta,|v-V(s)|) 
until \Delta < \theta
```

# Policy improvement

- ullet The objective of estimating  $V^\pi$  is to improve  $\pi$ 
  - From s, we know how good it is to follow  $\pi$ : it is  $V^{\pi}(s)$
  - What if we took another action  $a \neq \pi(s)$ ?
- ullet One way to answer : select a and then follow  $\pi$

$$Q^{\pi}(s, a) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}[r_{t+1} + \gamma V^{\pi}(S_{t+1}) | S_t = s, A_t = a]$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{s', r} p(s', r | s, a)[r + \gamma V^{\pi}(s')]$$

- Key criterion : is it greater than or less than  $V^{\pi}(s)$ ?
  - ullet If greater, change  $\pi$  so as to always select a in s
  - ullet We obtain a new greedy policy  $\pi'$

$$\begin{split} \pi'(s) &\doteq \arg\max_{a} Q^{\pi}(s, a) \\ &= \arg\max_{a} \mathbb{E}[r_{t+1} + \gamma V^{\pi}(S_{t+1}) | S_t = s, A_t = a] \\ &= \arg\max_{a} \sum_{s', r} p(s', r | s, a) [r + \gamma V^{\pi}(s')] \end{split}$$

# Policy improvement theorem

• Let  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  be any pair of deterministic policies such that

$$Q^{\pi}(s,\pi'(s)) \geq V^{\pi}(s)$$
 for all  $s$ 

• Then  $\pi'$  must be as good or better than  $\pi$ 

$$V^{\pi'}(s) \geq V^{\pi}(s)$$
 for all  $s$ 

- Note that if  $V^{\pi} = V^{\pi'}$ 
  - $V^{\pi'}$  must be  $V^*$ , and
  - ullet  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  must be optimal policies

# Policy iteration

- Once a policy  $\pi$  has been improved using  $V^{\pi}$  to obtain the better  $\pi'$ . we can compute  $V^{\pi'}$  and improve it again to obtain  $\pi''$
- Sequence of monotonically improving policies

$$\pi_0 \xrightarrow{E} V^{\pi_0} \xrightarrow{I} \pi_1 \xrightarrow{E} V^{\pi_1} \xrightarrow{I} \pi_2 \dots \xrightarrow{I} \pi^* \xrightarrow{E} V^{\pi^*}$$

- Finite MDP has finite number of policies, so finite number of iterations
- This is called policy iteration

# Policy iteration

### Policy Iteration (using iterative policy evaluation) for estimating $\pi \approx \pi_*$

- 1. Initialization
  - $V(s) \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\pi(s) \in \mathcal{A}(s)$  arbitrarily for all  $s \in \mathcal{S}$
- 2. Policy Evaluation

Loop:

$$\Delta \leftarrow 0$$

Loop for each  $s \in S$ :

$$v \leftarrow V(s)$$

$$V(s) \leftarrow \sum_{s',r} p(s',r|s,\pi(s)) [r + \gamma V(s')]$$
  
$$\Delta \leftarrow \max(\Delta,|v - V(s)|)$$

until  $\Delta < \theta$  (a small positive number determining the accuracy of estimation)

3. Policy Improvement

policy-stable  $\leftarrow true$ For each  $s \in S$ :

$$old\text{-}action \leftarrow \pi(s)$$

$$\pi(s) \leftarrow \underset{arg \max_{a} \sum_{s', r} p(s', r | s, a)}{\text{residunce}} [r + \gamma V(s')]$$

If old-action  $\neq \pi(s)$ , then policy-stable  $\leftarrow$  false

If policy-stable, then stop and return  $V \approx v_*$  and  $\pi \approx \pi_*$ ; else go to 2

# Today's lab

- Find  $\pi*$  for TicTacToe using DP
  - Check https://medium.com/@nour.oulad.moussa/ tic-tac-toe-with-reinforcement-learning-part-i-markov-deci for help
- (Note: Gymnasium next next week)