

# Homework on convex problems

To be handed in before March 15 AoE (included)

## 1 Convex sets

**Exercise 1: Is this convex?** Using the definition of convex sets, discuss whether the following sets are convex:

- a)  $\mathcal{A} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | Ax = b\}$  with  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- b)  $\mathcal{S} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \|x - x_c\|_2 = r\}$  with  $x_c \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0$
- c)  $\mathcal{H} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \|x - x_1\|_2 \leq \|x - x_2\|_2\}$  with  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$

**Exercise 2: Set of polynomial coefficients** Show that  $\mathcal{S} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | |p_x(t)| \leq 1 \text{ for } |t| \leq 1\}$  with  $p_x(t) = x_1 t + \dots + x_n t^n$  is convex.

## 2 Convex functions

**Exercise 3: Is this convex?** Using the definition of convex functions, discuss whether the following functions are convex:

- a)  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto \|x\|_2$
- b)  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto x^3$

**Exercise 4: Sum of biggest absolute value coordinates** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^r |x|_{[i]}$  with  $|x|$  the elementwise absolute value of  $x$ , and  $|x|_{[i]}$  the  $i$ -th biggest coordinate of  $|x|$ . Is  $f$  convex?

**Exercise 5: Epigraph and convex functions** Show that  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex if and only if its epigraph is convex.

## 3 Convex problems

**Exercise 6: Convex problems** Are these problems convex? Can you find an equivalent convex problem?

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Min} && x_1^2 + x_2^2 \\
\text{a) s.t.} &&& \frac{x_1}{1+x_2^2} \leq 0 \\
&&& (x_1 + x_2)^2 = 0 \\
\\
& \text{Min} && \frac{x_1}{x_2} + \frac{x_3}{x_1} \\
\text{b) s.t.} &&& \frac{x_2}{x_3} + x_1 \leq 1 \\
&&& (x_1 + x_2)^2 = 0
\end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 7: Solving Linear Problems** Solve the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Min} && -3x_1 + x_2 \\
\text{a) s.t.} &&& x_1 + x_2 \leq 5 \\
&&& 2x_1 + x_2 \leq 8 \\
&&& x_1, x_2 \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

## 4 Duality

**Exercise 8: Dual analysis of a simple example** We consider the following

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Min} && x^2 + 1 \\
& \text{s.t.} && (x - 2)(x - 4) \leq 0
\end{aligned}$$

- What is the solution of this problem?
- What is the dual problem?
- Compare the solutions of the dual and primal problems. Does strong duality hold?
- Could you have known this without computing the solutions?

**Exercise 9: Let's look at a kernel SVM!** The general Kernel SVM problem is a simple regularized minimization problem over  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  ( $\mathcal{H}$  a RKHS with the positive definite kernel  $k$ , with labeled samples  $(x_i, y_i)$  drawn over the corresponding set) that can be written as  $\text{Min}_f \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(y_i f(x_i)) + \lambda \|f\|_2$  where  $\phi(u) = \max(1 - u, 0)$  is the hinge loss.

- Show that the primal kernel SVM problem can be rewritten a minimization over  $\alpha, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Min}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} && \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i + \lambda \alpha^T K \alpha \\
& \text{s.t.} && \forall i, \xi_i + y_i \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j k(x_j, x_i) - 1 \geq 0 \\
& && \forall i, \xi_i \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

where  $K$  is the kernel's similarity matrix ( $K_{i,j} = k(x_i, x_j)$ ).

**Hint:** Recall the representer theorem tells you the solution over a RKHS satisfies  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i k(x_i, x)$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$

b) Show the Lagrangian can be written

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha, \xi, \mu, \nu) = \frac{\xi^T \mathbf{1}}{n} + \lambda \alpha^T K \alpha - (\text{diag}(y)\mu)^T K \alpha - (\mu + \nu)^T \xi + \mu^T \mathbf{1}$$

in matricial form ( $\mathbf{1}$  is the vector with all coordinates equal to 1).

**Note:**  $\mu, \nu$  are both Lagrange multipliers for inequality constraints here. You might want to start expressing the Lagrangian with respect to the  $\mu_i$  and  $\nu_i$ , and factorize afterwards.

c) Show the dual function can be written as

$$g(\mu, \nu) = \mu^T \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{4\lambda} \mu^T \text{diag}(y) K \text{diag}(y) \mu \text{ if } \mu + \nu = \frac{\mathbf{1}}{n}, -\infty \text{ otherwise}$$

**Hint:** Minimize over  $\alpha$ , then  $\xi$

d) Show the dual problem can be written as

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Max}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n} & 2\alpha^T y - \alpha^T K \alpha \\ \text{s.t.} & 0 \leq y_i \alpha_i \leq \frac{1}{2\lambda n} \end{array}$$

e) Show the KKT lead to the following conditions

$$\forall i, \alpha_i [y_i f(x_i) + \xi_i - 1] = 0, (\alpha_i - \frac{y_i}{2\lambda n}) \xi_i = 0$$

**Note:** Start by writing out the KKT normally, then express the lagrange multipliers as a function of  $\alpha$ . Remember you can remove non-null terms from a product equal to 0.

f) Analyze these conditions (e.g. what happens when  $\alpha_i = 0$ ?). What is a support vector? Why is it very efficient to optimize on  $\alpha$ ?

g) Traditional kernel SVM solvers like Sequential Minimal Optimization (SMO) operates on  $\alpha$  (or on the Lagrange multipliers, the correspondence between the two being immediate). They find  $\alpha_i$  that do not fit the KKT conditions and find an analytical form of  $\alpha_i$  that does. This process is repeated until  $\alpha$  fits the KKT (up to some margin of tolerance). Can you explain why this is a good way to solve the optimization problem?