Homework on convex problems

To be handed in before March 15 AoE (included)

1 Convex sets

Exercise 1: Is this convex? Using the definition of convex sets, discuss whether the following sets are convex:

- a) $\mathcal{A} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | Ax = b\}$ with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- b) $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | ||x x_c||_2 = r\}$ with $x_c \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0$
- c) $\mathcal{H} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \|x x_1\|_2 \le \|x x_2\|_2 \}$ with $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Exercise 2: Set of polynomial coefficients Show that $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | |p_x(t)| \le 1 \text{ for } |t| \le 1 \}$ with $p_x(t) = x_1 t + ... + x_n t^n$ is convex.

2 Convex functions

Exercise 3: Is this convex? Using the definition of convex functions, discuss whether the following functions are convex:

- a) $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto ||x||_2$
- b) $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto x^3$

Exercise 4: Sum of biggest absolute value coordinates Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $x \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^r |x|_{[i]}$ with |x| the elementwise absolute value of x, and $|x|_{[i]}$ the i-th biggest coordinate of |x|. Is f convex?

Exercise 5: Epigraph and convex functions Show that $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex if and only if its epigraph is convex.

3 Convex problems

Exercise 6: Convex problems Are these problems convex? Can you find an equivalent convex problem?

a) Min
$$x_1^2 + x_2^2$$

s.t. $\frac{x_1}{1+x_2^2} \le 0$
 $(x_1 + x_2)^2 = 0$

b) S.t.
$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{x_2}{\text{Min}} & & \frac{x_1}{x_2} + \frac{x_3}{x_1} \\ & \underset{x_3}{\text{b}} + x_1 \leq 1 \\ & & (x_1 + x_2)^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 7: Solving Linear Problems Solve the following problem:

a)
$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Min} & -3x_1 + x_2 \\
\text{s.t.} & x_1 + x_2 \le 5 \\
2x_1 + x_2 \le 8 \\
x_1, x_2 > 0
\end{array}$$

4 Duality

- a) What is the solution of this problem?
- b) What is the dual problem?
- c) Compare the solutions of the dual and primal problems. Does strong duality hold?
- d) Could you have known this without computing the solutions?

Exercise 9: Let's look at a kernel SVM! The general Kernel SVM problem is a simple regularized minimization problem over $f \in \mathcal{H}$ (\mathcal{H} a RKHS with the positive definite kernel k, with labeled samples (x_i, y_i) drawn over the corresponding set) that can be written as $\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(y_i f(x_i)) + \lambda ||f||_2$ where $\phi(u) = \max(1 - u, 0)$ is the hinge loss.

a) Show that the primal kernel SVM problem can be rewritten a minimization over $\alpha, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i + \lambda \alpha^T K \alpha \\ \text{s.t.} & \forall i, \xi_i + y_i \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j k(x_j, x_i) - 1 \geq 0 \\ & \forall i, \xi_i \geq 0 \end{array}$$

where K is the kernel's similarity matrix $(K_{i,j} = k(x_i, x_j))$.

Hint: Recall the representer theorem tells you the solution over a RKHS satisfies $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i k(x_i, x)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$

b) Show the Lagrangian can be written

$$\mathcal{L}(\alpha, \xi, \mu, \nu) = \frac{\xi^T \mathbf{1}}{n} + \lambda \alpha^T K \alpha - (diag(y)\mu)^T K \alpha - (\mu + \nu)^T \xi + \mu^T \mathbf{1}$$

in matricial form (1 is the vector with all coordinates equal to 1).

Note: μ, ν are both Lagrange multipliers for inequality constraints here. You might want to start expressing the Lagrangian with respect to the μ_i and ν_i , and factorize afterwards.

c) Show the dual function can be written as

$$g(\mu, \nu) = \mu^T \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{4\lambda} \mu^T diag(y) K diag(y) \mu \text{ if } \mu + \nu = \frac{\mathbf{1}}{n}, -\infty \text{ otherwise}$$

Hint: Minimize over α , then ξ

d) Show the dual problem can be written as

$$\begin{array}{ll} Max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n} & 2\alpha^T y - \alpha^T K\alpha \\ s.t. & 0 \leq y_i \alpha_i \leq \frac{1}{2\lambda n} \end{array}$$

e) Show the KKT lead to the following conditions

$$\forall i, \alpha_i [y_i f(x_i) + \xi_i - 1] = 0, (\alpha_i - \frac{y_i}{2\lambda n}) \xi_i = 0$$

Note: Start by writing out the KKT normally, then express the lagrange multipliers as a function of α . Remember you can remove non-null terms from a product equal to 0.

- f) Analyze these conditions (e.g. what happens when $\alpha_i = 0$?). What is a support vector? Why is it very efficient to optimize on α ?
- g) Traditional kernel SVM solvers like Sequential Minimal Optimization (SMO) operates on α (or on the Lagrange multipliers, the correspondence between the two being immediate). They find α_i that do not fit the KKT conditions and find an analytical form of α_i that does. This process is repeated until α fits the KKT (up to some margin of tolerance). Can you explain why this is a good way to solve the optimization problem?