




*Attentional Seq2seq model*


## Recurrent neurone

- **Most significant change: new set of weights,  $U$** 
  - connect the hidden layer from the previous time step to the current hidden layer.
  - determine how the network should make use of past context in calculating the output for the current input.




**Figure 9.3** Simple recurrent neural network illustrated as a feed-forward network.

## Simple-RNN abstraction




# RNN Applications


- Sequence Classification (Sentiment, Topic)



- POS, NER, Language Modeling




- Sequence to Sequence
  - Machine translation
  - Question answering



## Popular architectural choices: Encoder

Widely used encoder design: **stacked Bi-LSTMs**

- Contextualized representations for each time step: **hidden states from top layers** from the forward and backward passes




## Decoder Basic Design

- produce an output sequence  
an element at a time

$$c = h_n^e$$

$$h_0^d = c$$




## Decoder Design Enhancement

$$c = h_n^e$$

$$h_0^d = c$$

Context available at each step of decoding



$$h_t^d = g(\hat{y}_{t-1}, h_{t-1}^d) \rightarrow h_t^d = g(\hat{y}_{t-1}, h_{t-1}^d, c)$$

$$z_t = f(h_t^d)$$

$$y_t = \text{softmax}(z_t)$$

## From Sequence-to-Sequence (seq2seq) to Attention


- Instead, what if the decoder, at each step, pays **attention** to a *distribution* of all of the encoder's hidden states?
- **Intuition**: when we (humans) translate a sentence, we don't just consume the original sentence, reflect on the meaning of the last word, then regurgitate in a new language; we **continuously think back at the original sentence** while focusing on **different parts**.
- The concept of **attention** within cognitive neuroscience and psychology dates back to the 1800s. [William James, 1890].
- **Nadaray-Watson kernel regression** proposed in 1964. It *locally weighted* its predictions.





## seq2seq + Attention


**Q:** How do we determine how much to pay attention to each of the encoder's hidden layers?



## seq2seq + Attention

**Q:** How do we determine how much to pay attention to each of the encoder's hidden layers?


**A:** Let's base it on our decoder's current hidden state (our current representation of meaning) and all of the encoder's hidden layers!



# seq2seq + Attention

**Q:** How do we determine how much to pay attention to each of the encoder's hidden layers?


**A:** Let's base it on our decoder's current hidden state (our current representation of meaning) and all of the encoder's hidden layers!



# seq2seq + Attention

**Q:** How do we determine how much to pay attention to each of the encoder's hidden layers?


**A:** Let's base it on our decoder's current hidden state (our current representation of meaning) and all of the encoder's hidden layers!



# seq2seq + Attention

**Q:** How do we determine how much to pay attention to each of the encoder's hidden layers?


**A:** Let's base it on our decoder's current hidden state (our current representation of meaning) and all of the encoder's hidden layers!



# seq2seq + Attention

**Q:** How do we determine how much to pay attention to each of the encoder's hidden layers?


**A:** Let's base it on our decoder's current hidden state (our current representation of meaning) and all of the encoder's hidden layers!



# seq2seq + Attention

**Q:** How do we determine how much to pay attention to each of the encoder's hidden layers?


**A:** Let's base it on our decoder's current hidden state (our current representation of meaning) and all of the encoder's hidden layers!



# seq2seq + Attention

**Q:** How do we determine how much to pay attention to each of the encoder's hidden layers?

**A:** Let's base it on our decoder's current hidden state (our current representation of meaning) and all of the encoder's hidden layers!







# seq2seq + Attention

**Q:** How do we determine how much to pay attention to each of the encoder's hidden layers?


**A:** Let's base it on our decoder's current hidden state (our current representation of meaning) and all of the encoder's hidden layers!



# seq2seq + Attention



We multiply each encoder's hidden layer by its  $a_i^1$  attention weights to create a context vector  $c_1^D$




Attention (softmax'd)

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^1 &= 0.51 \\ a_2^1 &= 0.28 \\ a_3^1 &= 0.14 \\ a_4^1 &= 0.07 \end{aligned}$$


# seq2seq + Attention

**REMEMBER:** each attention weight  $a_i^j$  is based on the **decoder's** current hidden state, too.




# seq2seq + Attention

**REMEMBER:** each attention weight  $a_i^j$  is based on the **decoder's** current hidden state, too.




# seq2seq + Attention

**REMEMBER:** each attention weight  $a_i^j$  is based on the **decoder's** current hidden state, too.




# seq2seq + Attention

**REMEMBER:** each attention weight  $a_i^j$  is based on the **decoder's** current hidden state, too.




# seq2seq + Attention


**REMEMBER:** each attention weight  $a_i^j$  is based on the **decoder's** current hidden state, too.



For convenience, here's the Attention calculation summarized on 1 slide







Popular Attention Scoring functions:

Dot-product

$$h_t^T \times s_k$$

$$\text{score}(h_t, s_k) = h_t^T s_k$$

Bilinear

$$h_t^T \times [W] \times s_k$$

$$\text{score}(h_t, s_k) = h_t^T W s_k$$

Multi-Layer Perceptron

$$w_2^T \times \tanh \left[ W_1 \times \begin{bmatrix} h_t \\ s_k \end{bmatrix} \right]$$

$$\text{score}(h_t, s_k) = w_2^T \cdot \tanh(W_1 [h_t, s_k])$$

# seq2seq + Attention

Attention:

- Improves seq2seq results
- Allows us to visualize the contribution each *encoding* word gave for each *decoder's* word




Image source: [Bahdanau et al., 2015](#)

## Takeaway:

Having a separate **encoder** and **decoder** allows for **n**  $\rightarrow$  **m** length predictions.

**Attention** is powerful; allows us to conditionally weight our focus




Image source: Fig 3 in [Bahdanau et al., 2015](#)

## SUMMARY

- **LSTMs** yielded state-of-the-art results on most NLP tasks (2014-2018)
  - **seq2seq+Attention** was an even more revolutionary idea
  - **Attention** allows us to place appropriate weight to the encoder's hidden states
  - But, **LSTMs** require us to iteratively scan each word and wait until we're at the end before we can do anything
    - It is not possible to parallelize the task
- Transformers will correct this

## Be careful when you read papers: 3 types of attention


- *global attention (or cross attention)*: uses all the encoder hidden
  - Presented in this lecture
- *local attention or self*: uses only a subset of the encoder hidden states
- *Self attention*: uses with transformer architecture
  - Could be parallelized



# Be carefull when you read papers: 2 kind of attention


Self or local  
attention

The Self-Attentions



Cross or global  
attention

The Cross-Attentions




TheAiEdge.io

## Be carefull when you read papers: 2 types of architecture

Luong Attention Mechanism


$$\mathbf{h}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{a}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{c}_t \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_t$$



$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_t(s) &= \text{align}(\mathbf{h}_t, \mathbf{h}_s) \\ \mathbf{c}_t &= \sum \mathbf{a}_t \mathbf{h}_s \\ \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_t &= \tanh(W_c[\mathbf{c}_t; \mathbf{h}_t]) \end{aligned}$$

Bahdanau Attention Mechanism

$$\mathbf{h}_{t-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{a}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{c}_t \rightarrow \mathbf{h}_t$$



$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_t(s) &= \text{align}(\mathbf{h}_{t-1}, \mathbf{h}_s) \\ \mathbf{c}_t &= \sum \mathbf{a}_t \mathbf{h}_s \\ \mathbf{h}_t &= \text{RNN}(\mathbf{h}_{t-1}^{l-1}, [\mathbf{c}_t; \mathbf{h}_{t-1}]) \end{aligned}$$

## Tensorflow Attentional layer

```
tf.keras.layers.Attention
```

```
# Query-value attention of shape [batch_size, Tq, filters].
```


```
query_value_attention_seq =  
    tf.keras.layers.Attention() ([  
        query_seq_encoding,  
        value_seq_encoding  
    ])
```





# The Google Neural Machine Translation — GNMT architecture

Stacked attentional layers



## Some popularization paper

- Sequence to Sequence (seq2seq) and Attention; [https://lena-voita.github.io/nlp\\_course/seq2seq\\_and\\_attention.html](https://lena-voita.github.io/nlp_course/seq2seq_and_attention.html)
- Craft your own Attention layer in 6 lines — Story of how the code evolved: <https://towardsdatascience.com/create-your-own-custom-attention-layer-understand-all-flavours-2201b5e8be9e>
- DeepAR: Mastering Time-Series Forecasting with Deep Learning: <https://towardsdatascience.com/deepar-mastering-time-series-forecasting-with-deep-learning-bc717771ce85>

## MSc. DSAI Lab

- Add a new step on your notebook
  - Preprocessing
  - Seq2Seq architecture
  - Improved seq2seq architecture (optional)
    - Stacked bi-LSTM
    - Context available to each decoder step
  - Attentional Seq2Seq architecture
  - Transformer architecture
  - Transformer Transfer learning with <https://huggingface.co/> (optional)

