# B. Prabhakar201505618Assignment IV

# **Experiment 1**

\$ python RemoveMissingValues.py breast-cancer-wisconsin.data

\$ python ExtractDiscreteFeatures.py bank.csv

# Experiment 2, 10

## \$ python Q2.py bank.csv-mod

```
Prieur Edit View Search Terminal Help

prabhakar@prabhakar-Vostro-3550:~/IIIT-H_current/Sem 2/SMAI/Assignments/4/Code/bank

python Q2.py bank.csv-mod

bank.csv-mod

Loading data with frequency...

done

Loading data with frequency...

done

Computing the probabilities...

done

confusionMatrix:
{'yes': 127, 'no': 394}
{'yes': 116, 'no': 3884}

Accuracy: 88.7193098872

prabhakar@prabhakar-Vostro-3550:~/IIIT-H_current/Sem 2/SMAI/Assignments/4/Code/bank

■
```

# Experiment 3, 10

## \$ python Q3.py breast-cancer-wisconsin.data-filtered

# **Experiment 4**

## \$ python Q4.py breast-cancer-wisconsin.data-filtered

```
prabhakar@prabhakar-Vostro-3550: ~/IIIT-H_current/Sem 2/SMAI/Assignments/4/Code/breast-cancer
prabhakar@prabhakar-Vostro-3550: ~/IIIT-H_current/Sem 2/SMAI/Assignments/4/Code/breast-cancer
$ python Q4.py breast-cancer-wisconsin.data-filtered
breast-cancer-wisconsin.data-filtered
confusionMatrix:
{'2': 432, '4': 12}
{'2': 10, '4': 229}
Accuracy: 96.7789165447
prabhakar@prabhakar-Vostro-3550: ~/IIIT-H_current/Sem 2/SMAI/Assignments/4/Code/breast-cancer
$ $ $ \bigsecite{\text{Code}}$
```

# **Experiment 5,6,7**

## \$ python Q5.py breast-cancer-wisconsin.data-filtered

### \$ python Q8.py breast-cancer-wisconsin.data-filtered

```
prabhakar@prabhakar-Vostro-3550: ~/IIIT-H_current/Sem 2/SMAI/Assignments/4 Naive Bayes (NB) CLAS:
prabhakar@prabhakar-Vostro-3550:~/IIIT-H_current/Sem 2/SMAI/Assignments/4 Naive
Bayes (NB) CLASSIFIER/Code/breast-cancer
$ python Q8.py breast-cancer-wisconsin.data-filtered
breast-cancer-wisconsin.data-filtered
1. Accuracy: 96.1988304094
2. Accuracy: 96.783625731
3. Accuracy: 95.3216374269
4. Accuracy: 97.6608187135
5. Accuracy: 95.3216374269
Accuracy: 94.4444444444
7. Accuracy: 96.4912280702
Accuracy: 95.6140350877
Accuracy: 94.444444444
10. Accuracy: 95.3216374269
Avg. Accuracy: 95.7602339181
Standard Dev.: 0.971974861906
prabhakar@prabhakar-Vostro-3550:~/IIIT-H_current/Sem 2/SMAI/Assignments/4 Naive
Bayes (NB) CLASSIFIER/Code/breast-cancer
```

#### \$ python Q8.py bank.csv-mod

```
prabhakar@prabhakar-Vostro-3550:~/IIIT python Q8.py bank.csv-mod bank.csv-mod
1. Accuracy: 88.1637168142
2. Accuracy: 87.389380531
3. Accuracy: 87.8318584071
4. Accuracy: 86.9469026549
5. Accuracy: 88.6061946903
6. Accuracy: 88.0530973451
7. Accuracy: 88.9380530973
8. Accuracy: 88.6061946903
9. Accuracy: 88.6061946903
10. Accuracy: 89.3805309735
Avg. Accuracy: 88.2522123894
Standard Dev.: 0.692233975538
```

# **Experiment 9**

## \$ python Q9.py breast-cancer-wisconsin.data-filtered

```
prabhakar@prabhakar-Vostro-3550: ~/IIIT-H_current/Sem 2/SMAI/Assignments/4/Code/breast-cancer
prabhakar@prabhakar-Vostro-3550: ~/IIIT-H_current/Sem 2/SMAI/Assignments/4/Code/breas... × prabhakar@prabhakar-Vostro-35
prabhakar@prabhakar-Vostro-3550:~/IIIT-H_current/Sem 2/SMAI/Assignments/4/Code/breast-cancer
$ python Q9.py breast-cancer-wisconsin.data-filtered
breast-cancer-wisconsin.data-filtered
1. Accuracy: 94.7368421053
2. Accuracy: 95.0292397<u>661</u>
3. Accuracy: 97.0760233918
4. Accuracy: 94.444444444
5. Accuracy: 96.1988304094
6. Accuracy: 96.1988304094
7. Accuracy: 95.6140350877
8. Accuracy: 96.1988304094
9. Accuracy: 96.1988304094
10. Accuracy: 96.783625731
Avg. Accuracy: 95.8479532164
Model giving high accuracy is: 9
The model is picked(mean, SD, probability) in file: breast-cancer-wisconsin.data-filtered-BEST-MODEL
pr<u>a</u>bhakar@prabhakar-Vostro-3550:~/IIIT-H_current/Sem 2/SMAI/Assignments/4/Code/breast-cancer
```

# **Questions**

## Question 1:

#### Dataset: breast-cancer-wisconsin.data-filtered

```
Features: [5, 4, 4, 5, 7, 10, 3, 2, 1]
Prob: {'2': 3.9406537264581124e-17, '4': 1.0}
Prediction: 4
class: 2
----
Features: [6, 8, 8, 1, 3, 4, 3, 7, 1]
Prob: {'2': 2.0487223717408941e-21, '4': 1.0}
Prediction: 4
class: 2
----
Features: [3, 1, 1, 3, 8, 1, 5, 8, 1]
Prob: {'2': 0.00032719585990578917, '4': 0.9996728041400943}
Prediction: 4
```

#### Dataset: bank.csv-mod

```
----
```

class: 2

Features: ['student' 'single' 'secondary' 'no' 'no' 'no' 'cellular' 'apr' 'unknown']

Prob: {'yes': 1.9397681910904754e-05, 'no': 2.0540225518229928e-05}

Prediction: no

class: yes

----

Features: ['retired' 'divorced' 'secondary' 'no' 'no' 'no' 'telephone' 'jul'

'unknown']

Prob: {'yes': 2.9071078295234765e-06, 'no': 6.8231395083710569e-06}

Prediction: no

class: yes

----

Features: ['management' 'single' 'tertiary' 'no' 'yes' 'no' 'cellular' 'aug'

'unknown']

Prob: {'yes': 0.00010369572533677281, 'no': 0.00048144202303075287}

Prediction: no

class: yes

The features that are associated the above misclassified samples, are acting like an outliers for the class 2. Hence the Bayesian Classifier has misclassified them.

#### Question 2:

In case if *a prior* probability for feature given a class turns out to be zero, the posterior probability for all the novel patterns in which the feature appears will also turn out to be zero. This may lead to misclassification of all such novel patterns, which is not a desirable thing.

In order to avoid such thing, we add 1 to the numerator of the probability of all the features given a class. However, this addition will lead to violation of the probability law, "Sum of all the probabilities quantize to 1". For making this quantization happen, we add the "Count of the classes" to the denominator. This is called *Laplacian smoothing*.

#### Question 3:

If dataset contains mixed values, while finding the *a prior probabilities* consider the frequency of each discrete features, while considering the value of the continuous features.

#### Question 4:

If the feature for which the value is missing is a continuous value, then consider the missing value as zero.

If the feature for which the value is missing is a discrete value, then consider the missing value as "-".