

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_n < \cdots, \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

such that BVP (4.5.11) has a nontrivial solution if and only if $\lambda = \lambda_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$. The solution y_{λ_n} is unique except for an arbitrary constant factor, and y_{λ_n} has exactly $n - 1$ zeros in the open interval (a, b) .

Remark. $\lambda_n, y_{\lambda_n}, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, are the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of BVP (??), respectively.

4.6 General Sturm-Liouville problems

Let us return briefly to the general Sturm-Liouville BVPs of the form

$$(4.6.1) \quad \frac{d}{dx} \left[p(x) \frac{dy}{dx} \right] + [\lambda q(x) + r(x)] y = 0$$

$$(4.6.2) \quad c_1 y(a) + c_2 y'(a) = 0, d_1 y(b) + d_2 y'(b) = 0$$

where $p(x), q(x)$ and $r(x)$ are continuous on $[a, b]$, $p(x) > 0, q(x) > 0, \forall x \in [a, b], c_1^2 + c_2^2 \neq 0$ and $d_1^2 + d_2^2 \neq 0$. Note that a special case of BVP (4.6.1) - (4.6.2) was discussed in Section 4.5. In the general case, the following result can be proved.

Theorem 4.6.1. There exist real numbers

$$(4.6.3) \quad \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_n < \cdots, \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

such that BVP (4.6.1) - (4.6.2) has a nonzero solution iff $\lambda = \lambda_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$, and eigenfunctions

$$(4.6.4) \quad y_1(x), y_2(x), \dots, y_n(x), \dots$$

are orthogonal on $[a, b]$ with respect to the weight function $q(x)$, i.e.

$$(4.6.5) \quad \int_a^b q(x) y_m(x) y_n(x) dx = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \neq n, \\ \alpha_n \neq 0 & \text{if } m = n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We shall only prove (4.6.5).

Let

$$m(x) = y_m(x)y'_n(x) - y_n(x)y'_m(x) = \det \begin{bmatrix} y_m(x) & y'_m(x) \\ y_n(x) & y'_n(x) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then from (4.6.2) we have

$$(4.6.6) \quad \begin{cases} c_1 y_m(a) + c_2 y'_m(a) = 0, \\ c_2 y_n(a) + c_2 y'_n(a) = 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(4.6.7) \quad \begin{cases} d_1 y_m(b) + d_2 y'_m(b) = 0, \\ d_a y_n(b) + d_2 y'_n(b) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $c_1^2 + c_2^2 \neq 0$ and $d_1^2 + d_2^2 \neq 0$, it follows from (4.6.6) and (4.6.7) that

$$(4.6.8) \quad m(a) = 0 \text{ and } m(b) = 0.$$

Now consider

$$\begin{array}{l} y_n \left\{ \frac{d}{dx} \left[p \frac{dy_m}{dx} \right] + [\lambda_m q + r] y_m \right\} = 0 \\ - y_m \left\{ \frac{d}{dx} \left[p \frac{dy_n}{dx} \right] + [\lambda_n q + r] y_n \right\} = 0 \\ \hline y_n \frac{d}{dx} \left[p \frac{dy_m}{dx} \right] - y_m \frac{d}{dx} \left[p \frac{dy_n}{dx} \right] + (\lambda_m - \lambda_n) q y_m y_n = 0 \end{array}$$

which implies

$$d(\lambda_m - \lambda_n) q y_m y_n = y_m (p y'_n)' - y_n (p y'_m)'.$$

Integrating the above equation from a to b and using integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\lambda_m - \lambda_n) \int_a^b q y_m y_n dx &= \int_a^b y_m (p y_n')' dx - \int_a^b y_n (p y_m')' dx \\
 &= [y_m (p y_n')]_a^b - \int_a^b y_m' (p y_n) dx - [y_n (p y_m')]_a^b + \int_a^b y_n' (p y_m) dx \\
 &= y_m(b) p(b) y_n'(b) - y_m(a) p(a) y_n'(a) - y_n(b) p(b) y_m'(b) + y_n(a) p(a) y_m'(a) \\
 &= p(b) [y_m(b) - y_n(b) y_m'(b)] - p(a) [y_m(b) y_n'(a) - y_m(a) y_n'(a)] \\
 &= p(b) m(b) - p(a) m(a).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus from (4.6.8)

$$(\lambda_m - \lambda_n) \int_a^b q y_m y_n dx = 0$$

which implies (4.6.5).

The significance of property (4.6.5) of the eigenfunctions is that we can obtain expansions of the functions $f(x)$ in terms of the eigenfunctions given by (4.6.4). if we assume

$$(4.6.9) \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n y_n(x),$$

then multiplying both sides of (4.6.9) by $q(x) y_m(x)$ and integrating term by term from a to b yields

$$(4.6.1) \quad \int_a^b f(x) q(x) y_m(x) dx = a_m \int_a^b q(x) y_m^2(x) dx$$

which implies

$$(4.6.10) \quad a_m = \frac{1}{\alpha_m} \int_a^b f(x) q(x) y_m(x) dx, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

Formula (4.6.9) with a_m given in (4.6.10) is called an eigenfunction expansion of $f(x)$.

Remarks:

1. We didn't address the convergence of the series (4.6.9) whose study is beyond the scope of this course.
2. We call the BVP (4.6.1)-(4.6.2) a regular Sturm-Liouville problem because the interval $[a, b]$ is finite and the functions $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ are positive and continuous on $[a, b]$. Otherwise, it is called singular, which is considerably more difficult, and therefore not covered by our discussion here.