

Abstraction Logic in Isabelle/HOL

Steven Obua

June 25, 2022

Abstract

This is work in progress. Its ultimate goal is the formalisation in Isabelle/HOL of Abstraction Logic and its properties as described in [3] and [2].

Contents

1	General	3
1.1	nats	3
1.2	Lists	3
1.2.1	Tools for Indices	3
1.2.2	Indexed Quantification	5
1.2.3	Indexed Fold	6
1.2.4	Indexed Map	8
1.2.5	Fold over Indexed Map	10
1.3	Other	11
2	Shape	11
2.1	Preshapes	11
2.2	Shapes are Wellformed Preshapes	12
2.3	Valence and Arity	12
2.4	Dependencies	13
2.5	Common Concrete Shapes	14
2.5.1	<i>value-shape</i>	14
2.5.2	<i>unop-shape</i>	14
2.5.3	<i>binop-shape</i>	14
2.5.4	<i>operator-shape</i>	15
3	Signature	16
3.1	Abstractions	16
3.2	Signatures	16
3.3	Logic Signatures	17

4	Quotient	17
4.1	Quotients	17
4.2	Equality Modulo	18
4.3	Subsets of Quotients	19
4.4	Equivalence Classes	20
4.5	Construction via Symmetric and Transitive Predicate	20
4.6	Set with Identity as Quotient	21
4.7	Empty and Universal Quotients	22
4.8	Singleton Quotients	22
4.9	Comparing Notions of Quotient Subsets	23
4.10	Functions between Quotients	26
4.11	Vectors as Quotients	29
4.12	Tuples as Quotients	30
5	Abstraction Algebra	31
5.1	Operations and Operators as Quotients	31
5.2	Compatibility of Shape and Operator	32
5.3	Abstraction Algebras	32
6	Term	33
6.1	Variables	33
6.2	Terms	34
6.3	Wellformedness	34
6.4	Free Variables	35
6.5	Signature Locale	37
6.6	Abstraction Algebra Locale	39
7	Valuation	40
7.1	Valuations	40
7.2	Evaluation	42
7.3	Semantical Equivalence	44
8	De Bruijn Term	45
8.1	Terms	45
8.2	Free Atoms	45
8.3	Wellformedness	45

```

theory General
  imports Main HOL-Library.LaTeXsugar HOL-Library.OptionalSugar
begin

```

1 General

1.1 nats

```

definition nats :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat set where
  nats n = {.. $<$  n }

```

```

lemma finite-nats[iff]: finite (nats n)
  using nats-def by auto

```

```

lemma nats-elem[simp]: ( $d \in$  nats n) = ( $d < n$ )
  using nats-def by auto

```

```

lemma nats-0[simp]: nats 0 = {}
  by (simp add: nats-def)

```

```

lemma card-nats[simp]: card (nats n) = n
  by (simp add: nats-def)

```

```

lemma nats-eq-nats[simp]: (nats n = nats m) = (n = m)
  by (metis card-nats)

```

```

lemma Max-nats:  $n > 0 \implies 1 + \text{Max (nats } n) = n$ 
  by (metis Max-gr-iff Max-in Suc-eq-plus1-left Suc-leI finite-nats lessI
    linorder-neqE-nat nats-0 nats-elem not-le)

```

1.2 Lists

1.2.1 Tools for Indices

```

lemma nats-length-nths:
  assumes  $A \subseteq$  nats (length xs)
  shows length (nths xs A) = card A

```

proof –

```

  have l1: length (nths xs A) = card { $i.$   $i < \text{length xs} \wedge i \in A$ }
    using length-nths by force

```

```

  have l2: card { $i.$   $i < \text{length xs} \wedge i \in A$ } = card A

```

```

    by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) Collect-cong Collect-mem-eq Orderings.order-eq-iff
      assms le-fun-def less-eq-set-def nats-elem subsetI)

```

```

  from l1 l2 show ?thesis by presburger

```

qed

```

fun index-of :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  nat option where
  index-of x [] = None
| index-of x (a#as) = (if x = a then Some 0 else
  (case index-of x as of

```

$None \Rightarrow None$
 $| \text{Some } i \Rightarrow \text{Some } (Suc\ i))$

lemma *index-of-head*: $index\text{-of } x\ (x \# xs) = \text{Some } 0$
by *simp*

lemma *index-of-exists*: $x \in \text{set } xs \implies \exists\ i. \text{index-of } x\ xs = \text{Some } i$
proof (*induct xs*)
 case *Nil*
 then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
 case (*Cons a xs*)
 then show *?case*
 proof (*cases a = x*)
 case *True*
 then show *?thesis*
 by *auto*
next
 case *False*
 then show *?thesis*
 using *Cons.hyps Cons.prem*s **by** *fastforce*
qed
qed

lemma *index-of-is-None*: $index\text{-of } x\ xs = \text{None} \implies x \notin \text{set } xs$
using *index-of-exists* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *index-of-is-Some*: $index\text{-of } x\ xs = \text{Some } i \implies i < \text{length } xs \wedge xs[i] = x$
proof(*induct xs arbitrary: i*)
 case *Nil*
 then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
 case (*Cons a as*)
 then show *?case*
 proof(*cases a = x*)
 case *True*
 then have $i = 0$ **using** *Cons* **by** *auto*
 then show *?thesis* **using** *Cons True* **by** *simp*
next
 case *False*
 then have *rec*: $index\text{-of } x\ (a \# as) = (\text{case } index\text{-of } x\ as \text{ of}$
 $None \Rightarrow None$
 $| \text{Some } k \Rightarrow \text{Some } (Suc\ k))$
 by *auto*
 then have $\exists\ k. index\text{-of } x\ (a \# as) = \text{Some } (Suc\ k) \wedge index\text{-of } x\ as = \text{Some } k$
 by (*metis Cons.prem*s *not-None-eq option.simps(4) option.simps(5)*)
 then obtain k **where** k : $index\text{-of } x\ (a \# as) = \text{Some } (Suc\ k) \wedge index\text{-of } x\ as$
 $= \text{Some } k$ **by** *blast*
 then show *?thesis* **using** *Cons* **by** *force*

qed
qed

definition *shift-index* :: *nat* \Rightarrow (*nat* \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow (*nat* \Rightarrow 'a) **where**
shift-index d f x = f (x + d)

lemma *shift-index-0[simp]*: *shift-index* 0 = id
by (subst fun-eq-iff, auto simp add: *shift-index-def*)

lemma *shift-index-acc-append[simp]*:
shift-index d (λi acc x. acc @ [f i x]) = (λi acc x. acc @ [*shift-index* d f i x])
by (auto simp add: *shift-index-def*)

lemma *shift-index-gather*:
shift-index d (λi acc x. g (f i x) acc) = (λi acc x. g (*shift-index* d f i x) acc)
by (auto simp add: *shift-index-def*)

lemma *shift-index-applied-twice[simp]*:
shift-index a (*shift-index* b f) = *shift-index* (a+b) f
apply (subst fun-eq-iff)
apply (auto simp add: *shift-index-def*)
by (metis ab-semigroup-add-class.add-ac(1))

lemma *shift-index-unindexed[simp]*: *shift-index* d (λi i. F) = (λi i. F)
by (auto simp add: *shift-index-def*)

1.2.2 Indexed Quantification

definition *list-indexed-forall* :: 'a list \Rightarrow (*nat* \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool **where**
list-indexed-forall xs f = (\forall i < length xs. f i (xs ! i))

syntax
-list-indexed-forall :: ptnr \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow ptnr \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool
 (($\exists \forall$ - = !-/ -) [1000, 100, 1000, 10] 10)

translations
 $\forall x = xs!i. P \Rightarrow \text{CONST } \text{list-indexed-forall } xs (\lambda i x. P)$

lemma *list-indexed-forall-cong[fundef-cong]*:
assumes xs = ys
assumes $\bigwedge i x. i < \text{length } ys \implies x = ys!i \implies P i x = Q i x$
shows ($\forall x = xs!i. P i x$) = ($\forall y = ys!i. Q i y$)
by (simp add: assms *list-indexed-forall-def*)

lemma *size-nth[termination-simp]*: $i < \text{length } ts \implies \text{size } (ts ! i) < \text{Suc } (\text{size-list } ts)$
by (meson Suc-n-not-le-n linorder-not-less nth-mem size-list-estimation')

lemma *list-indexed-forall-empty[simp]*: *list-indexed-forall* [] f = True

by (simp add: list-indexed-forall-def)

lemma list-indexed-forall-cons[simp]:

list-indexed-forall (x#xs) f = (f 0 x \wedge list-indexed-forall xs (shift-index 1 f))

using less-Suc-eq-0-disj

by (auto simp add: list-indexed-forall-def shift-index-def)

1.2.3 Indexed Fold

definition list-indexed-fold :: (nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b **where**

list-indexed-fold f xs y = fold (λ (i, x) y. f i x y) (zip [0..

syntax

-list-indexed-fold :: pttrn \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow pttrn \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow pttrn \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b

((\S fold - =/ -/ - =/ -!-/ -) [1000, 51, 1000, 100, 1000, 10] 10)

translations

\S fold a = a0, x = xs!i. F \Rightarrow CONST list-indexed-fold (λ i x a. F) xs a0

lemma list-indexed-fold-empty[simp]: list-indexed-fold f [] y = y

by (simp add: list-indexed-fold-def)

lemma list-indexed-fold-cong[fundef-cong]:

assumes xs = ys

assumes $\bigwedge i a x. i < \text{length } ys \implies x = ys!i \implies F i a x = G i a x$

shows (\S fold a = a0, x = xs!i. F i a x) = (\S fold a = a0, y = ys!i. G i a y)

apply (simp add: list-indexed-fold-def)

apply (rule fold-cong)

using assms

apply auto

by (metis add.left-neutral assms(2) in-set-zip nth-upt prod.sel(1) prod.sel(2))

lemma list-indexed-fold-eq:

assumes $\bigwedge i a x. i < \text{length } xs \implies F i a (xs!i) = G i a (xs!i)$

shows (\S fold a = a0, x = xs!i. F i a x) = (\S fold a = a0, x = xs!i. G i a x)

by (metis assms list-indexed-fold-cong)

lemma list-unindexed-forall[simp]: ($\forall x = xs!i. P x$) = ($\forall x \in \text{set } xs. P x$)

apply (auto simp add: list-indexed-forall-def)

by (metis in-set-conv-nth)

lemma fold-zip-interval-shift:

i + length xs = j \implies

fold (λ (i, x) a. F (i + d) x a) (zip [i..

fold (λ (i, x) a. F i x a) (zip [i+d..

proof (induct xs arbitrary: i j a)

case Nil

then show ?case by auto

next

```

case (Cons a xs)
have ij:  $i + \text{length } xs + 1 = j$ 
  using Cons(2)
  by auto
then have ij-interval:  $[i..<j] = i \# [\text{Suc } i ..<j]$ 
  by (simp add: upt-conv-Cons)
from ij have ijd-interval:  $[i+d..<j+d] = (i+d) \# [\text{Suc } (i+d) ..<j+d]$ 
  by (simp add: upt-conv-Cons)
show ?case using Cons(1) ij
  by (auto simp add: ij-interval ijd-interval)
qed

```

```

lemma fold-zip-interval-shift1:
  assumes  $i + \text{length } xs = j$ 
  shows  $\text{fold } (\lambda (i, x) a. F (\text{Suc } i) x a) (\text{zip } [i ..<j] xs) a =$ 
     $\text{fold } (\lambda (i, x) a. F i x a) (\text{zip } [\text{Suc } i ..<\text{Suc } j] xs) a$ 
proof –
  have add:  $\bigwedge i. \text{Suc } i = i + 1$  by auto
  show ?thesis
    apply ((subst add)+)
    apply (rule fold-zip-interval-shift)
    by (simp add: assms)
qed

```

```

lemma list-indexed-fold-cons[simp]:
   $(\S \text{fold } a = a0, x = (u \# us)!i. F i a x) = (\S \text{fold } a = F 0 a0 u, x = us!i. \text{shift-index } 1 F i a x)$ 
proof (induct us arbitrary: a0)
  case Nil
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: list-indexed-fold-def)
next
  case (Cons a us)
  have interval:  $\bigwedge n. [0..<n] @ [n, \text{Suc } n] = 0 \# [(\text{Suc } 0) ..<\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)]$ 
    by (simp add: upt-conv-Cons)
  have app1:  $\bigwedge i n. i \leq n \implies [i ..<n] @ [n] = [i ..<\text{Suc } n]$ 
    by auto
  have app2:  $\bigwedge i n. i \leq n \implies [i ..<n] @ [n, \text{Suc } n] = [i ..<\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)]$ 
    by auto
  have empty:  $\bigwedge us. \neg \text{Suc } 0 \leq \text{length } us \implies us = []$ 
    by (meson Suc-leI length-greater-0-conv)
  from Cons show ?case
    apply (auto simp add: list-indexed-fold-def interval shift-index-def)
    apply (simp only: app1 app2)
    apply (subst fold-zip-interval-shift1)
    by (auto simp add: empty)
qed

```

```

lemma list-unindexed-fold:

```

```

( $\S$ fold  $a = a0$ ,  $x = xs!i$ .  $F x a$ ) = fold  $F xs a0$ 
proof (induct  $xs$  arbitrary:  $a0$ )
  case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons  $a xs$ )
  show ?case
    apply simp
    apply (simp add: list-indexed-fold-def shift-index-def)
    by (metis list-indexed-fold-def local.Cons)
qed

```

1.2.4 Indexed Map

definition $list\text{-}indexed\text{-}map :: (nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'b\ list$ **where**
 $list\text{-}indexed\text{-}map\ f\ xs = (\S fold\ acc = [],\ x = xs!i.\ acc\ @\ [f\ i\ x])$

syntax

```

-list-indexed-map :: ptnr  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  ptnr  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b list
(( $\S$ map - =/ -!-/ -) [1000, 100, 1000, 10] 10)

```

translations

$\S map\ x = xs!i.\ F \Leftrightarrow CONST\ list\text{-}indexed\text{-}map\ (\lambda\ i\ x.\ F)\ xs$

lemma $list\text{-}indexed\text{-}map\text{-}cong[fundef\text{-}cong]$:

```

assumes  $xs = ys$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge i\ x.\ i < length\ ys \implies x = ys!i \implies F\ i\ x = G\ i\ x$ 
shows  $(\S map\ x = xs!i.\ F\ i\ x) = (\S map\ y = ys!i.\ G\ i\ y)$ 
apply (simp add: list-indexed-map-def)
apply (rule list-indexed-fold-cong)
by (auto simp add: assms)

```

lemma $[9, 49] = (\S map\ x = [3 :: nat, 7]!i.\ x * x)$
by (simp add: list-indexed-map-def shift-index-def)

lemma $list\text{-}indexed\text{-}map\text{-}empty[simp]$: $list\text{-}indexed\text{-}map\ F\ [] = []$
by (simp add: list-indexed-map-def)

lemma $list\text{-}indexed\text{-}map\text{-}append\text{-}gen1$: $(\S fold\ acc = acc0,\ x = (as@bs)!i.\ acc\ @\ [f\ i\ x]) =$
 $(\S fold\ acc = (\S fold\ acc = acc0,\ x = as!i.\ acc\ @\ [f\ i\ x]),\ x =$
 $bs!i.\ acc\ @\ [shift\text{-}index\ (length\ as)\ f\ i\ x])$

proof (induct as arbitrary: $acc0\ bs\ f$)

```

  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons  $a as$ )
  show ?case by (auto, subst Cons, simp)
qed

```


lemma *list-indexed-map-append-gen2*:

$(\S fold\ acc = as@bs, x = xs!i. acc\ @\ [f\ i\ x]) =$
 $as\ @\ (\S fold\ acc = bs, x = xs!i. acc\ @\ [f\ i\ x])$

proof (*induct xs arbitrary: bs f*)

case *Nil*

then show *?case* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons c cs*)

show *?case* **using** *Cons* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *list-indexed-map-append*:

$(\S map\ x = (as@bs)!i. F\ i\ x) = (\S map\ x = as!i. F\ i\ x) @ (\S map\ x = bs!i. shift-index$
 $(length\ as)\ F\ i\ x)$

by (*metis list-indexed-map-def append.right-neutral list-indexed-map-append-gen1*

list-indexed-map-append-gen2)

lemma *list-indexed-map-single[simp]*: *list-indexed-map* $F\ [a] = [F\ 0\ a]$

by (*simp add: list-indexed-map-def*)

lemma *list-indexed-map-cons*: $(\S map\ x = (a\#as)!i. F\ i\ x) = F\ 0\ a\ \# (\S map\ x =$
 $as!i. shift-index\ 1\ F\ i\ x)$

using *list-indexed-map-append* [**where** $as=[a]$, *simplified*]

by *force*

lemma *map-cons*: $map\ f\ (a\#as) = f\ a\ \# (map\ f\ as)$

by *force*

lemma *map-snoc*: $map\ f\ (as@[a]) = (map\ f\ as)\ @\ [f\ a]$

by *auto*

lemma *map* $(\lambda i. F\ i\ ((a\ \#\ xs)\ !\ i))\ [0..<length\ xs]\ @\ [F\ (length\ xs)\ ((a\ \#\ xs)\ !$
 $length\ xs)] =$

$map\ (\lambda i. F\ i\ ((a\ \#\ xs)\ !\ i))\ [0..<Suc(length\ xs)]$

by *simp*

lemma *map-eq-intro*:

$length\ xs = length\ ys \implies$

$(\bigwedge i. i < length\ xs \implies f\ (xs!i) = g\ (ys!i)) \implies$

$map\ f\ xs = map\ g\ ys$

by (*simp add: list-eq-iff-nth-eq*)

lemma *list-indexed-map-alt*:

$(\S map\ x = xs!i. F\ i\ x) = map\ (\lambda i. F\ i\ (xs!i))\ [0\ ..<\ length\ xs]$

proof (*induct xs arbitrary: F*)

case *Nil*

then show *?case* **by** *simp*

```

next
  case (Cons a xs)
  have m1: map (λi. F i ((a # xs) ! i)) [0..

```

1.2.5 Fold over Indexed Map

```

lemma fold-indexed-map: (§fold acc = a, x = xs!i. g (F i x) acc) = fold g (§map
x=xs!i. F i x) a
proof (induct xs arbitrary: a F)

```

```

    case Nil
    show ?case by simp
next
    case (Cons u us)
    show ?case using Cons
    by (simp add: list-indexed-map-cons shift-index-gather)
qed

```

```

lemma fold-union: fold (λa b. b ∪ a) xs a0 = a0 ∪ ⋃ (set xs)
proof (induct xs arbitrary: a0)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a xs)
  then show ?case by auto
qed

```

```

lemma Un-indexed-nats: (⋃ i ∈ {0..<n::nat}. F i) = ⋃ { F i | i. i < n }
  by (auto, blast)

```

```

lemma union-indexed-fold:
  (§fold X = X0, x = xs!i. X ∪ F i x) = X0 ∪ ⋃ { F i (xs!i) | i. i < length xs }
  apply (subst fold-indexed-map)
  apply (subst fold-union)
  apply (subst list-indexed-map-alt)
  by (simp add: Un-indexed-nats)

```

```

lemma union-unindexed-fold:
  (§fold X = X0, x = xs!-. X ∪ F x) = X0 ∪ ⋃ { F x | x. x ∈ set xs }
  apply (subst union-indexed-fold)
  by (metis in-set-conv-nth)

```

1.3 Other

```

type-synonym ('a, 'b) map = 'a ⇒ 'b option

```

```

definition map-forced-get :: ('a, 'b) map ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b (infixl !! 100) where
  m !! x = the (m x)

```

```

end
theory Shape imports General
begin

```

2 Shape

2.1 Preshapes

```

type-synonym preshape = (nat set) list

```

definition *preshape-alldeps* :: *preshape* \Rightarrow *nat set* **where**
preshape-alldeps *s* = $\bigcup \{s ! i \mid i. i < \text{length } s\}$

definition *wellformed-preshape* :: *preshape* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
wellformed-preshape *s* = $(\exists m. \text{nats } m = \text{preshape-alldeps } s)$

lemma *wellformed-preshape-empty*[intro]: *wellformed-preshape* []
using *nats-def* *preshape-alldeps-def* *wellformed-preshape-def* **by** *auto*

2.2 Shapes are Wellformed Preshapes

typedef *shape* = $\{s . \text{wellformed-preshape } s\}$ **morphisms** *Preshape Shape*
by *auto*

lemma *wellformed-Preshape*[iff]: *wellformed-preshape* (*Preshape* *s*)
using *Preshape* **by** *auto*

2.3 Valence and Arity

definition *shape-valence* :: *shape* \Rightarrow *nat* (§*val*) **where**
 §*val* *s* = (*THE* *m. nats m = preshape-alldeps (Preshape s)*)

definition *shape-arity* :: *shape* \Rightarrow *nat* (§*ar*) **where**
 §*ar* *s* = *length (Preshape s)*

lemma *preshape-alldeps*[intro]: *wellformed-preshape* *s* $\implies \exists m. \text{nats } m = \text{preshape-alldeps } s$
using *wellformed-preshape-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *preshape-valence*: *preshape-alldeps (Preshape s) = nats (shape-valence s)*
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) nats-eq-nats shape-valence-def the-equality wellformed-Preshape wellformed-preshape-def*)

lemma *empty-deps-Shape-valence*:
preshape-alldeps s = \{\} $\implies (\text{shape-valence } (\text{Shape } s) = 0)$
by (*metis CollectI Shape-inverse nats-0 nats-eq-nats preshape-valence wellformed-preshape-def*)

lemma *nonempty-deps-Shape-valence*:
assumes *wf*: *wellformed-preshape s*
assumes *nonempty*: *preshape-alldeps s* $\neq \{\}$
shows *shape-valence (Shape s) = 1 + Max (preshape-alldeps s)*

proof –

have $\exists m. \text{preshape-alldeps } s = \text{nats } m$

using *wf wellformed-preshape-def* **by** *blast*

then obtain *m* **where** *preshape-alldeps s = nats m* **by** *blast*

then show *?thesis* **using** *Max-nats wf*

by (*metis CollectI nats-0 nonempty preshape-valence Shape-inverse zero-less-iff-neq-zero*)

qed

lemma *Shape-arity[intro]: wellformed-preshape $s \implies \text{shape-arity } (\text{Shape } s) = \text{length } s$*

by (*simp add: Shape-inverse shape-arity-def*)

2.4 Dependencies

definition *shape-deps :: shape \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat set (infixl . \natural 100)*

where *$s.\natural i = (\text{Preshape } s) ! i$*

abbreviation *shape-select-deps :: shape \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('a list \Rightarrow 'a list) ($-\text{@}-'$) [100, 101, 0] 100)*

where *$s.\text{@}(xs) \equiv \text{nths } xs (s.\natural i)$*

abbreviation *shape-deps-card :: shape \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat (infixl . $\#$ 100)*

where *$s.\#i \equiv \text{card}(s.\natural i)$*

lemma *shape-deps-in-alldeps:*

$i < \text{shape-arity } s \implies \text{shape-deps } s \ i \subseteq \text{preshape-alldeps } (\text{Preshape } s)$

using *preshape-alldeps-def shape-arity-def shape-deps-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *$i < \text{shape-arity } s \implies \text{shape-deps } s \ i \subseteq \text{nats } (\text{shape-valence } s)$*

using *preshape-valence shape-deps-in-alldeps* **by** *presburger*

lemma *shape-valence-deps:*

assumes *$d: d < \text{shape-valence } s$*

shows *$\exists i < \text{shape-arity } s. d \in \text{shape-deps } s \ i$*

proof –

have *$d': d \in \text{preshape-alldeps } (\text{Preshape } s)$*

using *d preshape-valence* **by** *auto*

have *$\exists i. i < \text{length } (\text{Preshape } s) \wedge d \in (\text{Preshape } s) ! i$*

using *d'* **by** (*auto simp add: preshape-alldeps-def*)

then obtain *i where $i: i < \text{length } (\text{Preshape } s) \wedge d \in (\text{Preshape } s) ! i$* **by** *blast*

show *?thesis* **using** *i*

using *shape-arity-def shape-deps-def* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *shape-deps-valence:*

assumes *$i: i < \text{shape-arity } s \wedge d \in \text{shape-deps } s \ i$*

shows *$d < \text{shape-valence } s$*

by (*metis i nats-elem preshape-valence shape-deps-in-alldeps subsetD*)

lemma *nats-shape-valence-is-union:*

$\text{nats } (\text{shape-valence } s) = \bigcup \{ \text{shape-deps } s \ i \mid i. i < \text{shape-arity } s \}$

using *preshape-alldeps-def preshape-valence shape-arity-def shape-deps-def* **by** *presburger*

lemma *zero-arity-valence: shape-arity $s = 0 \implies \text{shape-valence } s = 0$*

by (*metis less-nat-zero-code not-gr-zero shape-valence-deps*)

lemma *zero-valence-deps*: $i < \text{shape-arity } s \implies \text{shape-valence } s = 0 \implies \text{shape-deps } s \ i = \{\}$

by (*metis all-not-in-conv less-nat-zero-code shape-deps-valence*)

definition *shape-valence-at* :: $\text{shape} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**

shape-valence-at $s \ i = \text{card}(\text{shape-deps } s \ i)$

2.5 Common Concrete Shapes

2.5.1 *value-shape*

definition *value-shape* :: shape **where**

value-shape = *Shape* []

lemma *value-shape-valence*[*iff*]: $\text{shape-valence } (\text{value-shape}) = 0$

by (*simp add: value-shape-def empty-deps-Shape-valence preshape-alldeps-def*)

lemma *Preshape-Shape*[*intro*]: $\text{wellformed-preshape } s \implies \text{Preshape } (\text{Shape } s) = s$

by (*auto simp add: Shape-inverse*)

lemma *value-Preshape*[*simp*]: $\text{Preshape } \text{value-shape} = []$

by (*auto simp add: value-shape-def*)

lemma *value-shape-arity*[*simp*]: $\S \text{ar } \text{value-shape} = 0$

by (*simp add: Shape-arity value-shape-def wellformed-preshape-empty*)

2.5.2 *unop-shape*

definition *unop-shape* :: shape **where**

unop-shape = *Shape* [{}]

lemma *wf-unop-preshape*: $\text{wellformed-preshape } [{\}]$

using *preshape-alldeps-def wellformed-preshape-def*

by *auto*

lemma *unop-Preshape*[*simp*]: $\text{Preshape } (\text{unop-shape}) = [{\}]$

by (*simp add: Shape-inverse unop-shape-def wf-unop-preshape*)

lemma *unop-shape-arity*[*simp*]: $\S \text{ar } \text{unop-shape} = 1$

by (*simp add: Shape-arity unop-shape-def wf-unop-preshape*)

lemma *unop-shape-valence*[*simp*]: $\S \text{val } \text{unop-shape} = 0$

by (*simp add: empty-deps-Shape-valence preshape-alldeps-def unop-shape-def*)

lemma *unop-shape-deps-0*[*simp*]: $\text{shape-deps } \text{unop-shape } 0 = \{\}$

by (*simp add: zero-valence-deps*)

2.5.3 *binop-shape*

definition *binop-shape* :: shape **where**

```

binop-shape = Shape [{}, {}]

lemma wf-binop-preshape: wellformed-preshape [{}, {}]
  using preshape-alldeps-def wellformed-preshape-def
  by (metis Sup-insert Union-empty List.set-simps nats-0 preshape-valence
    set-conv-nth unop-Preshape unop-shape-valence)

lemma binop-Preshape[simp]: Preshape (binop-shape) = [{}, {}]
  by (simp add: Shape-inverse binop-shape-def wf-binop-preshape)

lemma binop-shape-arity[simp]: §ar binop-shape = Suc (Suc 0)
  by (simp add: shape-arity-def)

lemma binop-shape-valence[simp]: §val binop-shape = 0
  by (metis Preshape-inverse Sup-insert binop-Preshape empty-deps-Shape-valence
    list.set(2) nats-0 preshape-alldeps-def preshape-valence set-conv-nth sup.idem
    unop-Preshape unop-shape-valence)

lemma binop-shape-deps-0[simp]: binop-shape.⌞0 = {}
  by (simp add: zero-valence-deps)

lemma binop-shape-deps-1[simp]: binop-shape.⌞1 = {}
  by (simp add: zero-valence-deps)

2.5.4 operator-shape

definition operator-shape :: shape where
  operator-shape = Shape [{0}]

lemma wf-operator-preshape: wellformed-preshape [{0}]
  by (auto simp add: wellformed-preshape-def preshape-alldeps-def nats-def)

lemma operator-Preshape[simp]: Preshape (operator-shape) = [{0}]
  by (simp add: Shape-inverse operator-shape-def wf-operator-preshape)

lemma operator-shape-arity[simp]: §ar operator-shape = Suc 0
  by (simp add: shape-arity-def)

lemma operator-shape-valence[simp]: §val operator-shape = Suc 0
  by (metis atMost-0 ccpo-Sup-singleton empty-set lessThan-Suc-atMost lessThan-def
    list.set(2) nats-def nats-eq-nats operator-Preshape preshape-alldeps-def pre-
    shape-valence
    set-conv-nth)

lemma operator-shape-deps-0[iff]: operator-shape.⌞0 = {0}
  by (simp add: shape-deps-def)

end

```

```
theory Signature imports Shape
begin
```

3 Signature

3.1 Abstractions

```
datatype abstraction = Abs string
```

```
definition abstr-true :: abstraction where abstr-true = Abs "true"
definition abstr-implies :: abstraction where abstr-implies = Abs "implies"
definition abstr-forall :: abstraction where abstr-forall = Abs "forall"
definition abstr-false :: abstraction where abstr-false = Abs "false"
```

```
lemma noteq-abstr-true-implies[simp]: abstr-true  $\neq$  abstr-implies
  by (simp add: abstr-implies-def abstr-true-def)
```

```
lemma noteq-abstr-implies-forall[simp]: abstr-implies  $\neq$  abstr-forall
  by (simp add: abstr-implies-def abstr-forall-def)
```

```
lemma noteq-abstr-true-forall[simp]: abstr-true  $\neq$  abstr-forall
  by (simp add: abstr-true-def abstr-forall-def)
```

3.2 Signatures

```
type-synonym signature = (abstraction, shape) map
```

```
definition empty-sig :: signature where
  empty-sig = ( $\lambda$  a. None)
```

```
definition has-shape :: signature  $\Rightarrow$  abstraction  $\Rightarrow$  shape  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  has-shape S a shape = (S a = Some shape)
```

```
definition extends-sig :: signature  $\Rightarrow$  signature  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\succeq$  50) where
  extends-sig T S = ( $\forall$  a. S a = None  $\vee$  T a = S a)
```

```
lemma has-shape-extends: T  $\succeq$  S  $\implies$  has-shape S a s  $\implies$  has-shape T a s
  by (metis extends-sig-def has-shape-def option.discI)
```

```
definition sig-contains :: signature  $\Rightarrow$  abstraction  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  sig-contains sig abstr valence arity =
    (case sig abstr of
     Some s  $\Rightarrow$   $\S$ val s = valence  $\wedge$   $\S$ ar s = arity
    | None  $\Rightarrow$  False)
```

```
lemma has-shape-sig-contains: has-shape sig a s  $\implies$  sig-contains sig a ( $\S$ val s)
  ( $\S$ ar s)
  by (simp add: has-shape-def sig-contains-def)
```


lemma *has-shape-get*: *has-shape sig a s \implies sig !! a = s*
by (*simp add: has-shape-def map-forced-get-def*)

lemma *extends-sig-contains*: *V \succeq U \implies sig-contains U a val ar \implies sig-contains V a val ar*
by (*smt (verit) extends-sig-def option.case-eq-if sig-contains-def*)

3.3 Logic Signatures

definition *deduction-sig* :: *signature* (\mathfrak{D}) **where**

*\mathfrak{D} = empty-sig(
 abstr-true := Some value-shape,
 abstr-implies := Some binop-shape,
 abstr-forall := Some operator-shape)*

lemma *deduction-sig-true[iff]*: *has-shape deduction-sig abstr-true value-shape*
by (*simp add: has-shape-def deduction-sig-def*)

lemma *deduction-sig-implies[iff]*: *has-shape \mathfrak{D} abstr-implies binop-shape*
by (*simp add: has-shape-def deduction-sig-def*)

lemma *deduction-sig-forall[iff]*: *has-shape \mathfrak{D} abstr-forall operator-shape*
by (*simp add: has-shape-def deduction-sig-def*)

lemma *deduction-sig-contains-true[iff]*: *sig-contains \mathfrak{D} abstr-true 0 0*
by (*simp add: deduction-sig-def sig-contains-def*)

lemma *deduction-sig-contains-implies[iff]*: *sig-contains \mathfrak{D} abstr-implies 0 (Suc (Suc 0))*
by (*simp add: deduction-sig-def sig-contains-def*)

lemma *deduction-sig-contains-forall[iff]*: *sig-contains \mathfrak{D} abstr-forall (Suc 0) (Suc 0)*
using *has-shape-sig-contains deduction-sig-forall* **by** *fastforce*

end
theory *Quotients* **imports** *Main*
begin

4 Quotient

4.1 Quotients

We define a *quotient* to be a set with custom equality. In fact, we identify the set with the custom equivalence relation. We can do this because the

set is uniquely determined by the equivalence relation.

Our approach does not replace *HOL.Equiv-Relations*, but builds on top of it by encoding as a type invariant the property of a relation to be an equivalence relation.

```
typedef 'a quotient = { r::'a rel.  $\exists$  A. equiv A r } morphisms Rel Quotient
by (metis empty-iff equivI mem-Collect-eq refl-on-def subsetI sym-def trans-def)
```

```
definition QField :: 'a quotient  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where
  QField q = Field (Rel q)
```

```
lemma equiv-Field:
  assumes equiv A r
  shows Field r = A
proof -
  have A  $\subseteq$  Field r
    by (meson FieldI2 assms equivE refl-onD subsetI)
  moreover have Field r  $\subseteq$  A
    by (metis Field-square assms equiv-type mono-Field)
  ultimately show Field r = A
    by blast
qed
```

```
lemma equiv-QField-Rel: equiv (QField q) (Rel q)
by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) Rel equiv-Field mem-Collect-eq QField-def)
```

```
definition qin :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a quotient  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix '/ $\in$  50) where
  (a / $\in$  q) = (a  $\in$  QField q)
```

```
abbreviation qnin :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a quotient  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix '/ $\notin$  50) where
  (a / $\notin$  q)  $\equiv$  (a  $\in$  QField q)
```

4.2 Equality Modulo

```
definition qequals :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a quotient  $\Rightarrow$  bool (- = - '(mod -) [51, 51, 0] 50)
where
  (a = b (mod q)) = ((a, b)  $\in$  Rel q)
```

```
abbreviation qnequals :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a quotient  $\Rightarrow$  bool (-  $\neq$  - '(mod -) [51, 51, 0] 50) where
  (a  $\neq$  b (mod q))  $\equiv$   $\neg$  (a = b (mod q))
```

```
lemma qin-mod: (a / $\in$  q) = (a = a (mod q))
by (metis equiv-QField-Rel equiv-class-eq-iff qequals-def qin-def)
```

```
lemma qequals-in: a = b (mod q)  $\Longrightarrow$  a / $\in$  q  $\wedge$  b / $\in$  q
by (metis FieldI1 FieldI2 QField-def qequals-def qin-def)
```

```
lemma qequals-sym: a = b (mod q)  $\Longrightarrow$  b = a (mod q)
by (meson equiv-QField-Rel equiv-def qequals-def sym-def)
```

lemma *qequals-trans*: $a = b \text{ (mod } q) \implies b = c \text{ (mod } q) \implies a = c \text{ (mod } q)$
by (*meson equiv-QField-Rel equiv-def qequals-def trans-def*)

4.3 Subsets of Quotients

There isn't a unique definition of what a subset of quotients is. There are at least 3 different notions that all make sense.

definition *qsubset-weak* :: $'a \text{ quotient} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ quotient} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $'/\leq$ 50) **where**
 $(p /\leq q) = (\forall x y. x = y \text{ (mod } p) \longrightarrow x = y \text{ (mod } q))$

definition *qsubset-bishop* :: $'a \text{ quotient} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ quotient} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $'/\sqsubseteq$ 50) **where**
 $(p /\sqsubseteq q) = (\forall x y. x /\in p \wedge y /\in p \longrightarrow (x = y \text{ (mod } p) \longleftrightarrow x = y \text{ (mod } q)))$

definition *qsubset-strong* :: $'a \text{ quotient} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ quotient} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $'/\subseteq$ 50) **where**
 $(p /\subseteq q) = (\forall x y. x /\in p \longrightarrow (x = y \text{ (mod } p) \longleftrightarrow x = y \text{ (mod } q)))$

lemma *qsubset-strong-implies-bishop*: $p /\subseteq q \implies p /\sqsubseteq q$
by (*simp add: qsubset-bishop-def qsubset-strong-def*)

lemma *qsubset-strong-implies-weak*: $p /\subseteq q \implies p /\leq q$
by (*meson qequals-in qsubset-strong-def qsubset-weak-def*)

lemma *qsubset-bishop-implies-weak*: $p /\sqsubseteq q \implies p /\leq q$
by (*meson qequals-in qsubset-bishop-def qsubset-weak-def*)

lemma *qsubset-QField-strong*: $p /\subseteq q \implies QField\ p \subseteq QField\ q$
by (*meson qin-def qin-mod qsubset-strong-def subset-iff*)

lemma *qsubset-QField-weak*: $p /\leq q \implies QField\ p \subseteq QField\ q$
by (*meson qin-def qin-mod qsubset-weak-def subset-iff*)

lemma *qsubset-QField-bishop*: $p /\sqsubseteq q \implies QField\ p \subseteq QField\ q$
using *qsubset-QField-weak qsubset-bishop-implies-weak* **by** *blast*

lemma *qubseteq-refl-strong[iff]*: $q /\subseteq q$
using *qsubset-strong-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *qubseteq-refl-bishop[iff]*: $q /\sqsubseteq q$
using *qsubset-bishop-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *qubseteq-refl-weak[iff]*: $q /\leq q$
using *qsubset-weak-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *qsubset-trans-strong*: $p /\subseteq q \implies q /\subseteq r \implies p /\subseteq r$
by (*meson qin-mod qsubset-strong-def*)

lemma *qsubset-trans-bishop*: $p /\sqsubseteq q \implies q /\sqsubseteq r \implies p /\sqsubseteq r$
by (*smt (verit, best) qin-mod qsubset-bishop-def*)

lemma *qsubset-trans-weak*: $p \not\leq q \implies q \not\leq r \implies p \not\leq r$

by (*simp add: qsubset-weak-def*)

lemma *qsubset-antisym-weak*: $p \not\leq q \implies q \not\leq p \implies p = q$

by (*smt (verit) Rel-inverse dual-order.refl qequals-def qsubset-weak-def subsetI subset-antisym subset-iff surj-pair sym-def*)

lemma *qsubset-antisym-bishop*: $p \not\sqsubseteq q \implies q \not\sqsubseteq p \implies p = q$

by (*simp add: qsubset-antisym-weak qsubset-bishop-implies-weak*)

lemma *qsubset-antisym-strong*: $p \not\subseteq q \implies q \not\subseteq p \implies p = q$

by (*simp add: qsubset-antisym-bishop qsubset-strong-implies-bishop*)

lemma *qsubset-mod-weak*: $x = y \pmod{q} \implies q \not\leq p \implies x = y \pmod{p}$

by (*simp add: qsubset-weak-def*)

lemma *qsubset-mod-bishop*: $x = y \pmod{q} \implies q \not\sqsubseteq p \implies x = y \pmod{p}$

by (*metis qsubset-bishop-implies-weak qsubset-mod-weak*)

lemma *qsubset-mod-strong*: $x = y \pmod{q} \implies q \not\subseteq p \implies x = y \pmod{p}$

by (*meson qsubset-mod-bishop qsubset-strong-implies-bishop*)

4.4 Equivalence Classes

definition *qclass* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ quotient} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ (**infix** $'/\%$ 80) **where**

$a \not\% q = (\text{Rel } q) ``\{a\}$

lemma *qequals-implies-equal-qclasses*: $a = b \pmod{q} \implies a \not\% q = b \not\% q$

by (*metis equiv-QField-Rel equiv-class-eq-iff qclass-def qequals-def*)

lemma *empty-qclass*: $(a \not\% q = \{\}) = (\neg (a \in q))$

by (*metis Image-singleton-iff ex-in-conv qclass-def qequals-def qequals-in qin-mod*)

lemma *qclass-elems*: $(b \in a \not\% q) = (a = b \pmod{q})$

by (*simp add: qclass-def qequals-def*)

4.5 Construction via Symmetric and Transitive Predicate

definition *QuotientP* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ quotient}$ **where**

$\text{QuotientP } eq = \text{Quotient } \{ (x, y) . eq \ x \ y \}$

lemma *QuotientP-eq-refl*: $\text{symp } eq \implies \text{transp } eq \implies eq \ x \ y \implies eq \ x \ x \wedge eq \ y \ y$

by (*meson sympD transpE*)

lemma *QuotientP-equiv*:

assumes *symp eq*

assumes *transp eq*

shows $\text{equiv } \{ x . eq \ x \ x \} \ \{ (x, y) . eq \ x \ y \}$

proof –

```

let ?A = { x . eq x x }
let ?r = { (x, y) . eq x y }
have ?r ⊆ ?A × ?A using QuotientP-eq-refl assms by fastforce
then show equiv ?A ?r
  by (smt (verit, best) CollectD CollectI Sigma-cong assms case-prodD case-prodI
    equivI
    refl-onI sym-def symp-def trans-def transp-def)
qed

```

```

lemma QuotientP-Rel: symp eq ⇒ transp eq ⇒ Rel (QuotientP eq) = { (x, y)
  . eq x y }
by (metis (no-types, lifting) CollectI QuotientP-def QuotientP-equiv Quotient-inverse)

```

```

lemma QuotientP-mod: symp eq ⇒ transp eq ⇒ (x = y (mod QuotientP eq))
  = (eq x y)
by (metis CollectD CollectI QuotientP-Rel case-prodD case-prodI equals-def)

```

```

lemma QuotientP-in: symp eq ⇒ transp eq ⇒ (x /∈ QuotientP eq) = eq x x
by (simp add: QuotientP-mod qin-mod)

```

4.6 Set with Identity as Quotient

```

definition qequal-set :: 'a set ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool where
  qequal-set U x y = (x ∈ U ∧ x = y)

```

```

lemma qequal-set-sym: symp (qequal-set U)
by (simp add: qequal-set-def symp-def)

```

```

lemma qequal-set-trans: transp (qequal-set U)
by (simp add: qequal-set-def transp-def)

```

```

definition set-quotient :: 'a set ⇒ 'a quotient ('/≡) where
  /≡U = QuotientP (qequal-set U)

```

```

lemma set-quotient-Rel: Rel(/≡U) = { (x, y) . x ∈ U ∧ x = y }
by (simp add: QuotientP-Rel qequal-set-def qequal-set-sym qequal-set-trans set-quotient-def)

```

```

lemma set-quotient-mod: (x = y (mod /≡U)) = (x ∈ U ∧ x = y)
by (simp add: QuotientP-mod qequal-set-def qequal-set-sym qequal-set-trans set-quotient-def)

```

```

lemma set-quotient-in: (x /∈ /≡U) = (x ∈ U)
by (simp add: qin-mod set-quotient-mod)

```

```

lemma set-quotient-subset-strong: (/≡U /⊆ /≡V) = (U ⊆ V)
by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) qsubset-strong-def set-quotient-in set-quotient-mod sub-
  setD subsetI)

```

```

lemma set-quotient-subset-weak: (/≡U /≤ /≡V) = (U ⊆ V)
by (meson qsubset-mod-weak qsubset-strong-implies-weak set-quotient-mod)

```

set-quotient-subset-strong subset-iff)

lemma *set-quotient-subset-bishop*: $(/\equiv U \ / \sqsubseteq \ / \equiv V) = (U \subseteq V)$
by (*meson qsubset-bishop-implies-weak qsubset-strong-implies-bishop*
set-quotient-subset-strong set-quotient-subset-weak)

4.7 Empty and Universal Quotients

definition *empty-quotient* :: 'a quotient ($/\emptyset$) **where**
 $/\emptyset = /\equiv \{\}$

definition *univ-quotient* :: 'a quotient ($/\mathcal{U}$) **where**
 $/\mathcal{U} = /\equiv UNIV$

lemma *empty-quotient-Rel*: $Rel \ / \emptyset = \{\}$
by (*simp add: empty-quotient-def set-quotient-Rel*)

lemma *empty-quotient-mod*: $\neg (x = y \ (mod \ / \emptyset))$
by (*simp add: empty-quotient-def set-quotient-mod*)

lemma *empty-quotient-in*: $\neg (x \ / \in \ / \emptyset)$
by (*simp add: empty-quotient-def set-quotient-in*)

lemma *univ-quotient-Rel*: $Rel \ / \mathcal{U} = Id$
by (*auto simp add: set-quotient-Rel univ-quotient-def*)

lemma *univ-quotient-in*: $x \ / \in \ / \mathcal{U}$
by (*simp add: set-quotient-in univ-quotient-def*)

lemma *univ-quotient-mod*: $(x = y \ (mod \ / \mathcal{U})) = (x = y)$
by (*simp add: set-quotient-mod univ-quotient-def*)

4.8 Singleton Quotients

definition *qequal-singleton* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool **where**
 $qequal-singleton \ U \ x \ y = (x \in U \wedge y \in U)$

lemma *qequal-singleton-sym*: $qequal-singleton \ U \ x \ y \Longrightarrow qequal-singleton \ U \ y \ x$
by (*simp add: qequal-singleton-def*)

lemma *qequal-singleton-trans*:
 $qequal-singleton \ U \ x \ y \Longrightarrow qequal-singleton \ U \ y \ z \Longrightarrow qequal-singleton \ U \ x \ z$
by (*simp add: qequal-singleton-def*)

definition *singleton-quotient* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a quotient ($/1$) **where**
 $/1 \ U = QuotientP \ (qequal-singleton \ U)$

lemma *singleton-quotient-Rel*: $Rel \ (/1 \ U) = \{ (x, y). qequal-singleton \ U \ x \ y \}$
by (*metis QuotientP-Rel qequal-singleton-def singleton-quotient-def sympI transpI*)

lemma *singleton-quotient-mod*[simp]: $(x = y \text{ (mod } /1U)) = (x \in U \wedge y \in U)$
by (*simp add: qequal-singleton-def qequals-def singleton-quotient-Rel*)

lemma *singleton-quotient-in*: $(x / \in /1U) = (x \in U)$
by (*meson qin-mod singleton-quotient-mod*)

lemma *empty-singleton-quotient*[iff]: $/1\{\} = /\emptyset$
by (*simp add: empty-quotient-in qsubset-antisym-strong qsubset-strong-def singleton-quotient-in*)

abbreviation *universal-singleton-quotient*:: 'a quotient ($/1U$) **where**
 $/1U \equiv /1UNIV$

4.9 Comparing Notions of Quotient Subsets

lemma *empty-subset-singleton-quotient-weak*: $/\emptyset / \leq q$
by (*simp add: empty-quotient-mod qsubset-weak-def*)

lemma *empty-subset-singleton-quotient-bishop*: $/\emptyset / \sqsubseteq q$
by (*simp add: empty-quotient-in qsubset-bishop-def*)

lemma *empty-subset-singleton-quotient-strong*: $/\emptyset / \subseteq q$
by (*simp add: empty-quotient-in qsubset-strong-def*)

lemma *same-QField-bishop*: $QField\ p = QField\ q \implies p / \sqsubseteq q \implies p = q$
by (*simp add: qin-def qsubset-antisym-bishop qsubset-bishop-def*)

lemma *same-QField-strong*: $QField\ p = QField\ q \implies p / \subseteq q \implies p = q$
by (*simp add: qsubset-strong-implies-bishop same-QField-bishop*)

lemma *singleton-quotient-subset-weak*: $(/1U / \leq /1V) = (U \subseteq V)$
by (*meson qsubset-weak-def singleton-quotient-mod subset-iff*)

lemma *singleton-quotient-subset-bishop*: $(/1U / \sqsubseteq /1V) = (U \subseteq V)$
by (*meson qsubset-bishop-def qsubset-bishop-implies-weak singleton-quotient-in singleton-quotient-mod singleton-quotient-subset-weak subsetD*)

lemma *singleton-quotient-subset-strong*: $(/1U / \subseteq /1V) = (U = V \vee U = \{\})$

proof –

have *implies-sub*: $(/1U / \subseteq /1V) \implies (U \subseteq V)$
by (*metis qsubset-strong-implies-weak singleton-quotient-subset-weak*)

{
assume *singleton-UV*: $(/1U / \subseteq /1V)$
have *UV*: $U \subseteq V$
using *implies-sub singleton-UV* **by** *auto*
fix *x y*
assume *x*: $x \in V$

```

assume notx:  $x \notin U$ 
assume y:  $y \in U$ 
have xV:  $x \notin V$ 
  by (simp add: singleton-quotient-in x)
have xU:  $\neg (x \notin U)$ 
  by (simp add: notx singleton-quotient-in)
have x = y (mod V)
  by (meson UV singleton-quotient-mod subsetD x y)
then have x = y (mod U)
  by (meson qequals-sym qsubset-strong-def singleton-UV singleton-quotient-in
y)
then have False
  by (simp add: notx)
}
with implies-sub have ( $V \subseteq U \implies U = V \vee U = \{\}$ )
  by auto
then show ?thesis
  using empty-subset-singleton-quotient-strong by force
qed

```

```

lemma subset-universal-singleton-weak:  $q \leq U$ 
  by (simp add: qsubset-weak-def)

```

```

lemma subset-universal-singleton-bishop:  $(q \sqsubseteq U) = (q = \mathbf{1}(QField\ q))$ 
proof –
{
  assume q:  $q \sqsubseteq U$ 
  then have  $\bigwedge x\ y. x \in q \wedge y \in q \implies (x = y \text{ (mod } q)) = (x = y \text{ (mod } U))$ 
    by (simp add: qsubset-bishop-def)
  then have  $\bigwedge x\ y. x \in q \wedge y \in q \implies x = y \text{ (mod } q)$ 
    by simp
  then have  $q = \mathbf{1}(QField\ q)$ 
    by (meson qequals-in qin-def qsubset-antisym-weak qsubset-weak-def single-
ton-quotient-mod)
}
then show ?thesis
  by (metis singleton-quotient-subset-bishop subset-UNIV)
qed

```

```

lemma subset-universal-singleton-strong:  $(q \subseteq U) = (q = \emptyset \vee q = U)$ 
proof –
{
  assume q:  $q \subseteq U$ 
  then have  $q \sqsubseteq U$ 
    using qsubset-strong-implies-bishop by auto
  then have  $q = \mathbf{1}(QField\ q)$ 
    using subset-universal-singleton-bishop by blast
}
note isSingleton = this

```



```

{
  assume q: q / $\subseteq$  / $\mathbf{1}\mathcal{U}$ 
  then have QField q = UNIV  $\vee$  QField q = {}
    by (metis q singleton-quotient-subset-strong isSingleton)
  then have q = / $\mathbf{1}\mathcal{U}$   $\vee$  q = / $\emptyset$ 
    using isSingleton q by auto
}
then show ?thesis
  using empty-subset-singleton-quotient-strong by auto
qed

```

lemma *identity-QField-subset-weak*: $/\equiv(QField\ q) / \leq q$
 by (metis eq-equiv-class equiv-QField-Rel qequals-def qsubset-weak-def set-quotient-mod)

lemma *identity-QField-subset-bishop*: $(/\equiv(QField\ q) / \sqsubseteq q) = (q = /\equiv(QField\ q))$
 by (metis qin-def qubseteq-refl-bishop same-QField-bishop set-quotient-in subsetI subset-antisym)

lemma *identity-QField-subset-strong*: $(/\equiv(QField\ q) / \subseteq q) = (q = /\equiv(QField\ q))$
 using identity-QField-subset-bishop qsubset-strong-implies-bishop by auto

lemma *qsubset-weak-neq-bishop*:
 assumes xy: $(x::'a) \neq y$
 shows $((/\leq) :: 'a\ quotient \Rightarrow 'a\ quotient \Rightarrow bool) \neq (/ \sqsubseteq)$
proof –
 let ?U = $/\equiv\{x, y\}$
 have sub: ?U $/ \leq$ / $\mathbf{1}\mathcal{U}$
 by (simp add: subset-universal-singleton-weak)
 from xy have notsub: $\neg (?U / \sqsubseteq / \mathbf{1}\mathcal{U})$
 by (metis insert-iff set-quotient-mod singleton-quotient-mod subset-universal-singleton-bishop)
 show ?thesis using sub notsub by auto
qed

lemma *qsubset-bishop-neq-strong*:
 assumes xy: $(x::'a) \neq y$
 shows $((/\sqsubseteq) :: 'a\ quotient \Rightarrow 'a\ quotient \Rightarrow bool) \neq (/ \subseteq)$
proof –
 let ?U = $/\equiv\{x\}$
 have sub: ?U $/ \sqsubseteq$ / $\mathbf{1}\mathcal{U}$
 by (simp add: qsubset-bishop-def set-quotient-in set-quotient-mod)
 from xy have notsub: $\neg (?U / \subseteq / \mathbf{1}\mathcal{U})$
 by (metis(full-types) UNIV-I empty-quotient-in insertI1 set-quotient-in set-quotient-mod
 singleton-quotient-mod subset-universal-singleton-strong)
 show ?thesis using sub notsub by auto
qed

lemma *qsubset-weak-neq-strong*:
 assumes xy: $(x::'a) \neq y$

shows $((/\leq) :: 'a \text{ quotient} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ quotient} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \neq (/ \subseteq)$
using *qsubset-bishop-implies-weak qsubset-strong-implies-bishop qsubset-weak-neq-bishop*
xy by fastforce

4.10 Functions between Quotients

definition *qequal-fun* ::

$'a \text{ quotient} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ quotient} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$\text{qequal-fun } p \ q \ f \ g = (\forall \ x \ y. x = y \ (\text{mod } p) \longrightarrow f \ x = g \ y \ (\text{mod } q))$

lemma *qequal-fun-sym*: $\text{symp } (\text{qequal-fun } p \ q)$ **by** (*metis qequal-fun-def qequals-sym sympI*)

lemma *qequal-fun-trans*: $\text{transp } (\text{qequal-fun } p \ q)$

by (*smt (verit) qclass-elems qequal-fun-def qequals-implies-equal-qclasses transpI*)

definition *fun-quotient* :: $'a \text{ quotient} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ quotient} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \text{ quotient}$ (**infixr** $'/\Rightarrow 90$) **where**

$p \ /\Rightarrow q = \text{QuotientP } (\text{qequal-fun } p \ q)$

lemma *fun-quotient-Rel*: $\text{Rel } (p \ /\Rightarrow q) = \{ (f, g) . \text{qequal-fun } p \ q \ f \ g \}$

by (*simp add: QuotientP-Rel fun-quotient-def qequal-fun-sym qequal-fun-trans*)

lemma *fun-quotient-mod*: $(f = g \ (\text{mod } p \ /\Rightarrow q)) = (\text{qequal-fun } p \ q \ f \ g)$

by (*metis QuotientP-mod fun-quotient-def qequal-fun-sym qequal-fun-trans*)

lemma *fun-quotient-in*: $(f \ /\in p \ /\Rightarrow q) = (\text{qequal-fun } p \ q \ f \ f)$

by (*simp add: fun-quotient-mod qin-mod*)

lemma *fun-quotient-app-in*: $f \ /\in p \ /\Rightarrow q \Longrightarrow x \ /\in p \Longrightarrow f \ x \ /\in q$

by (*meson fun-quotient-in qequal-fun-def qin-mod*)

lemma *fun-quotient-app-mod*: $f = g \ (\text{mod } p \ /\Rightarrow q) \Longrightarrow x = y \ (\text{mod } p) \Longrightarrow f \ x = g \ y \ (\text{mod } q)$

by (*meson qequal-fun-def fun-quotient-mod*)

lemma *fun-quotient-app-in-mod*: $f \ /\in p \ /\Rightarrow q \Longrightarrow x = y \ (\text{mod } p) \Longrightarrow f \ x = f \ y \ (\text{mod } q)$

by (*meson fun-quotient-app-mod qin-mod*)

lemma *fun-quotient-compose*: $(\circ) \ /\in (q \ /\Rightarrow r) \ /\Rightarrow (p \ /\Rightarrow q) \ /\Rightarrow (p \ /\Rightarrow r)$

by (*auto simp add: fun-quotient-in qequal-fun-def fun-quotient-mod*)

lemma *fun-quotient-empty-domain*: $(/\emptyset \ /\Rightarrow q) = /\mathbf{1}\mathcal{U}$

by (*metis empty-quotient-mod fun-quotient-mod qequal-fun-def qsubset-antisym-weak qsubset-weak-def subset-universal-singleton-weak*)

lemma *fun-quotient-empty-range*: $q \neq /\emptyset \Longrightarrow (q \ /\Rightarrow /\emptyset) = /\emptyset$

by (*metis empty-quotient-in fun-quotient-app-in qsubset-antisym-strong qsubset-strong-def*)

lemma *fun-quotient-subset-weak-intro*:

assumes $p2 \leq p1 \wedge q1 \leq q2$

shows $p1 \Rightarrow q1 \leq p2 \Rightarrow q2$

by (*smt (verit, best) assms fun-quotient-mod qequal-fun-def qsubset-weak-def*)

lemma *fun-quotient-subset-weakdef*:

$(p1 \Rightarrow q1 \leq p2 \Rightarrow q2) =$

$(\forall f g. (\forall x y. x = y \text{ (mod } p1) \longrightarrow f x = g y \text{ (mod } q1)) \longrightarrow$

$(\forall x y. x = y \text{ (mod } p2) \longrightarrow f x = g y \text{ (mod } q2)))$

by (*simp add: fun-quotient-mod qequal-fun-def qsubset-weak-def*)

lemma *fun-quotient-range-subset-weak*:

assumes *sub*: $((p1 :: 'a \text{ quotient}) \Rightarrow q1 \leq p2 \Rightarrow q2)$

assumes *nonempty*: $p2 \neq \emptyset$

shows $q1 \leq q2$

proof –

{

assume *contra*: $\neg q1 \leq q2$

have $\exists a b. (a = b \text{ (mod } q1)) \wedge \neg (a = b \text{ (mod } q2))$

using *contra qsubset-weak-def* **by** *blast*

then obtain *a b* **where** *ab*: $(a = b \text{ (mod } q1)) \wedge \neg (a = b \text{ (mod } q2))$ **by** *blast*

let $?f = \lambda x :: 'a. a$

let $?g = \lambda x :: 'a. b$

have $\forall x y. x = y \text{ (mod } p1) \longrightarrow ?f x = ?g y \text{ (mod } q1)$

using *ab* **by** *blast*

then have $\forall x y. x = y \text{ (mod } p2) \longrightarrow ?f x = ?g y \text{ (mod } q2)$

using *fun-quotient-subset-weakdef*[*of p1 q1 p2 q2, simplified sub*]

by *meson*

then have $\bigwedge x y. x = y \text{ (mod } p2) \Longrightarrow a = b \text{ (mod } q2)$

by *blast*

with *nonempty* **have** *False*

by (*metis ab empty-quotient-mod fun-quotient-empty-range fun-quotient-mod qequal-fun-def*)

}

then show *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *trivializing-qsuperset*:

shows $(\mathbf{1}(QField\ p) \leq q) = (\neg (\exists x y. x \in p \wedge y \in p \wedge x \neq y \text{ (mod } q)))$

by (*meson qin-def qsubset-weak-def singleton-quotient-mod*)

lemma *fun-quotient-domain-subset-weak*:

assumes *sub*: $((p1 :: 'a \text{ quotient}) \Rightarrow q1 \leq p2 \Rightarrow q2)$

assumes *nontrivial*: $\neg (\mathbf{1}(QField\ q1) \leq q2)$

shows $p2 \leq p1$

proof –

```

{
  assume ex:  $\exists a b. a = b \pmod{p2} \wedge a \neq b \pmod{p1}$ 

Construct zero and one

  then have p2  $\neq \emptyset$ 
    using empty-quotient-mod by fastforce
  then have q1-sub-q2:  $q1 \leq q2$  using fun-quotient-range-subset-weak sub by
auto
  have  $\exists x y. x \in q1 \wedge y \in q1 \wedge x \neq y \pmod{q2}$ 
    using nontrivial trivializing-qsuperset by blast
  then obtain zero one where zo:  $zero \in q1 \wedge one \in q1 \wedge zero \neq one \pmod{q2}$ 
    by blast
  then have zo-q1:  $zero \neq one \pmod{q1}$  using q1-sub-q2 qsubset-mod-weak by
force

  have False
  proof (cases  $\exists a b. a = b \pmod{p2} \wedge a \neq b \pmod{p1} \wedge a \neq b$ )
    case True
      then obtain a b where ab:  $a = b \pmod{p2} \wedge a \neq b \pmod{p1} \wedge a \neq b$  by
blast
      let ?f =  $\lambda x. \text{if } x \in p1 \text{ then (if } x = a \pmod{p1} \text{ then one else zero) else (if } x = a \text{ then one else zero)}$ 
      have  $\forall x y. x = y \pmod{p1} \longrightarrow ?f x = ?f y \pmod{q1}$ 
        by (smt (verit, best) qequals-sym qequals-trans qin-mod zo)
      then have  $\forall x y. x = y \pmod{p2} \longrightarrow ?f x = ?f y \pmod{q2}$ 
        using sub[simplified fun-quotient-subset-weakdef] by meson
      then have contra:  $?f a = ?f b \pmod{q2}$  using ab by blast
      then show False by (metis(full-types) ab qequals-sym qin-mod zo)
    next
      case False
      then have  $\exists a. a = a \pmod{p2} \wedge a \neq a \pmod{p1}$  using ex by blast
      then obtain a where a:  $a = a \pmod{p2} \wedge a \neq a \pmod{p1}$  by blast
      let ?f =  $\lambda x. \text{if } x = a \text{ then one else zero}$ 
      let ?g =  $\lambda x. \text{zero}$ 
      have  $\forall x y. x = y \pmod{p1} \longrightarrow ?f x = ?g y \pmod{q1}$ 
        by (metis(full-types) a qequals-in qin-mod zo)
      then have  $\forall x y. x = y \pmod{p2} \longrightarrow ?f x = ?g y \pmod{q2}$ 
        using sub[simplified fun-quotient-subset-weakdef] by meson
      then have contra:  $?f a = ?g a \pmod{q2}$ 
        using a by blast
      then show False sledgehammer
        using qequals-sym zo by force
      qed
    }
  then show ?thesis
    using qsubset-weak-def by blast
  qed

```

4.11 Vectors as Quotients

definition *qequal-vector* :: 'a quotient \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool **where**
 $qequal_vector\ q\ n\ u\ v = (length\ u = n \wedge length\ v = n \wedge (\forall\ i < n. u\ !\ i = v\ !\ i \pmod{q}))$

lemma *qequal-vector-sym*: *symp* (*qequal-vector* *q* *n*)
by (*metis* *qequal-vector-def* *qequals-sym* *sympI*)

lemma *qequal-vector-trans*: *transp* (*qequal-vector* *q* *n*)
by (*smt* (*verit*, *del-insts*) *qequal-vector-def* *qequals-trans* *transpI*)

definition *vector-quotient* :: 'a quotient \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a list quotient (**infix** $'/^{\wedge} 100$)
where
 $q\ /^{\wedge}\ n = QuotientP\ (qequal_vector\ q\ n)$

lemma *vector-quotient-Rel*: $Rel\ (q\ /^{\wedge}\ n) = \{ (u, v). qequal_vector\ q\ n\ u\ v \}$
by (*simp* *add*: *QuotientP-Rel* *qequal-vector-sym* *qequal-vector-trans* *vector-quotient-def*)

lemma *vector-quotient-in*: $(u\ /\in\ q\ /^{\wedge}\ n) = (qequal_vector\ q\ n\ u\ u)$
by (*simp* *add*: *QuotientP-in* *qequal-vector-sym* *qequal-vector-trans* *vector-quotient-def*)

lemma *vector-quotient-mod*: $(u = v \pmod{q\ /^{\wedge}\ n}) = (qequal_vector\ q\ n\ u\ v)$
using *qequals-def* *vector-quotient-Rel* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *vector-quotient-nth*: $i < n \implies (\lambda\ u. u\ !\ i) /\in\ q\ /^{\wedge}\ n \implies q$
by (*simp* *add*: *fun-quotient-in* *qequal-fun-def* *qequal-vector-def* *vector-quotient-mod*)

lemma *vector-quotient-nth-in*: $i < n \implies u /\in\ q\ /^{\wedge}\ n \implies u\ !\ i /\in\ q$
by (*blast* *intro*: *fun-quotient-app-in* [**where** $f = \lambda\ u. u\ !\ i$] *vector-quotient-nth*)

lemma *vector-quotient-nth-mod*: $i < n \implies u = v \pmod{q\ /^{\wedge}\ n} \implies u\ !\ i = v\ !\ i \pmod{q}$
by (*blast* *intro*: *fun-quotient-app-in-mod* [**where** $f = \lambda\ u. u\ !\ i$] *vector-quotient-nth*)

lemma *vector-quotient-append*: $(@) /\in\ q\ /^{\wedge}\ n \implies q\ /^{\wedge}\ m \implies q\ /^{\wedge}\ (n+m)$
by (*simp* *add*: *fun-quotient-in* *fun-quotient-mod* *nth-append* *qequal-fun-def* *qequal-vector-def* *vector-quotient-mod*)

lemma *vector-quotient-append-in*: $x /\in\ q\ /^{\wedge}\ n \implies y /\in\ q\ /^{\wedge}\ m \implies x\ @\ y /\in\ q\ /^{\wedge}\ (n+m)$
by (*meson* *fun-quotient-app-in-mod* *qin-mod* *vector-quotient-append*)

lemma *vector-quotient-append-mod*:
 $x = x' \pmod{q\ /^{\wedge}\ n} \implies y = y' \pmod{q\ /^{\wedge}\ m} \implies x\ @\ y = x'\ @\ y' \pmod{q\ /^{\wedge}\ (n+m)}$
by (*meson* *fun-quotient-app-in-mod* *fun-quotient-app-mod* *vector-quotient-append*)

lemma *vector-quotient-weak-subset-intro*: $p \leq q \implies p\ /^{\wedge}\ n \leq q\ /^{\wedge}\ n$

by (simp add: qequal-vector-def qsubset-weak-def vector-quotient-mod)

lemma vector-quotient-strong-subset-intro: $p / \subseteq q \implies p / \hat{n} / \subseteq q / \hat{n}$
 by (simp add: qequal-vector-def qsubset-strong-def vector-quotient-mod vector-quotient-nth-in)

lemma vector-quotient-bishop-subset-intro: $p / \sqsubseteq q \implies p / \hat{n} / \sqsubseteq q / \hat{n}$
 by (simp add: qequal-vector-def qsubset-bishop-def vector-quotient-mod vector-quotient-nth-in)

4.12 Tuples as Quotients

definition qequal-tuple :: 'a quotient list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool **where**
 qequal-tuple qs u v = (length u = length qs \wedge length v = length qs \wedge
 ($\forall i < \text{length } qs. u ! i = v ! i \pmod{qs ! i}$))

lemma qequal-tuple-sym: sym (qequal-tuple qs)
 by (metis qequal-tuple-def qequals-sym symI)

lemma qequal-tuple-trans: transp (qequal-tuple qs)
 by (smt (verit, best) qequal-tuple-def qequals-trans transpI)

definition tuple-quotient :: 'a quotient list \Rightarrow 'a list quotient ($/ \times$) **where**
 $/ \times qs = \text{QuotientP } (qequal\text{-tuple } qs)$

lemma tuple-quotient-rel: Rel ($/ \times qs$) = { (u, v). qequal-tuple qs u v }
 by (simp add: QuotientP-Rel tuple-quotient-def qequal-tuple-sym qequal-tuple-trans)

lemma tuple-quotient-in: (u $/ \in$ ($/ \times qs$)) = (qequal-tuple qs u u)
 by (simp add: QuotientP-in tuple-quotient-def qequal-tuple-sym qequal-tuple-trans)

lemma tuple-quotient-mod: (u = v (mod $/ \times qs$)) = (qequal-tuple qs u v)
 by (simp add: QuotientP-mod tuple-quotient-def qequal-tuple-sym qequal-tuple-trans)

lemma tuple-quotient-nth: $i < \text{length } qs \implies (\lambda u. u ! i) / \in / \times qs \implies qs ! i$
 by (simp add: fun-quotient-in qequal-fun-def qequal-tuple-def tuple-quotient-mod)

lemma tuple-quotient-append: ($@$) $/ \in / \times ps \implies / \times qs \implies / \times (ps @ qs)$
 by (simp add: QuotientP-mod fun-quotient-in fun-quotient-mod nth-append tuple-quotient-def
 qequal-fun-def qequal-tuple-def qequal-tuple-sym qequal-tuple-trans)

lemma vectors-are-tuples: $q / \hat{n} = / \times (\text{replicate } n q)$
 by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) vector-quotient-def tuple-quotient-def
 Collect-cong QuotientP-def case-prodD case-prodI2
 length-replicate nth-replicate qequal-tuple-def qequal-vector-def)

lemma tuple-quotient-strong-subset-intro:
 length ps = length qs $\implies (\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } ps \implies ps ! i / \subseteq qs ! i) \implies / \times ps / \subseteq / \times qs$
 by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) qequal-tuple-def qequals-in qsubset-strong-def tu-

ple-quotient-in tuple-quotient-mod)

lemma *tuple-quotient-bishop-subset-intro*:

$\text{length } ps = \text{length } qs \implies (\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } ps \implies ps!i \sqsubseteq qs!i) \implies / \times ps \sqsubseteq / \times qs$

apply (*auto simp add: qsubset-bishop-def*)

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) qequal-tuple-def qin-mod tuple-quotient-mod*)+

end

theory *Algebra* **imports** *Signature Quotients*

begin

5 Abstraction Algebra

We will abbreviate *Abstraction Algebra* by leaving the prefix *Algebra* implicit, and just saying *Algebra* instead.

5.1 Operations and Operators as Quotients

type-synonym *'a operation* = *'a list* \Rightarrow *'a*

type-synonym *'a operator* = *'a operation list* \Rightarrow *'a*

definition *operations* :: *'a quotient* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow (*'a operation*) *quotient* **where**

operations *U n* = *U* / \wedge^n / \Rightarrow *U*

definition *operators* :: *'a quotient* \Rightarrow *shape* \Rightarrow (*'a operator*) *quotient* **where**

operators *U s* = / \times (*map* (λ *deps.* *operations* *U* (*card* *deps*)) (*Preshape* *s*)) / \Rightarrow *U*

definition *value-op* :: *'a* \Rightarrow *'a operation* **where**

value-op *u* = (λ -. *u*)

lemma *operators-appeq-intro*:

assumes *FG*: *F* = *G* (*mod operators U s*)

assumes *lenfs*: *length fs* = \S *ar s*

assumes *lengs*: *length gs* = \S *ar s*

assumes *fsgs*: ($\bigwedge i. i < \S$ *ar s* $\implies fs!i = gs!i$ (*mod operations U* (*s.#i*)))

shows *F fs* = *G gs* (*mod U*)

proof –

have *appeq*: $\bigwedge x y. x = y$ (*mod* / \times (*map* (λ *deps.* *operations U* (*card* *deps*)) (*Preshape* *s*))) $\implies F x = G y$ (*mod U*)

using *FG*[*simplified operators-def fun-quotient-mod qequal-fun-def*]

by *blast*

show *?thesis*

apply (*rule appeq*)

apply (*simp add: tuple-quotient-mod qequal-tuple-def*)

apply *auto*

using *fsgs*

apply (*simp add: lenfs shape-arity-def*)

apply (*simp add: lengs shape-arity-def*)
using *fsgs shape-arity-def shape-deps-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *operator-appeq-intro*:
assumes *F: F /∈ operators U s*
assumes *lenfs: length fs = §ar s*
assumes *lengs: length gs = §ar s*
assumes *fsgs: (∧ i. i < §ar s ⇒ fs!i = gs!i (mod operations U (s.#i)))*
shows *F fs = F gs (mod U)*
by (*meson F fsgs lenfs lengs operators-appeq-intro qin-mod*)

lemma *operations-eq-intro*:
assumes $\bigwedge us\ vs. us = vs \text{ (mod } \mathcal{U} / \wedge n) \Rightarrow f\ us = g\ vs \text{ (mod } \mathcal{U})$
shows $f = g \text{ (mod operations } \mathcal{U}\ n)$
by (*simp add: asms fun-quotient-mod operations-def qequal-fun-def*)

lemma *operations-mod*:
 $(f = g \text{ (mod operations } \mathcal{U}\ n)) = (\forall us\ vs. us = vs \text{ (mod } \mathcal{U} / \wedge n) \longrightarrow f\ us = g\ vs \text{ (mod } \mathcal{U}))$
by (*metis fun-quotient-app-mod operations-def operations-eq-intro*)

5.2 Compatibility of Shape and Operator

definition *shape-compatible* :: *'a quotient ⇒ shape ⇒ 'a operator ⇒ bool* **where**
shape-compatible U s op = (op /∈ operators U s)

definition *shape-compatible-opt* :: *'a quotient ⇒ shape option ⇒ 'a operator option ⇒ bool* **where**
shape-compatible-opt U s op = ((s = None ∧ op = None) ∨ (s ≠ None ∧ op ≠ None ∧ shape-compatible U (the s) (the op)))

5.3 Abstraction Algebras

type-synonym *'a operators* = (*abstraction, 'a operator*) *map*

type-synonym *'a prealgebra* = *'a quotient × signature × 'a operators*

definition *is-algebra* :: *'a prealgebra ⇒ bool* **where**
is-algebra paa =
(let U = fst paa in
let sig = fst (snd paa) in
let ops = snd (snd paa) in
U ≠ /∅ ∧ (∀ a. shape-compatible-opt U (sig a) (ops a)))

definition *trivial-prealgebra* :: *'a prealgebra* **where**
trivial-prealgebra = (/U, Map.empty, Map.empty)

lemma *trivial-prealgebra: is-algebra trivial-prealgebra*


```

by (metis (no-types, lifting) empty-quotient-in fst-conv is-algebra-def
      shape-compatible-opt-def snd-conv trivial-prealgebra-def univ-quotient-in)

typedef 'a algebra = { aa :: 'a prealgebra . is-algebra aa } morphisms Prealgebra
Algebra
using trivial-prealgebra by blast

definition Univ :: 'a algebra  $\Rightarrow$  'a quotient where
  Univ aa = fst (Prealgebra aa)

definition Sig :: 'a algebra  $\Rightarrow$  signature where
  Sig aa = fst (snd (Prealgebra aa))

definition Ops :: 'a algebra  $\Rightarrow$  'a operators where
  Ops aa = snd (snd (Prealgebra aa))

lemma Prealgebra-components: Prealgebra aa = (Univ aa, Sig aa, Ops aa)
by (simp add: Ops-def Sig-def Univ-def)

lemma Univ-nonempty: Univ aa  $\neq$  / $\emptyset$ 
by (metis Prealgebra Univ-def is-algebra-def mem-Collect-eq)

lemma algebra-compatibility: shape-compatible-opt (Univ aa) (Sig aa a) (Ops aa
a)
by (metis Ops-def Prealgebra Sig-def Univ-def is-algebra-def mem-Collect-eq)

end
theory NTerm imports Algebra
begin

```

6 Term

6.1 Variables

```

type-synonym variable = string

type-synonym variables = (variable  $\times$  nat) set

definition binders-as-vars :: variable list  $\Rightarrow$  variables (-',0 [1000] 1000) where
  xs',0 = { (x, 0) | x. x  $\in$  set xs }

lemma binders-as-vars-empty[simp]: []',0 = {}
by (simp add: binders-as-vars-def)

lemma deduction-forall-deps-0[iff]:  $\mathfrak{D}!!\text{abstr-forall}.\text{@}0([x]) = [x]$ 
apply (auto)
apply (subst has-shape-get)
apply blast
apply (subst operator-shape-deps-0)

```

by *blast*

6.2 Terms

datatype *nterm* =

VarApp variable *nterm* list
| *AbsApp* abstraction variable list *nterm* list

definition *xvar* :: variable ('x) **where** 'x = "x"

definition *xvar0* :: *nterm* (§x) **where** §x = *VarApp* 'x []

definition *yvar* :: variable ('y) **where** 'y = "y"

definition *yvar0* :: *nterm* (§y) **where** §y = *VarApp* 'y []

definition *Avar* :: variable ('A) **where** 'A = "A"

definition *Avar0* :: *nterm* (§A) **where** §A = *VarApp* 'A []

definition *Avar1* :: *nterm* \Rightarrow *nterm* (§A[-]) **where** §A[t] = *VarApp* 'A [t]

definition *Bvar* :: variable ('B) **where** 'B = "B"

definition *Bvar0* :: *nterm* (§B) **where** §B = *VarApp* 'B []

definition *Bvar1* :: *nterm* \Rightarrow *nterm* (§B[-]) **where** §B[t] = *VarApp* 'B [t]

definition *Cvar* :: variable ('C) **where** 'C = "C"

definition *Cvar0* :: *nterm* (§C) **where** §C = *VarApp* 'C []

definition *Cvar1* :: *nterm* \Rightarrow *nterm* (§C[-]) **where** §C[t] = *VarApp* 'C [t]

definition *implies-app* :: *nterm* \Rightarrow *nterm* \Rightarrow *nterm* (**infix** '⇒ 225) **where**

A '⇒ *B* = *AbsApp* *abstr-implies* [] [A, B]

definition *true-app* :: *nterm* (⊤) **where** ⊤ = *AbsApp* *abstr-true* [] []

definition *false-app* :: *nterm* (⊥) **where** ⊥ = *AbsApp* *abstr-false* [] []

definition *forall-app* :: variable \Rightarrow *nterm* \Rightarrow *nterm* (($\exists \forall$ -. -) [1000, 210] 210)

where

forall-app *x* *P* = *AbsApp* *abstr-forall* [x] [P]

6.3 Wellformedness

fun *nt-wf* :: signature \Rightarrow *nterm* \Rightarrow bool **where**

nt-wf sig (*VarApp* *x* *ts*) = (\forall *t* = *ts*!-. *nt-wf* sig *t*)

| *nt-wf* sig (*AbsApp* *a* *xs* *ts*) =

(*sig-contains* sig *a* (length *xs*) (length *ts*) \wedge
distinct *xs* \wedge

(\forall *t* = *ts*!-. *nt-wf* sig *t*))

lemma *nt-wf-x0*[*iff*]: *nt-wf* sig §x **by** (*simp* add: *xvar0-def*)

lemma *nt-wf-y0*[*iff*]: *nt-wf* sig §y **by** (*simp* add: *yvar0-def*)

lemma *nt-wf-A0*[*iff*]: *nt-wf* sig §A **by** (*simp* add: *Avar0-def*)

lemma *nt-wf-A1*[*iff*]: *nt-wf* sig §A[t] = *nt-wf* sig *t*

```

  by (simp add: Avar1-def)
lemma nt-wf-B0[iff]: nt-wf sig §B by (simp add: Bvar0-def)
lemma nt-wf-B1[iff]: nt-wf sig §B[t] = nt-wf sig t
  by (simp add: Bvar1-def)
lemma nt-wf-C0[iff]: nt-wf sig §C by (simp add: Cvar0-def)
lemma nt-wf-C1[iff]: nt-wf sig §C[t] = nt-wf sig t
  by (simp add: Cvar1-def)

lemma nt-wf-true[simp]: nt-wf  $\mathfrak{D}$   $\top$ 
  by (simp add: true-app-def)

lemma nt-wf-implies[simp]: nt-wf  $\mathfrak{D}$  (A  $\Rightarrow$  B) = (nt-wf  $\mathfrak{D}$  A  $\wedge$  nt-wf  $\mathfrak{D}$  B)
  by (auto simp add: implies-app-def shift-index-def)

lemma nt-wf-forall[simp]: nt-wf  $\mathfrak{D}$  ( $\forall$  'x. t) = nt-wf  $\mathfrak{D}$  t
  by (auto simp add: forall-app-def)

lemma sig-extends-nt-wf: V  $\succeq$  U  $\implies$  nt-wf U t  $\implies$  nt-wf V t
proof (induct t)
  case (VarApp x ts)
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (AbsApp a xs ts)
  then show ?case
    by (auto simp add: extends-sig-contains)
qed

```

6.4 Free Variables

```

fun nt-free :: signature  $\Rightarrow$  nterm  $\Rightarrow$  variables where
  nt-free sig (VarApp x ts) =
    (§fold X = {(x, length ts)}, t = ts!-. X  $\cup$  nt-free sig t)
| nt-free sig (AbsApp a xs ts) =
    (§fold X = {}, t = ts!i. X  $\cup$  (nt-free sig t - (sig!!a.@i(xs))'0))

lemma nt-free-x0: nt-free sig §x = {(x, 0)} by (simp add: xvar0-def)

lemma nt-free-y0: nt-free sig §y = {(y, 0)} by (simp add: yvar0-def)

lemma nt-free-A0: nt-free sig §A = {(A, 0)} by (simp add: Avar0-def)
lemma nt-free-A1: nt-free sig §A[t] = {(A, Suc 0)}  $\cup$  nt-free sig t
  by (simp add: Avar1-def)

lemma nt-free-B0: nt-free sig §B = {(B, 0)} by (simp add: Bvar0-def)
lemma nt-free-B1: nt-free sig §B[t] = {(B, Suc 0)}  $\cup$  nt-free sig t
  by (simp add: Bvar1-def)

lemma nt-free-C0: nt-free sig §C = {(C, 0)} by (simp add: Cvar0-def)
lemma nt-free-C1: nt-free sig §C[t] = {(C, Suc 0)}  $\cup$  nt-free sig t

```

```

by (simp add: Cvar1-def)

lemma nt-free-true: nt-free  $\mathfrak{D}$   $\top = \{\}$ 
  by (simp add: true-app-def)

lemma nt-free-implies: nt-free  $\mathfrak{D}$   $(s \Rightarrow t) = nt-free \mathfrak{D} s \cup nt-free \mathfrak{D} t$ 
  by (auto simp add: implies-app-def shift-index-def)

lemma nt-free-forall: nt-free  $\mathfrak{D}$   $(\forall x. t) = nt-free \mathfrak{D} t - \{(x, 0)\}$ 
  thm forall-app-def binders-as-vars-def
  apply (subst forall-app-def)
  apply auto
  apply (auto simp add: binders-as-vars-def)
  using deduction-sig-forall has-shape-get by auto

lemma sig-extends-nt-free:  $V \succeq U \implies nt-wf U t \implies nt-free V t = nt-free U t$ 
proof(induct t)
  case (VarApp x ts)
  then show ?case
    apply simp
    apply (subst list-indexed-fold-cong)
    using VarApp
    by auto
next
  case (AbsApp a xs ts)
  let ?F =  $\lambda i X t. X \cup (nt-free V t - (V!!a.@i(xs))', 0)$ 
  let ?G =  $\lambda i X t. X \cup (nt-free U t - (U!!a.@i(xs))', 0)$ 
  show ?case
    apply simp
    thm list-indexed-fold-eq[where ?F = ?F and ?G = ?G]
    apply (subst list-indexed-fold-eq[where ?F = ?F and ?G = ?G])
    using AbsApp
    apply auto
    apply (metis (no-types, lifting) extends-sig-def map-forced-get-def option.case-eq-if
      sig-contains-def)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) extends-sig-def map-forced-get-def option.case-eq-if
      sig-contains-def)
qed

lemma nt-free-VarApp: nt-free sig (VarApp x ts) =
   $\{(x, length ts)\} \cup \bigcup \{ nt-free sig t \mid t. t \in set ts \}$ 
proof -
  have nt-free sig (VarApp x ts) = ( $\S fold X = \{(x, length ts)\}, t = ts!-. X \cup nt-free sig t$ )
  by simp
  moreover have ( $\S fold X = \{(x, length ts)\}, t = ts!-. X \cup nt-free sig t$ ) =
     $\{(x, length ts)\} \cup \bigcup \{ nt-free sig t \mid t. t \in set ts \}$ 

```

```

    by (subst union-unindexed-fold, simp)
    ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma nt-free-VarApp-arg-subset:
  assumes nt-free sig (VarApp x ts)  $\subseteq$  X
  assumes i < length ts
  shows nt-free sig (ts ! i)  $\subseteq$  X
  by (smt (verit, best) CollectI assms(1) assms(2) le-supE mem-simps(9) nt-free-VarApp
    nth-mem subset-iff)

lemma nt-free-ConsApp:
  shows nt-free sig (AbsApp a xs ts) =
     $\bigcup \{ \text{nt-free sig } (ts!i) - (sig!!a.@i(xs))', 0 \mid i. i < \text{length } ts \}$ 
  by (simp add: union-indexed-fold)

lemma nt-free-ConsApp-arg-subset:
  assumes nt-free sig (AbsApp a xs ts)  $\subseteq$  X
  assumes i < length ts
  shows nt-free sig (ts!i)  $\subseteq$  X  $\cup$  (sig!!a.@i(xs))', 0
  proof -
    have nt-free sig (ts!i) - (sig!!a.@i(xs))', 0  $\subseteq$  X
    by (smt (verit, del-insts) CollectI assms(1) assms(2) mem-simps(9) nt-free-ConsApp
      subset-eq)
    then show ?thesis by blast
  qed

end
theory Locales imports NTerm
begin

```

6.5 Signature Locale

```

locale sigloc =
  fixes Signature :: signature (S)

context sigloc
begin

abbreviation
  Deps :: abstraction  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat set (infixl !q 100)
  where a !q i  $\equiv$  S!!a.qi

abbreviation
  CardDeps :: abstraction  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat (infixl !# 100)
  where a !# i  $\equiv$  S!!a.#i

abbreviation
  SelDeps :: abstraction  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'b list  $\Rightarrow$  'b list (!@-'(-) [100, 101, 0] 100)

```

```

where  $a!@i(xs) \equiv \mathcal{S}!!a.@i(xs)$ 

abbreviation  $wf :: nterm \Rightarrow bool$ 
where  $wf\ t \equiv nt\text{-}wf\ \mathcal{S}\ t$ 

abbreviation  $frees :: nterm \Rightarrow variables$ 
where  $frees\ t \equiv nt\text{-}free\ \mathcal{S}\ t$ 

abbreviation  $is\text{-}valid\text{-}abstraction :: abstraction \Rightarrow bool\ (\checkmark)$ 
where  $\checkmark a \equiv ((\mathcal{S}\ a) \neq None)$ 

abbreviation  $valence\text{-}of\text{-}abstraction :: abstraction \Rightarrow nat\ (\S v)$ 
where  $\S v\ a \equiv \S val\ (\mathcal{S}!!a)$ 

abbreviation  $arity\text{-}of\text{-}abstraction :: abstraction \Rightarrow nat\ (\S a)$ 
where  $\S a\ a \equiv \S ar\ (\mathcal{S}!!a)$ 

lemma  $wf\text{-}implies\text{-}valid\text{-}abs$ :
  assumes  $wf$ :  $wf\ (AbsApp\ a\ xs\ ts)$ 
  shows  $\checkmark a$ 
proof –
  have  $sig\text{-}contains\ \mathcal{S}\ a\ (length\ xs)\ (length\ ts)$ 
    using  $local.wf\ nt\text{-}wf.simps(2)$  by  $blast$ 
  then show  $?thesis$ 
    by  $(metis\ (no\text{-}types,\ lifting)\ option.case\text{-}eq\text{-}if\ sig\text{-}contains\text{-}def)$ 
qed

lemma  $wf\text{-}VarApp$ :  $wf\ (VarApp\ x\ ts) = (\forall\ t \in set\ ts.\ wf\ t)$ 
by  $simp$ 

lemma  $wf\text{-}AbsApp\text{-}valence$ : assumes  $wf$ :  $wf\ (AbsApp\ a\ xs\ ts)$  shows  $length\ xs = \S v\ a$ 
by  $(smt\ (z3)\ local.wf\ map\text{-}forced\text{-}get\text{-}def\ nt\text{-}wf.simps(2)\ option.case\text{-}eq\text{-}if\ sig\text{-}contains\text{-}def)$ 

lemma  $shape\text{-}deps\text{-}upper\text{-}bound$ :  $\checkmark a \implies i < \S a\ a \implies a!i \subseteq nats\ (\S v\ a)$ 
using  $preshape\text{-}valence\ shape\text{-}deps\text{-}in\text{-}alldeps$  by  $auto$ 

lemma  $length\text{-}boundvars\text{-}at$ :
  assumes  $wf$ :  $wf\ (AbsApp\ a\ xs\ ts)$ 
  assumes  $i$ :  $i < length\ ts$ 
  shows  $length\ (a!@i(xs)) = a\ !\# i$ 
proof –
  have  $valid$ :  $\checkmark a$ 
    using  $wf\ wf\text{-}implies\text{-}valid\text{-}abs$  by  $blast$ 
  have  $val$ :  $\S v\ a = length\ xs$ 
    by  $(metis\ wf\text{-}AbsApp\text{-}valence\ wf)$ 
  have  $deps$ :  $(a!i) \subseteq nats\ (\S v\ a)$ 
    by  $(smt\ (z3)\ shape\text{-}deps\text{-}upper\text{-}bound\ i\ local.wf\ map\text{-}forced\text{-}get\text{-}def\ nt\text{-}wf.simps(2))$ 

```

```

      option.case-eq-if sig-contains-def)
    then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: nats-length-nths val)
qed

```

```

definition closed :: nterm  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where closed t = (frees t = {})

```

end

6.6 Abstraction Algebra Locale

```

locale algloc = sigloc Sig  $\mathfrak{A}$  for AA :: 'a algebra ( $\mathfrak{A}$ )
begin

```

```

abbreviation
  Universe :: 'a quotient ( $\mathcal{U}$ )
  where  $\mathcal{U} \equiv Univ\ \mathfrak{A}$ 

```

```

abbreviation
  Operators :: 'a operators ( $\mathcal{O}$ )
  where  $\mathcal{O} \equiv Ops\ \mathfrak{A}$ 

```

```

abbreviation
  Signature :: signature ( $\mathcal{S}$ )
  where  $\mathcal{S} \equiv Sig\ \mathfrak{A}$ 

```

```

notation
  Deps (infixl ! $\natural$  100) and
  CardDeps (infixl ! $\#$  100) and
  SelDeps (!@-'(-) [100, 101, 0] 100) and
  is-valid-abstraction ( $\checkmark$ ) and
  valence-of-abstraction ( $\S v$ ) and
  arity-of-abstraction ( $\S a$ )

```

end

```

context algloc begin

```

```

lemma valid-in-operators:  $\checkmark a \Longrightarrow (O!!a) \notin operators\ \mathcal{U}\ (\mathcal{S}!!a)$ 
  by (metis algebra-compatibility map-forced-get-def shape-compatible-def shape-compatible-opt-def)

```

end

```

end
theory Valuation imports NTerm Algebra Locales
begin

```

7 Valuation

7.1 Valuations

type-synonym 'a valuation = (variable \times nat) \Rightarrow 'a operation

definition update-valuation :: 'a valuation \Rightarrow variable list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a valuation

```
(-{- := -} [1000, 51, 51] 1000)
where
  v{xs := us} = ( $\lambda$  (x, n).
    (if n = 0 then
      (case index-of x xs of
        Some i  $\Rightarrow$  value-op (us!i)
      | None  $\Rightarrow$  v (x, 0))
    else v (x, n)))
```

definition qequal-valuation :: variables \Rightarrow 'a quotient \Rightarrow 'a valuation \Rightarrow 'a valuation \Rightarrow bool

where

qequal-valuation X \mathcal{U} τ v = (\forall (x, n) \in X. τ (x, n) = v (x, n) (mod operations \mathcal{U} n))

lemma qequal-valuation-sym: symp (qequal-valuation X \mathcal{U})

by (metis (no-types, lifting) case-prodD case-prodI2 qequal-valuation-def qequals-sym sympI)

lemma qequal-valuation-trans: transp (qequal-valuation X \mathcal{U})

by (smt (verit, best) case-prodD case-prodI2 qequal-valuation-def qequals-trans transpI)

definition valuation-quotient :: variables \Rightarrow 'a quotient \Rightarrow 'a valuation quotient
(infix \mapsto 90)

where

X \mapsto \mathcal{U} = QuotientP (qequal-valuation X \mathcal{U})

lemma valuation-quotient-Rel:

Rel (X \mapsto \mathcal{U}) = { (τ , v). qequal-valuation X \mathcal{U} τ v }

by (simp add: QuotientP-Rel qequal-valuation-sym qequal-valuation-trans valuation-quotient-def)

lemma valuation-quotient-mod:

(τ = v (mod X \mapsto \mathcal{U})) = qequal-valuation X \mathcal{U} τ v

by (simp add: QuotientP-mod qequal-valuation-sym qequal-valuation-trans valuation-quotient-def)

lemma valuation-quotient-in:

(v \in X \mapsto \mathcal{U}) = qequal-valuation X \mathcal{U} v v

by (simp add: qin-mod valuation-quotient-mod)

lemma *valuation-quotient-app*:
 $\tau = v \text{ (mod } X \mapsto \mathcal{U}) \implies (x, n) \in X \implies us = vs \text{ (mod } \mathcal{U} / \hat{} n) \implies \tau (x, n) us$
 $= v (x, n) vs \text{ (mod } \mathcal{U})$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *QuotientP-mod case-prodD fun-quotient-app-mod*
operations-def
qequal-valuation-def qequal-valuation-sym qequal-valuation-trans valuation-quotient-def)

lemma *valuation-mod-subdomain*:
assumes *mod*: $\tau = v \text{ (mod } X \mapsto \mathcal{U})$
assumes *sub*: $Y \subseteq X$
shows $\tau = v \text{ (mod } Y \mapsto \mathcal{U})$
proof –
have $\forall (x, n) \in X. \tau (x, n) = v (x, n) \text{ (mod operations } \mathcal{U} \ n)$
using *mod*
by (*simp add: qequal-valuation-def valuation-quotient-mod*)
then show *?thesis*
by (*meson mod qequal-valuation-def sub subset-iff valuation-quotient-mod*)
qed

lemma *update-valuation-skipvar*:
assumes *x*: $x \notin \text{set } xs$
shows $v\{xs := us\}(x, n) = v(x, n)$
proof –
have *index-of* *x xs* = *None*
by (*metis index-of-is-Some nth-mem option.exhaust x*)
then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: update-valuation-def*)
qed

lemma *subtracted-bound-vars*:
assumes *x*: $(x, n) \in X - xs', 0$
shows $n > 0 \vee x \notin \text{set } xs$
using *x*
by (*simp add: binders-as-vars-def*)

lemma *update-valuation-eq-intro*:
assumes $\tau = v \text{ (mod } X \mapsto \mathcal{U})$
assumes $us = vs \text{ (mod } \mathcal{U} / \hat{} n)$
assumes *length xs* = *n*
shows $\tau\{xs := us\} = v\{xs := vs\} \text{ (mod } (X \cup ((xs)', 0)) \mapsto \mathcal{U})$
proof –
{
fix *x* :: *variable*
assume *x* $\in \text{set } xs$
then have $\exists i. \text{index-of } x \ xs = \text{Some } i$
by (*simp add: index-of-exists*)
then obtain *i* **where** *i*: *index-of* *x xs* = *Some i* **by** *blast*
then have *i* < *n*
using *assms(3) index-of-is-Some* **by** *fastforce*
}

```

then have us-vs-eq-at-i:  $us ! i = vs ! i \text{ (mod } \mathcal{U})$ 
  using assms(2) vector-quotient-nth-mod by blast
have  $\tau\{xs := us\}(x, 0) = v\{xs := vs\}(x, 0) \text{ (mod operations } \mathcal{U} \ 0)$ 
  apply (auto simp add: update-valuation-def i)
  using us-vs-eq-at-i
  by (simp add: operations-eq-intro value-op-def)
}
note main = this
{
  fix x :: variable
  fix n :: nat
  assume xn:  $(x, n) \in X - xs', 0$ 
  then have x-or-n:  $x \notin \text{set } xs \vee n > 0$ 
    using subtracted-bound-vars by blast
  have  $\tau\{xs := us\}(x, n) = v\{xs := vs\}(x, n) \text{ (mod operations } \mathcal{U} \ n)$ 
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) DiffE assms(1) not-gr0 operations-mod prod.simps(2)

    update-valuation-def update-valuation-skipvar valuation-quotient-app x-or-n
xn)
}
note simple = this
show ?thesis
  apply (simp add: valuation-quotient-mod qequal-valuation-def)
  using main simple binders-as-vars-def by fastforce
qed

lemma valuations-empty-domain[simp]:  $\{\} \mapsto \mathcal{U} = /1\mathcal{U}$ 
  by (meson equals0D qequal-valuation-def qsubset-antisym-weak qsubset-weak-def
    subset-universal-singleton-weak valuation-quotient-mod)

```

7.2 Evaluation

```

context algloc
begin

```

```

abbreviation Valuations :: variables  $\Rightarrow$  'a valuation quotient (V)
  where V X  $\equiv (X \mapsto \mathcal{U})$ 

```

```

fun eval :: nterm  $\Rightarrow$  'a valuation  $\Rightarrow$  'a (<-; ->) where
  eval (VarApp x ts) v = v (x, length ts) ( $\S \text{map } t = ts ! -. \text{eval } t \ v$ )
| eval (AbsApp a xs ts) v =
  (let op =  $\mathcal{O} !! a$  in
   let rs = ( $\S \text{map } t = ts ! i.$ 
    let bs =  $a ! @ i(xs)$  in
    ( $\lambda us. (\text{let } v' = v \ \{bs := us\} \text{ in } \text{eval } t \ v')$ ))
   in op rs)

```

```

lemma eval-modulo:
  wf t  $\implies$ 

```

```

    frees  $t \subseteq X \implies$ 
     $\tau = v \text{ (mod } \mathbb{V} X) \implies$ 
     $\text{eval } t \tau = \text{eval } t v \text{ (mod } \mathcal{U})$ 
proof (induct  $t$  arbitrary:  $\tau v X$ )
  case (VarApp  $x ts$ )
    have  $\tau\text{-eq-}v$ :  $\tau = v \text{ (mod } \mathbb{V} X)$  using VarApp by auto
    thm valuation-quotient-app[OF  $\tau\text{-eq-}v$ ]
    have  $\text{frees } (\text{VarApp } x ts) \subseteq X$  using VarApp by force
    then have  $x \text{In} X$ :  $(x, \text{length } ts) \in X$ 
      using nt-free-VarApp by force
    have  $\text{frees-sub}$ :  $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } ts \implies \text{frees } (ts ! i) \subseteq X$ 
      using VarApp.premis(2) nt-free-VarApp-arg-subset by presburger
    show ?case
      apply (auto simp add: list-unindexed-map)
      apply (rule valuation-quotient-app[where  $X=X$ ])
      apply (rule  $\tau\text{-eq-}v$ )
      apply (rule  $x \text{In} X$ )
      apply (subst vector-quotient-mod)
      apply (auto simp add: qequal-vector-def)
      by (metis VarApp.hyps VarApp.premis(1) VarApp.premis(3)  $\text{frees-sub}$  nth-mem
  wf-VarApp)
next
  case (AbsApp  $a xs ts$ )
    have  $\text{valid}$ :  $\checkmark a$  using AbsApp wf-implies-valid-abs by blast
    have  $\text{len-ts}$ :  $\text{length } ts = \S ar (\S !! a)$ 
    by (smt (z3) AbsApp.premis(1) map-forced-get-def nt-wf.simps(2) option.case-eq-if
  sig-contains-def)
    have  $\text{frees}$ :  $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } ts \implies \text{frees } (ts ! i) \subseteq X \cup (a ! @i(xs))', 0$ 
      using AbsApp.premis(2) nt-free-ConsApp-arg-subset by auto
    show ?case
      apply simp
      apply (rule operator-app-eq-intro)
      apply (rule valid-in-operators)
      using  $\text{valid}$  apply blast
      apply (simp add:  $\text{len-ts}$ +)
      apply (simp add:  $\text{len-ts}$ [symmetric])
      apply (rule operations-eq-intro)
      apply (rule AbsApp(1))
      apply force
      using AbsApp.premis(1) apply auto[1]
      apply (rule  $\text{frees}$ , simp)
      apply (rule update-valuation-eq-intro)
      using AbsApp
      apply simp
      apply simp
      using  $\text{valid}$ 
      apply auto
      apply (rule length-boundvars-at[OF AbsApp(2)])
      by simp

```

qed

lemma *eval-is-fun-modulo*:
 assumes *wf*: *wf t*
 shows *eval t* / $\in \mathbb{V}$ (*frees t*) / $\Rightarrow \mathcal{U}$
 using *eval-modulo*[**where** *X=frees t*, *OF wf*, *simplified*]
 by (*simp add: fun-quotient-in qequal-fun-def*)

lemma *eval-closed*:
 assumes *wf*: *wf t*
 assumes *cl*: *closed t*
 shows *eval t* $\tau = \text{eval } t \ v \ (\text{mod } \mathcal{U})$
proof –
 have *frees*: *frees t* $\subseteq \{\}$ using *cl*
 by (*simp add: closed-def*)
 show ?thesis by (rule *eval-modulo*[*OF wf frees*, *simplified*])
 qed

7.3 Semantical Equivalence

Two terms are semantically equivalent if for all abstraction algebras, and all valuations, they evaluate to the same value. We cannot really define this as a closed notion in HOL, as quantifying over all abstraction algebras requires quantifying over type variables, which is not possible in HOL. So we first define semantical equivalence just relative to a fixed abstraction algebra, and then relative to the base type of the abstraction algebra.

definition *sem-equiv* :: *nterm* \Rightarrow *nterm* \Rightarrow *bool*
 where *sem-equiv s t* = ($\forall v. v / \in \mathbb{V} \text{ UNIV} \longrightarrow \text{eval } s \ v = \text{eval } t \ v \ (\text{mod } \mathcal{U})$)

end

HOL can be extended with quantification over type variables [1], and then the notion of semantical equivalence of two terms could be defined via

semantically-equivalent s t = $\forall \alpha. \forall \mathfrak{A} :: \alpha \text{ algebra. algloc.sem-equiv } \mathfrak{A} \ s \ t$

But all we can do here is to define semantical equivalence relative to α :

definition *semantically-equivalent* :: '*a* \Rightarrow *nterm* \Rightarrow *nterm* \Rightarrow *bool*
 where *semantically-equivalent* $\alpha \ s \ t$ = ($\forall \mathfrak{A} :: 'a \text{ algebra. algloc.sem-equiv } \mathfrak{A} \ s \ t$)

lemma *semantically-equivalent* ($\alpha_1 :: 'a$) *s t* = *semantically-equivalent* ($\alpha_2 :: 'a$) *s t*
 by (*simp add: semantically-equivalent-def*)

end

theory *BTerm* **imports** *Locales*
begin

8 De Bruijn Term

8.1 Terms

```
datatype bterm =  
  FreeVar variable ⟨bterm list⟩  
| BoundVar nat  
| Abstr abstraction ⟨bterm list⟩
```

8.2 Free Atoms

```
datatype atom =  
  Var variable nat  
| Unbound nat
```

```
type-synonym atoms = atom set
```

```
context sigloc begin
```

```
fun freeAtomsAt :: nat ⇒ bterm ⇒ atoms where  
  freeAtomsAt level (FreeVar x ts) =  
    (§fold atoms = { Var x (length ts)}, t=ts!-. atoms ∪ freeAtomsAt level t)  
| freeAtomsAt level (BoundVar i) =  
    (if i < level then {} else {Unbound (i - level)})  
| freeAtomsAt level (Abstr a ts) =  
    (§fold atoms = {}, t=ts!-. atoms ∪ freeAtomsAt (level + §v a) t)
```

```
definition freeAtoms :: bterm ⇒ atoms where  
  freeAtoms t = freeAtomsAt 0 t
```

```
definition unboundAtoms :: nat set ⇒ atoms (↑) where  
  ↑ubs = { Unbound u | u. u ∈ ubs }
```

8.3 Wellformedness

```
fun bt-wf :: bterm ⇒ bool where  
  bt-wf (FreeVar x ts) = (∀ t=ts!-. bt-wf t)  
| bt-wf (BoundVar i) = True  
| bt-wf (Abstr a ts) = (✓a ∧ §a a = length ts ∧  
  (∀ t=ts!i. bt-wf t ∧ freeAtoms t ∩ ↑(nats (§v a)) ⊆ ↑(a!‡i)))
```

```
end
```

```
end
```

References

- [1] T. F. Melham. The hol logic extended with quantification over type variables. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01383982>, 1993.

- [2] S. Obua. Abstraction logic. <https://doi.org/10.47757/abstraction.logic.2>, November 2021.
- [3] S. Obua. Philosophy of abstraction logic. <https://doi.org/10.47757/pal.2>, December 2021.