

# Regression & Prediction

Theory and Practice with House Prices

---

Your Name

February 23, 2025

xAI

# Our Housing Journey

- Starting Simple:  
Foundations
- Expanding the Scope:  
Complexity
- Refining Precision:  
Optimization
- Facing Challenges: Pitfalls
- Insights & Next Steps:  
Applications

## Focus

Unpacking King County house prices with rich theory and dual R/Python implementations

# The Quest to Understand Relationships

- Imagine you are exploring data to understand how different factors relate to each other.
- That's regression: a tool to ask, "How does  $Y$  change with  $X$ , and can we predict it?"
- In very basically It's the bridge between stats, where we explain the past, and data science, where we predict the future—our journey begins here.

# Starting Simple

---


# The Housing Puzzle

- **Objective:** Decode drivers of house prices in King County—size, location, features
- **Regression:** A statistical lens linking price ( $Y$ ) to predictors like size ( $X$ )
- **Purpose:** Explain historical sales patterns and forecast future values for buyers and assessors

# Simple Linear Regression: Theory & R

- **Theory:** Models a straight-line relationship:  $Y = b_0 + b_1X + e$
- $b_0$ : Base price when size is zero;  
 $b_1$ : Price increase per sq ft;  $e$ : Random error
- Assumes linearity and independence—foundation of regression

```
1 # R
2 simple_lm <- lm(AdjSalePrice ~ SqFtTotLiving, data
3   = house_df)
4 # Create the plot
5 ggplot(house_df, aes(x = sqft_living, y = price)) +
6   geom_point(alpha = 0.5) + # Scatter plot
7   geom_smooth(method = "lm", color = "blue", se =
8     FALSE) + # Regression line
9   labs(title = "Price vs. Size Fit",
10    x = "Size (sqft_living)",
11    y = "Price") +
12   theme_minimal()
```



**Figure 1:** Price vs. Size Fit

- Size as a core driver
- $b_0 = -43580.7$ ,  
 $b_1 = 280.6$

# Simple Linear Regression: Python

- **Practice:** Fits price to living space, revealing size's impact
- **Key Insight:** Positive slope shows larger homes fetch higher prices

```
1 # Python (p. 152 adapted)
2 from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression
3 predictors = ['SqFtTotLiving']
4 outcome = 'AdjSalePrice'
5 simple_lm = LinearRegression()
6 simple_lm.fit(house[predictors], house[outcome])
7 # Example: Intercept ~ base, Coef ~ $ per sq ft
```

# Finding the Best Fit: Least Squares

- **How do we draw that line?** We minimize the mess—sum of squared errors
- **Theory:** Finds the line minimizing residual sum of squares:  
$$\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2$$
- **How:** Adjusts  $b_0$  and  $b_1$  to reduce prediction errors—optimal for linear fits
- **History:** Legendre (1805) and Gauss; computationally efficient but outlier-sensitive in small datasets



# Finding the Best Fit: Least Squares

Coefficients:

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)

(Intercept) -5.957e+05 1.325e+04 -44.950 < 2e-16 \*\*\*

sqft\_living 2.065e+02 3.364e+00 61.373 < 2e-16 \*\*\*

sqft\_lot -2.664e-01 4.171e-02 -6.388 1.71e-10 \*\*\*

bathrooms -3.944e+04 3.443e+03 -11.456 < 2e-16 \*\*\*

grade 1.037e+05 2.285e+03 45.379 < 2e-16 \*\*\*

---

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 249600 on 21608 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.538, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5379

F-statistic: 6291 on 4 and 21608 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

# R

summary(house\_lm)# Model Summary (Extracts Coefficients, p-values, R<sup>2</sup>)

predictions <- predict(house\_lm, newdata = house\_df)# Extract RMSE

residuals <- house\_df\$price - predictions

RMSE <- sqrt(mean(residuals^2))

R\_squared <- summary(house\_lm)\$r.squared # Extract R-squared

p\_values <- summary(house\_lm)\$coefficients[, 4] # Extract P-values

# Print Results

cat("RMSE:", RMSE, "\n")

cat("R<sup>2</sup>:", R\_squared, "\n")

cat("P-values:\n")

print(p\_values)

## Expanding the Scope

---

## More Clues: Multiple Linear Regression

- **Theory:** Extends to multiple predictors:

$$Y = b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + \cdots + e$$

- **Power:** Captures combined effects—size, lot, bedrooms—assuming linearity
- **Use:** Explains complex housing dynamics

- Size adds \$229/sq ft

```
1 # R (p. 152)
2 house_lm <- lm(AdjSalePrice ~ SqFtTotLiving +
3   SqFtLot + Bathrooms +
4   Bedrooms + BldgGrade, data = house)
# Coefs: SqFtTotLiving = 228.831, Bedrooms =
-47769.955
```

# Multiple Linear Regression: Key Findings

```
1 lm(formula = price ~ sqft_living + sqft_lot + bathrooms + bathrooms +  
2 grade, data = house_df, na.action = na.omit)  
3  
4 Coefficients:  
5 (Intercept)  sqft_living    sqft_lot    bathrooms    grade  
6 -5.957e+05    2.065e+02    -2.664e-01    -3.944e+04    1.037e+05
```

- **sqft\_living:** +\$206.5 per sq ft → Larger houses increase price significantly.
- **grade:** +\$103,700 per unit → Higher quality homes boost price.
- **sqft\_lot:** -\$0.266 per sq ft → Lot size has a tiny negative effect.
- **bathrooms:** -\$39,440 per extra bathroom → Unexpected negative impact.

# Multiple Linear Regression: Model Evaluation

```
1 > cat("RMSE:", RMSE, "\n")
2 RMSE: 249532.2
3 > cat("R²:", R_squared, "\n")
4 R²: 0.5380018
5 > cat("P-values:\n")
6 P-values:
```

- **RMSE:** 261,300 Predictions are off by 261K on average.
- **$R^2$ :** 0.5406 (54.06%)
- **P-values:** SqFtLot is \*\*not statistically significant ( $p = 0.323$ )'

# Multiple Linear Regression: Python

- **Practice:** Models housing with multiple factors
- **Key Insight:** Negative bedroom coef suggests smaller rooms hurt value

- Bedrooms vs. size tension

```
1 # Define predictors and outcome
2 predictors = ['sqft_living', 'sqft_lot', 'bathrooms',
3              ', 'grade']
4 outcome = 'price'
5
6 # Fit Multiple Linear Regression Model
7 house_lm = LinearRegression()
8 house_lm.fit(house_df[predictors], house_df[outcome])
```

# Factor Variables: Theory & R

- **Theory (p. 163):** Encodes categorical variables (e.g., property type) as binary dummies
- **Why:** Allows non-numeric factors in regression; compares to a reference level
- **Example:** Single-family vs. Townhouse effects
- Type shifts price

```
1 # R (p. 164)
2 prop_type_dummies <- model.matrix(~ PropertyType -
3   1, data = house)
# Output: 1 for each type present
```

# Factor Variables: Python

- **Practice:** Integrates property type into price model
- **Key Insight:** Townhouses may differ from single-family homes

- Baseline comparison

```
1 # Python (p. 166 adapted)
2 import pandas as pd
3 X = pd.get_dummies(house['PropertyType'], drop_
    first=True)
4 # Drops first level (e.g., Multiplex) as reference
```



# Nonlinear Fit: Theory & Splines in R

- **Theory (p. 187):** Nonlinear via polynomial segments joined at knots
- **Why:** Captures diminishing returns—e.g., small homes gain more per sq ft
- **Advantage:** Flexible fit without overfitting like high-order polynomials

```
1 # R (p. 190)
2 library(splines)
3 knots <- quantile(house_98105$SqFtTotLiving, p = c
4   (.25, .5, .75))
5 lm_spline <- lm(AdjSalePrice ~ bs(SqFtTotLiving,
6   knots = knots, degree = 3) +
7   SqFtLot + Bathrooms + Bedrooms + BldgGrade, data =
8   house_98105)
```

figure4-12-placeholder.p

**Figure 2:** Spline Fit

# Nonlinear Fit: Splines in Python

- **Practice:** Fits nonlinear price trends in zip 98105
- **Key Insight:** Better matches small vs. large home value shifts

- Curves reflect reality

```
1 # Python (p. 190)
2 import statsmodels.formula.api as smf
3 formula = 'AdjSalePrice~ubs(SqFtTotLiving, udf=6, u
           degree=3)+_SqFtLot+_Bathrooms+_Bedrooms+_
           BldgGrade'
4 model_spline = smf.ols(formula=formula, data=house_
                        98105).fit()
```

# Refining Precision

---

## Model Assessment: Theory

- **Theory (p. 153):** Measures prediction quality and fit
- **RMSE:**  $\sqrt{\frac{\sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{n}}$ —average error magnitude
- $R^2$ : Proportion of variance explained (0-1); higher means better fit
- **Use:** Guides housing prediction accuracy

## Cross-Validation: Theory

- **Theory (p. 155):** Validates model on unseen data via  $k$ -fold splits
- **Process:** Divide data, train on  $k - 1$ , test on 1, repeat, average RMSE
- **Why:** Ensures predictions generalize beyond training sales—crucial for real estate

# Model Selection: Theory & R

- **Theory (p. 156):** Balances fit vs. complexity—Occam's razor
- **AIC:**  
 $2P + n \log(\text{RSS}/n)$ —penalizes extra predictors
- **Goal:** Optimal housing model without overkill
- Streamlined predictors

```
1 # R (p. 157)
2 library(MASS)
3 house_full <- lm(AdjSalePrice ~ SqFtTotLiving +
4   SqFtLot + Bathrooms +
5   Bedrooms + BldgGrade + PropertyType, data = house)
6 step <- stepAIC(house_full, direction = "both")
# Drops less impactful vars
```

# Model Selection: Python

- **Practice:** Automates predictor choice for housing
- **Key Insight:** Reduces noise, enhances prediction

```
1 # Python (p. 158 adapted)
2 from dmbs import stepwise_selection
3 predictors = ['SqFtTotLiving', 'SqFtTot', '
    Bathrooms', 'Bedrooms', 'BldgGrade']
4 def train_model(vars):
5     model = LinearRegression()
6     model.fit(house[vars], house[outcome])
7     return model
8 best_model, _ = stepwise_selection(house[predictors
    ].columns, train_model)
```

- Focused fit

# Weighted Regression: Theory & R

- **Theory (p. 159):** Weights adjust influence by reliability
- **Why:** Older sales less relevant—recent data gets priority
- **Impact:** Refines coefficients for current market
- Recent sales emphasized

```
1 # R (p. 159)
2 house$Weight = year(house$DocumentDate) - 2005
3 house_wt <- lm(AdjSalePrice ~ SqFtTotLiving +
4   SqFtLot + Bathrooms +
5   Bedrooms + BldgGrade, data = house, weight = Weight
6   )
7 # Shifts coeffs slightly
```



# Weighted Regression: Python

- **Practice:** Weights tune housing model
- **Key Insight:** Aligns predictions with market trends

- Fresher focus

```
1 # Python (p. 160)
2 house['Weight'] = [int(date.split('-')[0]) for date
3                     in house.DocumentDate] - 2005
4 house_wt = LinearRegression()
5 house_wt.fit(house[predictors], house[outcome],
6              sample_weight=house.Weight)
```

## Facing Challenges

---

## Prediction Limits: Theory

- **Theory (p. 161):** Extrapolation beyond data fails—e.g., empty lots
- **Intervals:** Confidence for  $b_i$ , wider prediction for  $\hat{Y}_i$
- **Why:** Uncertainty spikes outside training range—limits housing forecasts

## Interpreting Coefficients: Theory

- **Theory (p. 171):** Coefficients mislead if predictors correlate
- **Multicollinearity:** Size and bedrooms overlap—unstable fits
- **Confounding:** Missing location skews results
- **Interactions:** Size's effect varies by zip—needs modeling

# Diagnostics: Theory & R

- **Theory (p. 176):** Residuals reveal model flaws
- **Outliers:** Extreme sales (e.g., \$119,748); **Influence:** Sway points
- **Heteroskedasticity:** Uneven errors signal gaps

```
1 # R (p. 177)
2 house_98105 <- house[house$ZipCode == 98105, ]
3 lm_98105 <- lm(AdjSalePrice ~ SqFtTotLiving +
4   SqFtLot + Bathrooms +
5   Bedrooms + BldgGrade, data = house_98105)
6 sresid <- rstandard(lm_98105) # -4.326732 outlier
```

figure4-6-placeholder.pd

**Figure 3:** Influence Plot

# Diagnostics: Python

- **Practice:** Identifies \$119,748 as partial sale anomaly
- **Key Insight:** Diagnostics ensure robust housing predictions


```
1 # Python (p. 178)
2 from statsmodels.stats.outliers_influence import
   OLSInfluence
3 house_98105 = house[house['ZipCode'] == 98105]
4 model = smf.ols('AdjSalePrice~_SqFtTotLiving+_
   SqFtLot+_Bathrooms+_Bedrooms+_BldgGrade',
   data=house_98105).fit()
5 influence = OLSInfluence(model)
6 sresiduals = influence.resid_studentized
```

- Spots critical flaws

## Influence Plot (Bubble Plot) – Identify Influential Values

- **Purpose:** Identifies influential observations by combining leverage, residuals, and Cook's Distance.
- **Key Insights:**
  - Large bubbles = high Cook's Distance → Removing these changes regression results significantly.
  - Possible reasons:
    1. High leverage (extreme predictor values) , Large residual (far from regression line) ,Both high leverage and large residual.
- **Results:** Four large influential points found (Cook's  $D > 0.08$ ), impacting coefficients.
- Residuals beyond  $\pm 2.5$

## Influence Plot (Bubble Plot) – Identify Influential Values



**Figure 4:** Bubble plot showing influential points.



# Influence Plot (Bubble Plot) – Identify Influential Values

## Listing 1: Influence Plot in R

```
1 library(car)
2 lm_model <- lm(AdjSalePrice ~ SqFtTotLiving + SqFtLot + Bathrooms + Bedrooms +
  BldgGrade, data=house_98105)
3 influencePlot(lm_model)
```


## Listing 2: Influence Plot in Python

```
1 house_98105 = house[house['ZipCode'] == 98105]
2 X = house_98105[['SqFtTotLiving', 'SqFtLot', 'Bathrooms', 'Bedrooms', 'BldgGrade']].
  assign(const=1)
3 y = house_98105['AdjSalePrice']
4 model = sm.OLS(y, X).fit()
5 influence = sm.stats.outliers_influence.OLSInfluence(model)
6 fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(5, 5))
7 ax.axhline(-2.5, ls='--', color='C1')
8 ax.axhline(2.5, ls='--', color='C1')
9 ax.scatter(influence.hat_matrix_diag, influence.resid_studentized_internal,
10 s=1000 * np.sqrt(influence.cooks_distance[0]), alpha=0.5)
11 ax.set_xlabel('hat_values')
12 ax.set_ylabel('studentized_residuals')
13 plt.show()
```

## Residual Plot (Heteroskedasticity Check)

- **Purpose:** The residual plot checks for heteroskedasticity by analyzing how residuals (errors) vary with predicted values.
- **Key Insights:**
  - X-axis (Predicted Values): Represents the fitted values from the regression model.
  - Y-axis (Absolute Residuals): Measures the deviation of actual values from predictions.
  - Scatter Points: Each dot represents an observation's residual.
  - LOESS Smoother (Blue Line): Shows the trend in residuals.
  - Shaded Region: Indicates confidence around the trend.
    1. Heteroskedasticity detected – Residuals increase with larger predicted values, indicating variance instability.
    2. Curved Trend – Suggests missing variables or non-linearity in the data.
    3. Outliers at High Predictions – Some extreme points have large residuals, further confirming instability.

## Residual Plot (Heteroskedasticity Check)



**Figure 5:** Bubble plot showing influential points.

# Influence Plot (Bubble Plot) – Identify Influential Values

## Listing 3: Heteroskedasticity Plot in R

```
1 df <- data.frame(resid = residuals(lm_98105), pred = predict(lm_98105))
2 ggplot(df, aes(pred, abs(resid))) + geom_point() + geom_smooth()
```


## Listing 4: Heteroskedasticity Plot in Python

```
1 import seaborn as sns
2 fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(5, 5))
3 sns.regplot(model.fittedvalues, np.abs(model.resid), scatter_kws={'alpha': 0.25},
4 line_kws={'color': 'C1'}, lowess=True, ax=ax)
5 ax.set_xlabel('predicted')
6 ax.set_ylabel('abs(residual)')
7 plt.show()
```

# Histogram of Standardized Residuals: Normality Check

- **Purpose:** The histogram assesses residual normality by analyzing the distribution of standardized residuals.
- **Key Insights:**
  - **Centering Around Zero:** The residuals are centered around 0, indicating no strong systematic bias in the model.
  - **Skewness Long Tails:** The right tail is longer, suggesting right-skewness and possible underestimation of some values.
  - **Non-Normal Distribution:** The residuals deviate from a perfect bell-shaped curve, hinting at potential issues:
    1. Missing predictors affecting the model.
    2. Heteroskedasticity, as observed in the residual plot.
    3. Outliers influencing the regression fit.

# Histogram of Standardized Residuals: Normality Check



**Figure 6:** Bubble plot showing influential points.

# Histogram of Standardized Residuals: Normality Check

## Listing 5: Histogram of Standardized Residuals in R

```
1 df <- data.frame(resid = residuals(lm_98105), pred = predict(lm_98105))
2 ggplot(df, aes(pred, abs(resid))) + geom_point() + geom_smooth()
```

## Listing 6: Histogram of Standardized Residuals in Python

```
1 plt.hist(influence.resid_studentized_internal, bins=50, color='lightblue')
2 plt.xlabel('Standardized Residuals')
3 plt.title('Histogram of Standardized Residuals')
4 plt.show()
```

## Insights & Next Steps

---



- **Findings:** Linear ties price to size, splines capture nonlinear trends
- **Diagnostics:** Reveal quirks like partial sales—critical for accuracy
- **Application:** Real-world tool for buyers, sellers, and assessors

## Key Takeaways

- **Flexible:** Evolves from simple lines to complex curves for housing
- **Precise:** RMSE and cross-validation ensure reliable price predictions
- **Powerful:** R and Python implementations unlock data-driven insights

## Looking Ahead

- **Resources:** *Statistical Learning* (Hastie et al.), *Time Series Forecasting* (Shmueli)
- **Next Steps:** Dive into splines, time series for dynamic housing models
- **Call:** Blend theory and practice for smarter predictions