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## Time-series

A time-series is a collection of observations measured in chronological order, and ubiquitous in almost human-related activities in various domains, for example, Finance, Environments (pollution monitoring), Genetics, Multimedia, etc.

https://www.equedia.com/how-to-read-stock-charts-trend-macd-crossovers/

https://knoow.net/ciencinformtelec/informatica/frame/

https://askthescientists.com/genetics/

## Time-series Mining

- Time-series databases (Challenges)
${ }^{\bullet}$ Large
${ }^{-}$Noisy
${ }^{\bullet}$ High dimensional
${ }^{\bullet}$ Mining Algorithms for Time-series Data
${ }^{\bullet}$ Clustering
${ }^{\bullet}$ Classification
- Similarity search


## Time-series Representations

- Time series representations aim to generate meaningful representations in a lowerdimensional space.
${ }^{\bullet}$ Explaining information of the time-series such as trends and shapes.
- The similar time-series representations should be placed nearby in the space.
${ }^{\bullet}$ This is the key step in success of almost time-series mining tasks.


## Past methods

- Time-series mining tasks are typically performed on the higher representation or approximation of time-series instead of the original ones so that meaningful results can be obtained.
${ }^{\bullet}$ Several methods were proposed to produce timeseries representation in a lower dimension space.
- Discrete Fourier transform (DFT)
- Discrete wavelet transform (DWT)
- Piecewise aggregate approximation (PAA)
- Adaptive piecewise constant approximation (APCA)
- Singular value decomposition (SVD)
- Etc...


## Past methods (Cont'd)

- Symbolic representation methods were proposed to reduce the dimensions of time-series data and use discrete symbols as the representation.
- Symbolic aggregate approximation (SAX)
- Bitmap representation
- Bag-of-pattern representation (BoP)
- Bag-of-SFA-Symbols (BOSS)


## Representation Learning Algorithm for Time-series

- Neural network-based models to learn the low-dimensional representations
(Embedding).
- Shallow neural network-based:
- MAEAT
- Signal2Vec
- Deep learning-based:
- T2Vec
- NEUTRAJ

Proposed Method: MS-SRALAT

## Overall Algorithm



## Definitions

Definition 1: (Time Series) A time-series $T_{i} \in \mathcal{T}$ is a sequence of real values, denoted by $T=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{|T|}\right\}$, where $x_{j} \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq j \leq|T|$, and $|T|$ is the length of time series $T$.

Definition 2: (Time-Series Subsequence) Given a timeseries $T_{i} \in \mathcal{T}$, a time-series subsequence of time-series $T_{i}$ is a sequence of consecutive values of length $m$ defined as $\tau_{i, j}^{m}=\left\{x_{j}, x_{j+1}, \cdots, x_{j+m-1}\right\}$, where $m \leq\left|T_{i}\right|$, and $1 \leq j \leq\left|T_{i}\right|-m+1$.

Time-series:


$$
\mathrm{T}_{1}=\{2.5,3,2.47,2.4,2.75\}
$$

Time-series Subsequence:


$$
\tau_{1,1}^{3}=\{2.5,3,2.47\}
$$

## Preprocessing

${ }^{\bullet}$ Normalization using Z-normalization method such that the values of time-series has zero mean and unit-variance

## -SAX Representation:

- (1) Reducing the normalized time-series dimension using piecewise aggregate approximation (PAA) with " $w$ " as the parameter (we regard this " $w$ " as the granularity level)

$$
x_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{w}{|T|} \sum_{j=\frac{|T|}{w}(i-1)+1}^{\frac{|T|}{w} i} x_{j}^{\prime}
$$

- (2) Discretization procedure is carried out by determining the breakpoints that partition the area under the Gaussian curve $\mathrm{N}(0,1)$ into equal-sized partitions: $B=\left\langle b_{1}, \cdots, b_{a-1}\right\rangle$, where $b_{0}=-\infty$ and $b_{a}=+\infty$.


## Preprocessing (Cont'd)

## - SAX Representation (cont'd):

Definition 3: (SAX Sentence) Given a time-series $T_{i} \in \mathcal{T}$, a SAX sentence contains a sequence of discrete words corresponding to $T_{i}$, denoted by $s^{T_{i}}=\left\{W_{1}^{T_{i}}, W_{2}^{T_{i}}, \cdots, W_{k}^{T_{i}}\right\}$, where $W_{j}^{T_{i}}$ is a SAX word at $j^{t h}$ position of $s^{T_{i}}, k$ is the sentence length and $k \leq\left|T_{i}\right|$. We will give the details of how to convert a time-series to its corresponding sentence in the subsequent sections.

## - (2) Discretization procedure:

- The breakpoints B will partition the Gaussian curve into $\boldsymbol{A}$ equal-sized areas of 1/ $\boldsymbol{a}$.


## - (3) English alphabet mapping (SAX mapping)

- Once the breakpoint list B has been constructed and the normalized time-series has been transformed into the PAA representation, each $x_{i}^{\prime \prime} \in T^{\prime \prime}$ will be mapped to the English alphabet $\mathbf{A}$ if the value $X_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ less than the break point $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}$ and mapped to $\mathbf{B}$ if $b_{1} \leq x_{i}^{\prime \prime}<b_{2}$ and so forth.
- We can regard these English alphabets as SAX symbols; These symbols can be used to construct a SAX word (described in IV-A2). The SAX words can then be used to further construct a SAX sentence as defined in Definition 3.


## Preprocessing (Cont'd)

## ${ }^{\bullet}$ Word Extraction and Sentence Construction:

${ }^{\text {- }}$ Given a time-series $T_{i} \in T$, we can extract its possible subsequences of length $m$ denoted by $S S_{T_{i}, m}=\left\langle\tau_{i, 1}^{m}, \tau_{i, 2}^{m}, \cdots, \tau_{i,\left|T_{i}\right|-m+1}^{m}\right\rangle$ where each $\tau_{i, j}^{m}$ will correspond to a SAX word by the SAX transformation.

- We can then convert the timeseries $T_{i}$ to its corresponding SAX sentence (see Definition 3) $S_{T_{i}}$ $=\left\langle W_{1}^{T_{i}}, W_{2}^{T_{i}}, \cdots, W_{k}^{T_{i}}\right\rangle$ by first extracting all subsequences from $T_{i}$ and then transforming all the subsequences $S S_{T i, m}$ into the sequence of SAX words (SAX sentence)


## SAX Word Embedding Learning

${ }^{\bullet}$ We learn substructure-aware latent representations of each SAX word using the Skipgram model.
${ }^{\bullet}$ The training set for learning SAX word embedding can be obtained by converting the raw timeseries database $T=\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \cdots, T_{N}\right\}$ into the corresponding database of SAX sentences denoted as $S=\left\{S^{T_{1}}, S^{T_{2}}, \cdots, S^{T_{N}}\right\}$.
${ }^{\bullet}$ Given that a SAX sentence $S^{T}{ }^{i}$ comprises the sequence of SAX words
$\left\langle W_{1}^{T_{i}}, W_{2}^{T_{i}}, \cdots, W_{k}^{T_{i}}\right\rangle$, we define the context of word $W_{1}^{T_{i}}$ by the set of its surrounding words denoted by

$$
\mathbf{C}_{\phi}\left(W_{j}^{T_{i}}\right)=\left(\bigcup_{l=j-\phi}^{p+\phi} W_{l}^{T_{i}}\right) \backslash W_{j}^{T_{i}}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \text { is the window size. }
$$

## SAX Word Embedding Learning

- The objective to train the Skip-gram model is to maximize the average log likelihood of context words $C_{\phi}\left(W_{j}^{T i}\right)$ given the word $W_{j}^{T i}$.
${ }^{\bullet}$ Considering the SAX sentence database $S$, the objective function $J(W)$ can be computed by an average negative log likelihood which is eauivalent to maximizing the average $\log$ likelihood as follows. $J(\mathcal{W})=-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{W_{c} \in \mathbf{C}_{\phi}\left(W_{j}^{T_{i}}\right)} \log P\left(W_{c} \mid W_{j}^{T_{i}} ; \mathcal{W}\right)$



## Substructure-Aware Time-series Representation

${ }^{\bullet}$ Once we have obtained the SAX word embeddings learned from the preceding process, we can simply construct a representation for a raw time-series $T_{i} \in T$ as the following two steps.

- First, we convert the time-series Ti to a SAX sentence (sequence of SAX word)
- Second, for each SAX word $\mathrm{W}_{j}^{T} \in V$ in the sentence $S^{T}$, we look up its corresponding SAX word embedding $\mathcal{V}_{W_{j}} T_{i}$ in the learned $W$, then combining these SAX word embeddings by finding the average over all embedding vectors as follows.

$$
\Gamma^{T_{i}}=1 /\left|T_{i}\right| \sum_{l=1}^{k} \mathbf{v}_{W_{l}^{T_{i}}}
$$

## Substructure-Aware Time-series Representation (Cont'd)

${ }^{\bullet}$ In this paper, we proposed to exploit the substructure of time-series and encode the discretization process in different levels of substructures.

- Specifically, we used multiple SAX transformation functions

$$
\mathcal{F}=\left\{f_{S A X}^{w_{1}}, \cdots, f_{S A X}^{w_{L}}\right\}
$$

${ }^{\bullet}$ we can learn the set of representation parameters $\mathcal{P}=\left\{\mathcal{W}^{w_{1}}, \cdots, \mathcal{W}^{w_{L}}\right\}$
${ }^{\bullet}$ Given a time-series $T_{i}$, we can obtain the set of embedding vectors $\left\{\Gamma_{w_{1}}^{T_{i}}, \cdots, \Gamma_{w_{L}}^{T_{i}}\right\}$, and the final representation for the time-series $T_{i}$ is obtained by the concatenation of these vectors

$$
\Gamma_{\text {final }}^{T_{i}}=\left[\Gamma_{w_{1}}^{T_{i}} ; \cdots ; \Gamma_{w_{L}}^{T_{i}}\right]
$$

Experimental results

## CLASSIFIER ERROR RATES OF DIFFERENT COMPARED METHODS ON VARIOUS DATASETS

| Dataset | \#Train | \#Test | \#Classes | 1-NN ED | 1-NN DTW | Signal2Vec | Single-level SRALAT | Multi-granularity SRALAT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adiac | 390 | 391 | 37 | 0.389 | 0.391 | 0.698 | 0.752 | 0.621 |
| Beef | 30 | 30 | 5 | 0.467 | 0.467 | 0.633 | 0.467 | 0.333 |
| CBF | 30 | 900 | 3 | 0.148 | 0.003 | 0.453 | 0.003 | 0.000 |
| Coffee | 28 | 28 | 2 | 0.25 | 0.18 | 0.429 | 0.179 | 0.107 |
| ECG200 | 100 | 100 | 2 | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.350 | 0.140 | 0.200 |
| FaceAll | 560 | 1690 | 14 | 0.286 | 0.192 | 0.825 | 0.475 | 0.246 |
| FaceFour | 24 | 88 | 4 | 0.216 | 0.17 | 0.534 | 0.182 | 0.023 |
| Fish | 175 | 175 | 7 | 0.217 | 0.167 | 0.549 | 0.320 | 0.229 |
| Gun-Point | 50 | 150 | 2 | 0.087 | 0.093 | 0.167 | 0.200 | 0.060 |
| Lightning2 | 60 | 61 | 2 | 0.246 | 0.131 | 0.344 | 0.213 | 0.131 |
| Lightning7 | 70 | 73 | 7 | 0.425 | 0.274 | 0.671 | 0.384 | 0.356 |
| OliveOil | 30 | 30 | 4 | 0.133 | 0.133 | 0.200 | 0.333 | 0.167 |
| OSULeaf | 200 | 242 | 6 | 0.483 | 0.409 | 0.690 | 0.607 | 0.492 |
| SynControl | 300 | 300 | 6 | 0.12 | 0.007 | 0.727 | 0.077 | 0.030 |
| SwedLeaf | 500 | 625 | 15 | 0.213 | 0.21 | 0.566 | 0.355 | 0.272 |
| Trace | 100 | 100 | 4 | 0.24 | 0 | 0.220 | 0.140 | 0.040 |
| TwoPatterns | 1000 | 4000 | 4 | 0.09 | 0 | 0.742 | 0.186 | 0.030 |
| Wafer | 1000 | 6164 | 2 | 0.005 | 0.02 | 0.084 | 0.009 | 0.010 |

## The running time comparison


(a) Discretization + Training

(b) Similarity Search

## Future Work

- Study more on the parameters (e.g., granularity level, number of substructures, ...) used in training models since different sets of parameters make the model to produce different representations in terms of semantics.
- Produce substructures of time-series in an adaptive manner as opposed to a static manner so that the representation might capture more clearly the characteristic of each individual time-series data.


## Q\&A

## Thank you.

