Classification Assignment

Problem Statement Analysis

The Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) dataset includes several features, with the "classification" field being the target variable to predict. The other fields, totaling 24 input features, are used as predictors to train and test the model.

Preprocessing Method

The dataset contains categorical fields that must be converted into numerical values for effective model processing. This transformation is achieved using a label encoder, which converts categorical data into integers.

Model Results

Random Forest Results:

Fitting F fol	de fen eech	of 12 cand	idatas tai	talling 60 d	F.i.+.a
Fitting 5 fol					
Best Paramete	ers: {'criter	ion': 'ent	ropy', 'n_e	estimators':	: 1000}
	precision	recall	f1–score	support	
0	0.98	0.98	0.98	51	
1	0.99	0.99	0.99	82	
accuracy			0.98	133	
macro avg	0.98	0.98	0.98	133	
weighted avg	0.98	0.98	0.98	133	

Decision Tree:

54444mm 5 4-14-	£	£ 6		114 20 64	
Fitting 5 folds					
Best Parameters:					n.}
pr	ecision	recall T	1-score	support	
0	0.86	0.98	0.92	51	
1	0.99	0.90	0.94	82	
accuracy			0.93	133	
macro avg	0.92	0.94	0.93	133	
weighted avg	0.94	0.93	0.93	133	
gcu u.g					

Support Vector Machine

Fitting 5 folds for each of 3 candidates, totalling 15 fits Best Parameters: {'kernel': 'sigmoid', 'random_state': 0} precision recall f1-score support						
0 1	0.27 0.59	0.12 0.80	0.16 0.68	51 82		
accuracy macro avg weighted avg	0.43 0.47	0.46 0.54	0.54 0.42 0.48	133 133 133		

Logistic Regression for classification

Fitting 5 folds for each of 9 candidates, totalling 45 fits Best Parameters: {'C': 10, 'penalty': 'l2', 'solver': 'liblinear'}							
ļ r	recision	recall	f1-score	support			
0	0.89	0.92	0.90	51			
1	0.95	0.93	0.94	82			
accuracy			0.92	133			
macro avg	0.92	0.92	0.92	133			
weighted avg	0.93	0.92	0.93	133			

KNN

Fitting 5 folds for each of 60 candidates, totalling 300 fits Best Parameters: {'metric': 'cosine', 'n_neighbors': 5, 'p': 1}						
pı	recision	recall f	1-score	support		
0	0.80	0.94	0.86	51		
1	0.96	0.85	0.90	82		
accuracy			0.89	133		
macro avg	0.88	0.90	0.88	133		
weighted avg	0.90	0.89	0.89	133		

Navie Baiyas: MultinomialNB

Fitting 5 folds for each of 10 candidates, totalling 50 fits Best Parameters: {'alpha': 0.1, 'fit_prior': True} precision recall f1-score support						
0 1	0.98 1.00	1.00 0.99	0.99 0.99	51 82		
accuracy macro avg weighted avg	0.99 0.99	0.99 0.99	0.99 0.99 0.99	133 133 133		

BernoulliNB

Fitting 5 folds for each of 10 candidates, totalling 50 fits Best Parameters: {'alpha': 0.5, 'fit_prior': False}						
0 1	0.96 1.00	1.00 0.98	0.98 0.99	51 82		
accuracy macro avg weighted avg	0.98 0.99	0.99 0.98	0.98 0.98 0.99	133 133 133		

CategoricalNB

Best Parameters	s: {'alpha': precision			
0 1	0.98 1.00	1.00 0.99	0.99 0.99	51 82
accuracy macro avg weighted avg	0.99 0.99	0.99 0.99	0.99 0.99 0.99	133 133 133

Final Model

Based on the analysis, both Naive Bayes MultinomialNB and CategoricalNB demonstrate superior performance scores.