

RE: Summary of TRs and Changeset Details for Cafe_ConversationsPI25.2

From Jonathan Hamilton < Jonathan. Hamilton@Yardi.Com>

Date Fri 1/24/2025 5:05 AM

To Kunal Chaudhari < Kunal.chaudhari@yardi.com>

Cc Prakash Dale <Prakash.Dale@Yardi.Com>; Jon Pence <Jon.Pence@Yardi.Com>

Well done, thank you!

From: Kunal Chaudhari < Kunal.chaudhari@yardi.com>

Sent: Friday, January 24, 2025 2:47 AM

To: Jonathan Hamilton < Jonathan. Hamilton@Yardi.Com>

Cc: Prakash Dale <Prakash.Dale@Yardi.Com>; Jon Pence <Jon.Pence@Yardi.Com> **Subject:** RE: Summary of TRs and Changeset Details for Cafe ConversationsPI25.2

Hi Jonathan.

I've made the following changes as you suggested:

- Replaced the repository method with the IProviderLookup service.
- Updated the view model that I created to include a constructor for mapping PropertyEmail and the provider's name.

I have attached the changeset details below currently deployed on dev for your reference:

http://ysifwtfs02/YARDI/Caf%C3%A9s/ versionControl/changeset/2742416

Let me know if any further changes are needed.

Best regards,



Kunal Chaudhari | Software Engineer Trainee

tel 91.20.67239000 | fax 91.20.25651914 Yardi Software India Pvt Ltd. | Second Floor, Sigma House, Senapati Bapat Road | Pune, MH 411016, India kunal.chaudhari@yardi.com | www.yardi.com

United States | Canada | Europe | Asia-Pacific

NOTICE: This email message, including any attachments, may contain information that is confidential and/or proprietary. If you are not an intended recipient, please be advised that any review, use, reproduction or distribution of this message is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please completely destroy all electronic and hard copies, and contact the sender at or kunal.chaudhari@yardi.com.

From: Jonathan Hamilton < <u>Jonathan.Hamilton@Yardi.Com</u>>

Sent: Thursday, January 23, 2025 7:47 PM

To: Kunal Chaudhari < Kunal Chaudhari@yardi.com

Cc: Prakash Dale < Prakash Dale Prakash.Dale@Yardi.Com>
Subject: RE: Summary of TRs and Changeset Details for Cafe_ConversationsPl25.2">Prakash.Dale@Yardi.Com>

Yes, very good, this is all accurate. I would like you to make the following changes:

There is a service interface in the Omni library project called IProviderLookup. This gives you a method for looking up a provider's details already. Add a parameter to the controller's constructor and use this service do the lookup. Remove the repository method you added since it isn't necessary. We prefer this lookup service because provider data does not change frequently, and it allows us to cache the provider data instead of adding more database lookups.

I know the IProviderLookup interface is already registered for dependency injection in Omni Admin. Please review where and how this is done. Let me know if you have questions.

Last, the use of a view model is appropriate here, but I would prefer that you define a constructor on the view model type that requires an instance of PropertyEmail and the provider's name. The mapping of properties between the DAL model and the view model should be handled in the constructor so we do not have to update all the places in the future where it is instantiated.

From: Kunal Chaudhari < Kunal Chaudhari@yardi.com>

Sent: Thursday, January 23, 2025 7:41 AM

To: Jonathan Hamilton < <u>Jonathan.Hamilton@Yardi.Com</u>>

Cc: Prakash Dale < <u>Prakash.Dale@Yardi.Com</u>>; Jon Pence < <u>Jon.Pence@Yardi.Com</u>> **Subject:** RE: Summary of TRs and Changeset Details for Cafe_ConversationsPI25.2

Hi Jonathan,

I went through the changeset you had sent I observed the following

Regarding the first question:

The issue arose because data was previously being retrieved by executing a query on the database and storing the results in a list of objects, without mapping to the appropriate data types. A specific problem was with the CallCenter column, which is of type TINYINT(1). While this column could store values like 0, 1, or 2 it was incorrectly being interpreted as a boolean (true or false) due to the absence of proper type mapping.

The new implementation resolved this by using a strongly typed method that ensures the data is correctly mapped to the PropertyEmail model. By using the generic repository, the data is fetched and accurately mapped into a strongly typed PropertyEmail model, ensuring that all fields retain their correct data types.

Regarding the second question:

The reason the Provider column was not populated after the generic repository implementation is that the method FindByPropertyIdAsync<PropertyEmail>

only fetched data from the PropertyEmail table. It did not perform a join with the Provider table to retrieve the ProviderName. Since the ProviderName is not part of the PropertyEmail model, it was excluded, and the query logic in the generic repository was not designed to include related table data.

How I addressed this issue:

To fix this, I created a separate repository method, GetProviderName, and introduced a PropertyEmailViewModel. The solution involves manually mapping the ProviderName by calling the GetProviderName method for each record in emailChannels. This method retrieves the ProviderName based on the ProviderId and assigns it to the ProviderName property in the PropertyEmailViewModel. This manual mapping ensures that all required data, including the ProviderName, is populated.

Below I have attached the screen shots for your reference regarding the fix I implemented on dev local:

```
gion <mark>"Property Email Api Methods</mark>
[HttpGet("{propertyid:long}/email")]
O references | Jonathan Hamilton, 14 hours ago | 1 author, 1 +1 changes | 4 incoming changes public async Task<IActionResult> GetPropertyEmail(long propertyid)
    using var dbContext = PrimaryDb();
    var repo = RepoFactory.CreateGenericRepo(dbContext);
    var _propertyEmailRepo = RepoFactory.Create<PropertyEmailRepository>(dbContext);
     var emailChannels = await repo.FindByPropertyIdAsync<PropertyEmail>(propertyid);
    List<PropertyEmailViewModel> updatedEmailChannels = new List<PropertyEmailViewModel>();
    foreach(var obj in emailChannels)
        var dto = new PropertyEmailViewModel{
           Id = obj.Id,
            ProviderId = obj.ProviderId,
            PropertyId = obj.PropertyId,
            Email = obj.Email,
            DebugResponder = obj.DebugResponder,
            ProviderName = _propertyEmailRepo.GetProviderName(obj.ProviderId),
            AutomatedResponse = obj.AutomatedResponse,
            CallCenter = obj.CallCenter,
            DefaultBotOutbound = obj.DefaultBotOutbound,
            GKWorkGroupId =obj.GKWorkGroupId,
             IsDefault = obj.IsDefault,
             PushEnabled = obj.PushEnabled,
             IsDefaultLinked = obj.IsDefaultLinked,
             PushToVoyagerQueue = obj.PushToVoyagerQueue,
             VoyagerSource = obj.VoyagerSource,
            IsDisabled = obj.IsDisabled,
ParseLeads = obj.ParseLeads,
             SkillId = obj.SkillId,
             UUID = obj.UUID
        updatedEmailChannels.Add(dto);
    return _response.OK(updatedEmailChannels);
```

```
1reference|0 changes|0 authors, 0 changes
public string GetProviderName(long Id)
{
     var sql = new StringBuilder();
     sql.Append(@"select providername from provider where id = @Id");

     var retval = QueryFirstOrDefault<string>(CommonUtils.MigrateSQLScript(sql.ToString()), new { Id = Id });
     return retval;
}
```

```
3 references
public class PropertyEmailViewModel
     1 reference
public long Id { get; set; }
1 reference
public long PropertyId { get; set; }
     1 reference
public long ProviderId { get; set; }
     1 reference public string? ProviderName { get; set; }
     2 references
public string? Email { get; set; }
      1 reference
public bool DebugResponder { get; set; }
     1 reference
public AutomatedResponse AutomatedResponse { get; set; }
1 reference
public bool IsDefault { get; set; }
1 reference
public string? UUID { get; set; } = Guid.NewGuid().ToString();
     O references

public string? Handle => Email;
     1 reference
public bool PushEnabled { get; set; }
     1 reference
public bool PushToVoyagerQueue { get; set; }
      1 reference
public bool ParseLeads { get; set; }
     1 reference
public bool DefaultBotOutbound { get; set; }
      1 reference
public CallCenter CallCenter { get; set; }
      1 reference
public bool IsDefaultLinked { get; set; }
     1 reference
public long? SkillId { get; set; }
     1 reference
public bool IsDisabled { get; set; }
1 reference
public long? GKWorkGroupId { get; set; }
     1 reference
public string VoyagerSource { get; set; }
```

Best Regards,



Kunal Chaudhari | Software Engineer Trainee

tel 91.20.67239000 | fax 91.20.25651914 Yardi Software India Pvt Ltd. | Second Floor, Sigma House, Senapati Bapat Road | Pune, MH 411016, India kunal.chaudhari@yardi.com | www.yardi.com

United States | Canada | Europe | Asia-Pacific

NOTICE: This email message, including any attachments, may contain information that is confidential and/or proprietary. If you are not an intended recipient, please be advised that any review, use, reproduction or distribution of this message is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please completely destroy all electronic and hard copies, and contact the sender at or kunal.chaudhari@yardi.com.

From: Jonathan Hamilton < Jonathan. Hamilton@Yardi.Com>

Sent: Thursday, January 23, 2025 2:26 AM

To: Kunal Chaudhari < Kunal Chaudhari@yardi.com>

Cc: Prakash Dale < <u>Prakash.Dale@Yardi.Com</u>>; Jon Pence < <u>Jon.Pence@Yardi.Com</u>> **Subject:** RE: Summary of TRs and Changeset Details for Cafe_ConversationsPI25.2

Thanks for the summary and links, Kunal. I would like to challenge you on the email routing display issue, TR #765296.

Take a look at this changeset, which is currently deployed on Dev: http://ysifwtfs02/web/cs.aspx?pcguid=c7ce6423-7698-46cc-9273-1b6ce0a8efe8&cs=2741017

Would you please explain why this changeset fixes the issue and why the other repository method caused the issue in the first place?

If you look on the front end, you will see that with my change, the Provider column is not populated. Is it clear why? Do you have some idea for how you could fix that defect?

From: Kunal Chaudhari < Kunal Chaudhari@yardi.com>

Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2025 4:46 AM

To: Jonathan Hamilton < Jonathan. Hamilton@Yardi.Com>

Cc: Prakash Dale < <u>Prakash.Dale@Yardi.Com</u>>; Jon Pence < <u>Jon.Pence@Yardi.Com</u>> **Subject:** Summary of TRs and Changeset Details for Cafe_ConversationsPI25.2

Hi Jonathan,

Please find attached a document containing the summary of TRs for "Cafe_ConversationsPI25.2" along with their corresponding changeset details. This document includes an overview of the changes and modifications implemented in this release for your reference.

Should you have any questions or require further clarification, please feel free to reach out.

Best regards,



Kunal Chaudhari | Software Engineer Trainee

tel 91.20.67239000 | fax 91.20.25651914 Yardi Software India Pvt Ltd. | Second Floor, Sigma House, Senapati Bapat Road | Pune, MH 411016, India kunal.chaudhari@yardi.com | www.yardi.com

United States | Canada | Europe | Asia-Pacific

NOTICE: This email message, including any attachments, may contain information that is confidential and/or proprietary. If you are not an intended recipient, please be advised that any review, use, reproduction or distribution of this message is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please completely destroy all electronic and hard copies, and contact the sender at or kunal.chaudhari@yardi.com.