

CS519 Wall Following Lab Report

- 1) The Steering angle error for:
 - a) The following right condition is calculated by subtracting D_{t+1} from the reference distance (i.e., $e = R - D_{t+1}$)
 - b) The following left is calculated by subtracting the reference distance from D_{t+1} (i.e. $e = D_{t+1} - R$), because the controller behavior should be the opposite of followRight. (Note: the **constants b, a** used for calculating D_{t+1} also accordingly, 180 and $180-\theta$, respectively).
 - c) The following center error is basically the difference between the followLeft error, and the followRight error.
- 2) The choice of look-ahead distance L was 1.5m . Intuitively, this distance performs a 1 sec lookahead into the future, since the maximum velocity is capped at 1.5 m/s . Any value greater than 1.5m underestimated the steering, causing the vehicle to collide at tight turns (e.g., U-turns), and any value less than 1.5m overestimated the steering angle, causing the vehicle to go in circles. Values between $1\text{-}2\text{m}$ usually did the trick for me, and 1.5m was a nice sweet spot.
- 3) I set K_p to be 0.5 , and K_d to be 0.01 . I initially started playing with only K_p values. Once I found a good value for K_p (which was $K_p = 1.0$), I slowly increased K_d in multiples of 5 to get the right values.
 - a) Setting K_p to very low (e.g., < 0.5), resulted in a sluggish response, meaning the car, for the most times, was moving very fast, but it was suffering to make turns, and frequently ended up colliding with the walls.
 - b) Setting K_p to a very high (e.g., > 1.0), resulted in a quick response, but also made the car move very slowly, because it was constantly steering back-and-forth.
 - c) Introducing K_d aided a lot in making sharp turns (e.g., U-turns), which was initially not possible with using K_p alone.
 - d) Setting K_d to a high value (i.e., > 0.01), gave a noisy response. Since the controller was running at 40 Hz , the D-controller was very sensitive even for a slight change in error. Therefore, I had to reduce the value of K_d , at least an order of magnitude less than the K_p value.