Question-1:

What is the optimal value of alpha for ridge and lasso regression? What will be the changes in the model if you choose double the value of alpha for both ridge and lasso? What will be the most important predictor variables after the change is implemented?

Answer:

Optimal Alpha value for Ridge: 0.3

Optimal Alpha value for Lasso: 0.0001

Doubling alpha of ridge: Below are the metrics of ridge regression with Alpha of 0.3 and 0.6

| | Metric | Ridge Alpha-0.3 | Ridge Alpha-0.6 |
|---|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0 | R2 Score (Train) | 0.815748 | 0.813152 |
| 1 | R2 Score (Test) | 0.801339 | 0.801875 |
| 2 | RSS (Train) | 2.267224 | 2.299168 |
| 3 | RSS (Test) | 1.079888 | 1.076971 |
| 4 | MSE (Train) | 0.047123 | 0.047454 |
| 5 | MSE (Test) | 0.049654 | 0.049587 |

| Metric | Lasso Alpha-0.0001 | Lasso Alpha-0.0002 |
|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| R2 Score (Train) | 0.808744 | 0.801297 |
| R2 Score (Test) | 0.799179 | 0.800867 |
| RSS (Train) | 2.353415 | 2.445047 |
| RSS (Test) | 1.091627 | 1.082453 |
| MSE (Train) | 0.048011 | 0.048936 |
| MSE (Test) | 0.049923 | 0.049713 |

From the above metrics comparison, we can observe that when the Alpha value is doubled the Train R² is decreased and Test R² is increased, this means that as the Alpha value increases Variance of the model will be decreased and Bias of the model will be increased

<u>Top 10 Lasso predictor variables with 0.0001</u>:

| Feature | Coefficient | AbsCoef |
|------------------|-------------|----------|
| Condition2_PosN | -0.280379 | 0.280379 |
| OverallQual_10 | 0.278183 | 0.278183 |
| OverallQual_9 | 0.182536 | 0.182536 |
| LotArea | 0.168969 | 0.168969 |
| OverallQual_8 | 0.108809 | 0.108809 |
| RoofMatl_WdShngl | 0.100814 | 0.100814 |
| TotRmsAbvGrd_4 | -0.049531 | 0.049531 |
| GarageCars_3 | 0.049241 | 0.049241 |
| Fireplaces_2 | 0.043673 | 0.043673 |
| TotRmsAbvGrd 10 | 0.043335 | 0.043335 |

Top 10 Lasso predictor variables with 0.0002:

| Feature | Coefficient | AbsCoef |
|------------------|-------------|----------|
| Condition2_PosN | -0.280379 | 0.280379 |
| OverallQual_10 | 0.278183 | 0.278183 |
| OverallQual_9 | 0.182536 | 0.182536 |
| LotArea | 0.168969 | 0.168969 |
| OverallQual_8 | 0.108809 | 0.108809 |
| RoofMatl_WdShngl | 0.100814 | 0.100814 |
| TotRmsAbvGrd_4 | -0.049531 | 0.049531 |
| GarageCars_3 | 0.049241 | 0.049241 |
| Fireplaces_2 | 0.043673 | 0.043673 |
| TotRmsAbvGrd_10 | 0.043335 | 0.043335 |

As shown above from lasso Regression both before and after the change the top predictor variables remain the same.

Question-2:

You have determined the optimal value of lambda for ridge and lasso regression during the assignment. Now, which one will you choose to apply and why?

Answer:

Lasso regression with 39 independent variables is selected as final model. Even though Ridge R² is marginally better than Lasso I have **selected Lasso as the model is less complex with 39 variables** as compared to ridge with 54 variables.

Question-3:

After building the model, you realised that the five most important predictor variables in the lasso model are not available in the incoming data. You will now have to create another model excluding the five most important predictor variables. Which are the five most important predictor variables now?

Answer:

Top 5 features in the final lasso model are 'Condition2_PosN', 'OverallQual_10', 'OverallQual_9', 'LotArea', 'OverallQual_8'.

After removing the above variables from X Train and rebuilding the lasso regression the below variables are obtained as top 5 features. Some of them are not same as top 6 to 10 features that are present in the model before removing top 5. So the importance of the predictor variables are changed.

| | Feature | Coefficient | AbsCoef |
|----|------------------|-------------|----------|
| 24 | RoofMatl_WdShngl | 0.167012 | 0.167012 |
| 50 | GarageCars_3 | 0.111349 | 0.111349 |
| 42 | TotRmsAbvGrd_10 | 0.080597 | 0.080597 |
| 36 | TotRmsAbvGrd_3 | -0.076111 | 0.076111 |
| 37 | TotRmsAbvGrd_4 | -0.070767 | 0.070767 |

Question 4:

How can you make sure that a model is robust and generalisable? What are the implications of the same for the accuracy of the model and why?

Answer:

To make the model more robust and generalisable we should use Regularisation methods that balances the model between over fitting and under fitting. We should choose appropriate Alpha where there sum of the RSS and penalty is minimum. This value of Alpha is called optimum model complexity.

We can use cross validation to arrive at optimum alpha value.

As alpha increases the variance will decrease and Bias will increase