distilBERT

- Without incorporating the additional data
- Trying to incorporate the additional data as appended text to see if that will make any improvements
 - Results:

eval_accuracy	0.288
eval_precision	0.294
eval_recall	0.288
eval_loss	1.813

```
[65, 23, 32, 25, 32, 15]
[29, 69, 55, 31, 38, 3]
[25, 48, 63, 29, 20, 0]
[23, 26, 54, 39, 18, 4]
[34, 47, 31, 16, 42, 5]
[31, 7, 11, 3, 16, 18]
```

- Next:
 - Try making binary instead by combining labels together
 - Perhaps experiment with also including 'partly true' as true
 - First epoch confusion matrix:

• Second epoch confusion matrix:

[602, 76], [255, 94]

• Third

[502, 176], [188, 161]

Results

'eval_loss': 0.8914749026298523,
'eval_accuracy': 0.6455696202531646,
'eval_f1': 0.6440241652956986,
'eval_precision': 0.6426509278508775,
'eval_recall': 0.6455696202531646,
'eval_roc_auc': 0.6008655154634818,

'epoch': 3.0

eval_accuracy	0.646
eval_precision	0.643
eval_recall	0.646

eval_loss	0.891
-----------	-------

• Ran for 7 epochs to see if it would help but it only raised accuracy up to 65%

• Confusion matrices for each epoch:

[672, 6] [614, 64] [526, 152] [421, 257] [510, 168] [505, 173] [499, 179] [341, 8] [267, 82] [202, 147] [127, 222] [190, 159] [187, 162] [180, 169]

[**499**, 179] [180, 169]

'eval_loss': 2.5937318801879883 'eval_accuracy': 0.6504381694255112

'eval_f1': 0.6503162072060301

'eval_precision': 0.650195450742864 'eval_recall': 0.6504381694255112 'eval_roc_auc': 0.6101144441345268