Bhubaneswar Post-Cyclone Disaster Management Platform: Mitigating Urban Vulnerability through Technology Intervention

1st Prakriti Bhattacharya
School of Computer Science
Vellore Institute of Technology
Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India

2nd Dilshad Sukheswala
School of Computer Science
Vellore Institute of Technology
Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India

3rd Rupam Ladhe
School of Computer Science
Vellore Institute of Technology
Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India

***Abstract*—This paper offers a technical critique of a web-enabled disaster management system specifically tailored to respond to key deficits exposed by Cyclone Fani's effect on Bhubaneswar in May 2019. As a "smart city," an empirical vulnerability assessment exposed serious deficits in disaster preparedness infrastructure. The system we suggest combines real-time alert systems, resource mapping, incident reporting based on communities, offline functionality, and multilingualism to overcome communication deficits between citizens and the authorities in times of crisis. Our analysis shows how this technologically advanced but contextually sensitive intervention addresses certain vulnerability markers documented in previous studies, forming a solid disaster resilience framework for urban areas adaptable to similar situations.**

***Index Terms*—disaster management, resilience of smart city, cyclone preparedness, vulnerability indices, offline applications, community reporting systems**

I. Introduction

The Indian subcontinent, especially coastal areas along the Bay of Bengal, is being increasingly subjected to cyclonic disturbances of higher frequency and intensity [1]. Bhubaneswar, the capital of Odisha state, is a case study of particular interest in terms of urban exposure to disasters. While the city was declared one of India's first "smart cities" under India's Smart Cities Mission, the city showed root-level systemic vulnerabilities after Cyclone Fani on May 3, 2019.

The multi-indicator vulnerability assessment by Kawyitri and Shekhar [2] offers empirical evidence of these vulnerabilities by calculating vulnerability indices along various dimensions: Social & Human (VULI = 0.284), Financial (VULI = 0.529), Physical (VULI = 0.330), and also smart-city readiness indicators. Financial vulnerability is the most salient failing reported in their study, further documenting devastating infrastructure failures for electricity, water supply, healthcare access, and telecommunications after the cyclone.

This paper proposes a technology intervention specifically to address these empirically proven vulnerabilities through an integrated platform approach. Our research contributes to disaster management literature in that it demonstrates empirically proven vulnerabilities can be utilized to directly inform technological interventions that enhance urban resilience.

II. Conceptual Framework

Our strategy builds on a number of well-established paradigms of disaster risk reduction:

- **Theory of Vulnerability** [3]: We define vulnerability as multi-dimensional, consisting of exposure, sensitivity, and adaptive capacity.
- **Socio-Technical Systems Theory** [4]: We acknowledge the co-evolution of the social and technical elements in constructing robust urban systems.
- **Community-Based Disaster Risk Management (CB-DRM)** [5]: We believe in local knowledge and community participation in disaster management.
- **Information and Communication Technology for Development (ICT4D)** [6]: We understand that well-designed technology interventions can make a positive contribution to development outcomes, such as disaster resilience.

These frameworks together define the technical architecture and functional priorities of the proposed platform.

III. Gap Assessment and Vulnerability Analysis

A. Financial Vulnerability (VULI = 0.529)

The greatest vulnerability score was in financial dimensions, with 44% of households interviewed earning less than 30,000 per month, 62% of them engaged in precarious employment, low financial diversification, and limited financial support networks. Technology interventions, while not able to address underlying socioeconomic structures directly, our platform does have elements to counter financial impacts through resource mapping, open relief distribution data, and community resource sharing.

B. Physical Vulnerability (VULI = 0.330)

Moderate physical exposure was observed, such as electrical grid instability (43.75% had irregular supply), water supply shortages (27% restricted supply), sanitation shortages (13%), restricted access to drinking water (19%), and pre-cyclone damage to health and education facilities. Post-cyclone infrastructure failure was extreme, with a mere 3% of households having electricity restored within five days. These observations require infrastructure status monitoring and alternative resource mapping capacity.

C. Social and Human Vulnerability (VULI = 0.284)

Even with lower vulnerability scores in this dimension, significant factors that are pertinent to platform design include disability status and family organization. The core Bhubaneswar focus of the study implies potential sampling bias, with socially vulnerable segments likely to be located in peripheral or informal settlements outside the survey.

D. Further Vulnerability Considerations

Beyond the quantitative indices, our analysis identified several critical gaps:

- **Information Asymmetry**: Widespread information gaps among governments and citizens about evacuation zones, shelters, and storm movement.
- **Communication Infrastructure Breakdown**: Failure of telecommunications networks severely impeded coordinated response and access to critical information.
- **Language Barriers**: English or Hindi default communication created access barriers for Odia-speaking groups.
- **Trust Deficit**: Low expectations about government support indicate potential trust issues that may affect the reliability of official communications.
- **Data Fragmentation**: Emergency response data was fragmented across various channels, making verification and access difficult.

IV. Architectural Framework and Technical Design

A. Architectural Overview

Our system employs a client-server model defined by distinct functional layers:

- **Client Layer**: Progressive Web App (PWA) with responsive user interfaces and service worker support
- **Application Layer**: Authentication, Authorization, API Gateway, and Business Logic Processing
- **Data Layer**: MongoDB database, Redis caching, and file storage systems
- **External Services Layer**: Integration w/ weather APIs, mapping service, and notify infrastructure

This stratified approach ensures modularity, scalability, and fault tolerance—characteristics that are critical for applications executed within disaster environments.

B. Technological Framework

The frontend is built using React.js for component reuse and virtual DOM optimization, Redux for state management, Material-UI for accessibility compliance, Leaflet.js for offline-capable maps, Workbox for service worker support, and i18next for internationalization with Odia and English support.

Backend infrastructure includes Node.js and Express.js for non-blocking I/O, MongoDB for document storage with geospatial indexing, Redis for in-memory caching, and JWT authentication for offline-to-online synchronization.

C. Feature Specifications

We developed targeted functionalities to counteract known weaknesses:

- **Real-time Alert System**: Multi-channel alert delivery through push notifications, SMS, and dashboard indicators, with 15-minute polling of weather APIs (ramping up to 5-minute intervals in emergency situations), severity classification, and temporal mapping for pattern detection.
- **Resource Mapping**: Multi-layer display of evacuation routes, shelters, and key services; dynamic status indicators of infrastructure; filterable directory of resources with search; and offline-enabled navigation.
- **Community Reporting System**: User-generated reports with categorization, geolocation, image and video attachments, and severity levels; anonymous reporting capabilities; verification mechanisms to prevent the spread of misinformation; and visualization through map clustering and timelines.
- **Offline Capabilities**: Service worker caching of key application elements; local storage of emergency contact information; background report synchronization; and periodic data refresh when connectivity is re-established.
- **Multilingual Support**: Complete English and Odia localization; JSON-formatted translation files; context-sensitive translation of emergency terms; and regionally appropriate date, time, and number formatting.

V. Technical Implementation and Vulnerability Mitigation

A. Reducing Financial Vulnerability

While our site cannot solve underlying socioeconomic issues, it includes several features intended to mitigate financial impacts:

- **Resource Directory Upgrade**: Categorized mapping of financial services like operational ATMs, bank branches, and relief distribution points.
- **Relief Distribution Transparency**: Cumulative statistics on relief centers, timing, and eligibility requirements.
- **Community Resource Sharing**: Functionality for community members to tag available resources for sharing.
- **Economic Infrastructure Monitoring**: Monitoring operational condition of markets, gas stations, and leading service providers to respond to post-disaster inflation concerns.

B. Encountering Physical Vulnerability

The site addresses physical vulnerability directly by:

- **Infrastructure Status Monitoring**: Real-time graphical display of power grid, water supply, health, and transport system status.
- **Alternative Resource Identification**: Alternative water sources, medical facilities, and critical services mapping with operational status filtering.

- **Evacuation Planning Enhancement**: Multi-layered evacuation route visualization with dynamic status indicators.
- **Shelter Capacity Monitoring**: Live monitoring of shelter capacity to avoid over-capacity.

C. Redressing Social and Human Vulnerability

In spite of lower vulnerability in this particular locality, our site features:

- **Identification of Special Needs**: Special reporting categories for vulnerable populations requiring aid in evacuation.
- **Community Coordination**: Spatially aggregated information sharing and volunteer coordination.
- **Multilingual Accessibility**: Complete language assistance which aims to prevent educational and language obstacles.
- **Building Trust Through Transparency**: Community verification procedures for reports and resource status reports to enhance credibility of information.

D. Improving Smart-City Readiness

The platform closes the gap between operational emergency resilience and technology uptake by:

- **Practical Application of Digital Infrastructure**: Utilizing available digital literacy for real disaster resilience.
- **Alternative Communication Channels**: Providing different information channels to address helpline service deficiencies.
- **Visualization of Infrastructure Status**: Offering clear, real-time depiction of important infrastructure conditions.
- **Offline Information Access**: Offering essential information in the event of telecommunication disruptions.

VI. User Interface Design for Disaster Situations

A. Usability under Stressful Conditions

The interface employs high-contrast visual elements for legibility in varying light environments, linear navigation with evident emergency action buttons, progressive information disclosure to prevent cognitive overload, and touch-optimized targets to facilitate error-prone interactions under high-stress situations.

B. Bandwidth and Accessibility Optimization

The site emphasizes WCAG 2.1 AA support, color schemes tested against a range of color vision deficiencies, progressive image loading with low-resolution place holders, reduced reliance on external resources, textual alternatives to high-bandwidth content, and goal-oriented caching of frequently used sections.

C. Cross-Device Responsiveness

The design is made functional on different devices by mobile-first design principles, progressive enhancement for bigger screens, touch-first interaction patterns with keyboard/mouse substitutes, and context-relevant information density depending on device capabilities.

VII. Risk Assessment and Mitigation

A. Technical Risk Analysis

We identified and addressed several technical risks:

- **Server Overload during Emergencies**: Averted by auto-scaling capabilities, edge caching, and static pre-rendering of critical information.
- **Data Reliability Issues**: Solved by integration of various data sources, community validation procedures, and administrative reviewing processes.
- **Offline Functionality Limitations**: Alleviated through prioritized content caching, graceful feature degradation depending on network condition, and optimized synchronization upon reconnection.
- **Mobile Device Battery Constraints**: Overcome with power-saving design paradigms, reduced background processing, and low-power states.

B. Implementation Risk Management

Beyond technical considerations, we identified several implementation risks:

- **Scope Expansion Beyond Resources**: Handled with clearly defined MVP specifications, prioritized feature development, and phased rollouts.
- **Government Systems Integration Challenges**: Overcome by contingency plans through public APIs, modular integration frameworks, and constant regulatory engagement.
- **User Adoption Barriers**: Reduced through streamlined onboarding processes, clear value propositions, and offline availability in an effort to reduce adoption friction.

VIII. Comparative Analysis with Existing Solutions

A. Existing Disaster Management Systems

Some disaster management systems are restrictive in capabilities such as our system:

- **Disaster Alert (Pacific Disaster Center)**: Offers worldwide hazard monitoring but does not have localized resource mapping and community reporting for Bhubaneswar.
- **FEMA App (U.S. Federal Emergency Management Agency)**: Offers extensive disaster preparedness information but is geographically centered on the United States.
- **Disaster Management Portal (Government of Odisha)**: Offers official data but not community reporting in real time and interactive resource mapping.
- **Aapdamitra (National Disaster Management Authority)**: Offers disaster alerts but limited resource mapping and community reporting features.

B. Relative Merits

The site has a number of distinct benefits over current alternatives:

- **Contextual Relevance**: Customized to Bhubaneswar's specific disaster profile and infrastructure based on empirical vulnerability data.
- **Consolidated Functionality**: Binds alerting mechanisms, resource mapping, and community feedback together in one platform.
- **Advanced Offline Capability**: Implements advanced offline capabilities required while communication infrastructure suffers breakdown.
- **Priority Language Support**: Emphasizes Odia language alongside English to address linguistic barriers.
- **Community Validation Mechanisms**: Supports two-way information exchange with verification processes to increase information validity and timeliness.

IX. Future Development Roadmaps

A. Scalability and Growth

The platform architecture facilitates geographic expansion to other risk-prone cities, expansion to other types of disasters, functional enhancement through predictive analytics and AR direction, and interfacing with government early warning systems and meteorological data feeds.

B. Technological Evolution

Future technology evolution encompasses transition to microservices architecture, use of edge computing for low latency, machine learning improvement for report categorization and resource distribution, and blockchain authentication for immutable verification of sensitive emergency data.

X. Theoretical Contributions and Implications

A. Contributions to Disaster Management Theory

This research provides several theoretical contributions:

- **Integration of Socio-Technical Systems Theory**: Demonstrates the integration of the technical and social elements of disaster resilience.
- **Reconceptualization of Information Asymmetry**: Reframes information asymmetry as a deficiency in two-way exchange rather than sheer failure of top-down communication.
- **Empirical Application of Vulnerability Theory**: Demonstrates how vulnerability theoretical frameworks can be put into effect through particular technological interventions.
- **Integration of CBDRM Principles with Digital Technologies**: Illustrates the technical integration of community-based disaster risk management principles with Information and Communication Technology.

B. Methodological Contributions

Our development process offers methodological benefits like empirically-driven feature prioritization, context-driven technology selection, multi-dimensional evaluation models, and risk-driven development sequencing.

C. Smart City Development Implications

Our analysis has a number of implications for smart city development:

- **Resilience-First Design Principle**: Smart cities should focus on infrastructure resilience over technological innovation.
- **Integrated Vulnerability Assessment**: Planning should incorporate comprehensive vulnerability analysis in a number of dimensions.
- **Inclusive Design Imperative**: Solutions must address several user needs like offline availability, language diversity, and varying levels of technological literacy.
- **Community Participation Framework**: Successful implementations must provide two-way information flow between authorities and citizens.
- **Technological Appropriateness Principle**: Technology choices should prioritize reliability, accessibility, and contextual appropriateness over sophistication.

XI. Conclusion

The proposed disaster management system provides a theoretically grounded and empirically based response, aimed at vulnerabilities exposed by Cyclone Fani in Bhubaneswar. By incorporating real-time alerting systems, resource mapping, community reporting systems, offline functionality, and multilingual support, the platform addresses major communication and information accessibility gaps during disasters. This approach demonstrates the efficacy of technological interventions for enhancing urban resilience when specifically crafted to address specific aspects of vulnerability. Follow-up studies need to assess the effectiveness of the platform through implementation studies, examine its incorporation into integrated smart city initiatives, and ascertain its applicability to other cities with different vulnerability profiles. Through conceptual framework integration and empirical application, this research contributes to ongoing development of disaster management practice towards enhancing community resilience through context-specific technology-based interventions.

References

[1] N. S. Ray-Bennett, D. Mendez, E. Alam, and C. Morgner, "Inter-agency collaboration in natural hazard management in developed countries," Progress in Disaster Science, vol. 7, p. 100100, 2020.

[2] N. Kawyitri and A. Shekhar, "Assessing vulnerability and capacity of Bhubaneswar as a progressive smart-city: An empirical case study of Fani cyclone impact on the city," International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, vol. 56, p. 101986, 2021.

[3] B. Wisner, P. Blaikie, T. Cannon, and I. Davis, At risk: Natural hazards, people's vulnerability and disasters, 2nd ed. Routledge, 2004.

[4] F. W. Geels, "From sectoral systems of innovation to socio-technical systems: Insights about dynamics and change from sociology and institutional theory," Research Policy, vol. 33, no. 6-7, pp. 897-920, 2004.

[5] A. Maskrey, "Revisiting community-based disaster risk management," Environmental Hazards, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 42-52, 2011.

[6] R. Heeks, "Do information and communication technologies (ICTs) contribute to development?," Journal of International Development, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 625-640, 2010.

[7] R. Bhamra, S. Dani, and K. Burnard, "Resilience: The concept, a literature review and future directions," International Journal of Production Research, vol. 49, no. 18, pp. 5375-5393, 2011.

[8] P. A. Zandbergen, "Accuracy of iPhone locations: A comparison of assisted GPS, WiFi and cellular positioning," Transactions in GIS, vol. 13, no. s1, pp. 5-25, 2009.