Big O Notation

1.1 Definitions

What is Big O Notation?

Big O Notation measures an algorithm's time and space complexity. In other words, it describes the computational complexity of an algorithm.

• What are the three types of time complexities?

Big Theta, Big Omega, and Big O.

Big Omega is the best case scenario.

Big Theta is between worst and best case scenario.

Big O is the worst case scenario.

1.2 Runtime Complexities

There are many time complexities results:

• O(1): Constant time

• O(N): Linear time

• O(log N): Logarithmic time

• O(n²): Quadratic time

• O(2ⁿ): Exponential time

1.3 Big O Operations

1.3.1 Dropping constants and dominant terms

• As N tends to infinite, constant factors aren't a big deal, and are always ignored.

$$O(2N) == O(99999999N) == O(N)$$

• Some complexities run faster than others, so keep only the worst running ones.

$$O(N^2 + N) == O(N^2)$$

 $O(N + \log N) == O(\log N)$

1.3.2 Adding / Multiplying completixies

• When the loops are separated, then you add the complexity terms:

```
for a in arrayA: \# O(N)

print(a) \# O(1)

for b in arrayB: \# O(N)

print(b) \# O(1)

\# O(N) + O(N) + O(1) + O(1) => O(2N) + O(2) ==> O(2N) => O(N)
```

The first and second loops run N times depending on the input size. This can be represented as O(N) + O(N) => O(2N) ==> O(N). Remember to remove non dominant terms and constant values.

• When the loops are nested, and the array variable is the same, then you multiply complexity terms:

```
for a in arrayA:  # 0(N)
  print(a)  # 0(1)
  for i in arrayA: # 0(N)
      print(i)  # 0(N)
      # 0(N) * 0(N) + 0(2) ==> 0(N^2)
```

The first loops run N times depending on the input size, for each iterarion, the second loop is executed N times, therefore N*N is the complexity of the above code $==> O(N^2)$

1.3.3 Some Extra Rules to find the time complexity of an algorithm

```
1. If statements or declarations/asignments
0(1)
2. Loops
0(N)
3. Nested Loops (same array)
0(N<sup>2</sup>
4. Nested Loops (two arrays)
0(M + N)
5. Loops where controlling param is divided by x at each step =>
0(logN)
```

1.4 Measuring Time Complexity of Recusive Algorithms

1.4.1 Regular N-1 Calls

```
def findMaxNumRec(Array A, N):
=> M(N)
    if n ==1:
=> 0(1)
        return ArrayA[0]
=> 0(1)
    else:
```

```
return MAX(findMaxNumRec(ArrayA[n-
1], findMaxNumRec(ArrayA, n-1) => M(N-1)
  For recursive functions we assume M(N)
  Based on the above code and values, M(N) = M(N-1) + O(2) = M(N) = M(N-1) +
  O(1) M(N) = M(N-1) + O(1) => We need to continue until <math>N = 1 M(1) = O(1)
M(N-1) = M((N-1)-1) + O(1) = M(N-2) + O(1)
M(N-2) = M((N-2)-1) + O(1) = M(N-3) + O(1)
* Substitute values into M(N) equation:
M(N) = O(1) + [M(N-2) + O(1)] => M(N-2) + O(2)
    \Rightarrow 0(2) + [M(N-3) + 0(1) \Rightarrow M(N-3) + 0(3)
    => ...
    => M(N-a) + a
                                                => This follows a
pattern where A = N, where A tends to infinity
* Subtitute a to N-1 in the above equation:
=> M(N-(N-1)) + N-1
=> M(N-N+1) + N-1
=> M(1) + N -1
                                                        => drop constant
terms
=> N
```

The result time complexity is **O(N)**.

1.4.2 N/x Recursive Calls

```
def func(n):
=> M(n)
        if n <= 0:
=> 0(1)
            return 1
=> 0(1)
        else:
            return 1 + func(n/5)
=> M(n/5)
For recursive functions we assume M(n)

Based on the above code and values, M(n) = M(n/5) + O(2) => M(n) = M(n/5) +
O(1) ` M(n) = M(n/5) + O(1) => We need to continue until n = 1 M(1) = M(1/5) +
O(1) = O(1)

M(n/5) = M((n/5)/5) + O(1) = M(n/25) + O(1)
M(n/25) = M((n/25)/5) + O(1) = M(n/125) + O(1)
```

Since n gets divided in each recursive call, the time complexity is O(log N).

1.4.3 Tree Recursion

```
def f(n):
=> M(n)
    if n <= 1:
=> M(1)
        return 1
=> M(1)
   else:
        return f(n-1) + f(n-1)
=>
       *Let's call f(4):
                       f(4)
                                                          calls
              f(3)
                               f(3)
                                                          calls
                           f(2) f(2)
          f(2) f(2)
                                                          calls
       f(1)f(1)f(1)f(1) f(1)f(1)f(1)
                                                          returns
```

There are 2^0 nodes in f(4), 2^1 nodes in f(3), 2^2 nodes in f(2), 2^3 nodes in f(1)...

The time complexity is 2^N .