

Shortest Path

Pramesh Kumar

IIT Delhi

March 11, 2024

Shortest Path

- ▶ Fundamental problem with numerous applications.
- ▶ Appears as a subproblem in many network flow algorithms.
- ▶ Easy to solve.

Outline

Introduction

Single-source shortest path

All-pairs shortest path

Shortest path problem

Definition (Path cost). The cost of a directed path $P = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$ is the sum of cost of its individual links, i.e., $c(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_{i,i+1}$.

Definition (Shortest Path Problem). Given $G(N, A)$, link costs $c : A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, and source $s \in N$, the **shortest path problem** (also known as single-source shortest path problem) is to determine for every non-source node $i \in N \setminus \{s\}$ a shortest cost directed path from node s .

OR

Definition (Shortest Path Problem). Given $G(N, A)$, link costs $c : A \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, and source $s \in N$, the **shortest path problem** is to determine how to send 1 unit of flow as cheaply as possible from s to each node $i \in N \setminus \{s\}$ in an uncapacitated network.

LP formulation

Primal

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{x}} \quad & \sum_{(i,j) \in A} c_{ij} x_{ij} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j \in FS(i)} x_{ij} - \sum_{j \in BS(i)} x_{ji} = \begin{cases} n-1 & \text{if } i = s \\ -1 & \forall i \in N \setminus \{s\} \end{cases} \\ & x_{ij} \geq 0, \forall (i,j) \in A \end{aligned}$$

Dual problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{d}} \quad & \sum_{(i,j) \in A} (n-1)d_s - \sum_{i \in N \setminus \{s\}} d_i \\ & d_i - d_j \leq c_{ij}, \forall (i,j) \in A \\ & d_i \text{ free}, \forall i \in N \end{aligned}$$

Types of shortest path (SP) problems

1. *Single-source shortest path*: SP from one node to all other nodes (if exists)
 - 1.1 with non-negative link costs.
 - 1.2 with arbitrary link costs.
2. *Single-pair shortest path* SP from between one node and another node.
3. *All-pairs shortest path* SP from every node to every node.
4. *Various generalizations of shortest path*:
 - Max capacity path problem
 - Max reliability path problem
 - SP with turn penalties
 - Resource-constraint SP problem
 - and many more

Outline

Introduction

Single-source shortest path

All-pairs shortest path

Single-source shortest path

Single-source shortest path

Assumptions

1. Network is directed
2. Link costs are integers
3. There exists a directed path from s to every other node (can be satisfied by creating an artificial link from s to other nodes)
4. The network does not contain a negative cycle.

Remark. For a network containing a negative cycle reachable from s , the above LP will be unbounded since we can send an infinite amount of flow along that cycle.

Can SP contain a cycle?

1. It cannot contain negative cycles.
2. It cannot contain positive cycles since removing the cycle produces a path with lower cost.
3. One can also remove zero weight cycle without affecting the cost of SP.

Shortest path trees

Definition (SP tree). A shortest path tree rooted at $s \in N$ is a directed subgraph $G'(N', A')$ where, $N' \subseteq N$ and $A' \subseteq A$ such that

1. N' is the set of nodes reachable from s
2. G' forms a tree rooted at s
3. $\forall i \in N'$, the unique path from s to i in G' is a SP from s to i .

Remark. Shortest path are not unique neither are shortest path trees.

Lemma (Subpaths of shortest path are shortest paths)

Let $P = (s = i_1, \dots, i_h = k)$ be a shortest path from s to k and for $1 \leq p \leq q \leq h$, let $P_{pq} = (i_p, \dots, i_q)$ be a subpath of P from p to q . Then, P_{ij} is a shortest path from i_p to i_q .

Proof.

Decomposing path P into subpaths P_{sp} , P_{pq} , and P_{qk} , so that $c(P) = c(P_{sp}) + c(P_{pq}) + c(P_{qk})$. Assume that P'_{pq} be a path such that $c(P_{pq}) > c(P'_{pq})$. Then, $P' = P_{sp} + P'_{pq} + P_{qk}$ has cost $c(P') = c(P_{sp}) + c(P'_{pq}) + c(P_{qk}) < c(P)$, which contradicts that P is a shortest path from s to k . □

Cost of shortest path

Lemma

Let $d(i)$ be the cost of shortest path from s to node $i \in N$. Then, a directed path P from s to k is a shortest path if and only if

$$d(j) = d(i) + c_{ij}, \forall (i, j) \in P$$

Proof.

\Leftarrow Let $P = (s = i_1, \dots, i_h = k)$ be a path from s to k such that $d(j) = d(i) + c_{ij}, \forall (i, j) \in P$. Then, cost of the path is

$$\begin{aligned} c(P) &= \sum_{(i,j) \in P} c_{ij} = c_{i_{h-1}, i_h} + \dots + c_{i_1, i_2} \\ &= (d(i_h) - d(i_{h-1})) + (d(i_{h-1}) - d(i_{h-2})) + \dots + (d(i_2) - d(i_1)) \\ &= d(i_h) = d(k) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $P(s = i_1, \dots, i_h = k)$ is the shortest path from s to k .

\Rightarrow Let P be a shortest path from s to k and $d(k)$ is the cost of shortest path from s to k . Using previous lemma, since subpaths of shortest paths are also shortest paths, we have $d(j) = d(i) + c_{ij}, \forall (i, j) \in P$.

□

Shortest path in acyclic networks

Remember that we can always order nodes in acyclic networks $G(N, A)$ such that $order(i) < order(j), \forall (i, j) \in A$ in $O(|A|)$ time.

- 1: **Input:** Graph $G(N, A)$, costs c , and source s
- 2: **Output:** Optimal cost labels d and predecessors $pred$
- 3: **procedure** SHORTESTPATHSDAG(G, c, s)
- 4: $d(i) \leftarrow \infty, \forall i \in N \setminus \{s\}; d(s) \leftarrow 0$
- 5: $pred(i) \leftarrow \text{NA}, \forall i \in N \setminus \{s\}; pred(s) \leftarrow 0$
- 6: $order \leftarrow \text{TOPOLOGICALORDERING}(G)$
- 7: **for** each node i in $order$ **do**
- 8: **for** $j \in FS(i)$ **do**
- 9: **if** $d(j) > d(i) + c_{ij}$ **then**
- 10: $d(j) \leftarrow d(i) + c_{ij}$
- 11: $pred(j) \leftarrow i$
- 12: **end if**
- 13: **end for**
- 14: **end for**
- 15: **end procedure**

Proposition

SHORTESTPATHSDAG solves the shortest path algorithm on acyclic networks in $O(m + n)$ time.

Proof.

Lines 4-5 take $O(n)$ time. Further, TOPOLOGICALORDERING takes $O(m + n)$ time. The "for" loop of line 7 runs for each nodes. Then, it checks each link only once. Lines 9-11 takes $O(1)$ time. Therefore, the total running time is $O(m + n)$. □

Proposition

The labels $d(i), \forall i$ computed by SHORTESTPATHSDAG on acyclic networks are optimal.

Proof.

Use induction on i . □

Label setting and label correcting algorithms

- ▶ Shortest path algorithms assign tentative distance label to each node that represents an upper bound on the cost of shortest path to that node.
- ▶ Depending on how they update these labels, the algorithms can be classified into two types:
 1. Label setting
 2. Label correcting
- ▶ Label setting algorithms make one label permanent in each iteration
- ▶ Label correcting algorithms keep all labels temporary until the termination of the algorithm.
- ▶ Label setting algorithms are more efficient but label correcting algorithms can be applied to more general class of problems.

Dijkstra's algorithm

A label setting algorithm

- 1: **Input:** Graph $G(N, A)$, costs c , and source s
- 2: **Output:** Optimal cost labels d and predecessors $pred$
- 3: **procedure** DIJKSTRA(G, c, s)
- 4: $S \leftarrow \phi; T \leftarrow N$
- 5: $d(i) \leftarrow \infty, \forall i \in N \setminus \{s\}; d(s) \leftarrow 0$
- 6: $pred(i) \leftarrow \text{NA}, \forall i \in N \setminus \{s\}; pred(s) \leftarrow 0$
- 7: **while** $T \neq \phi$ **do**
- 8: Choose a node i with minimum $d(i)$ from T
- 9: $S \leftarrow S \cup \{i\}; T \leftarrow T \setminus \{i\}$
- 10: **for** $j \in FS(i)$ **do**
- 11: **if** $d(j) > d(i) + c_{ij}$ **then**
- 12: $d(j) \leftarrow d(i) + c_{ij}$
- 13: $pred(j) \leftarrow i$
- 14: **end if**
- 15: **end for**
- 16: **end while**
- 17: **end procedure**

Proof of correctness of Dijkstra's algorithm

Our inductive hypotheses are:

1. The distance label $d(i)$ of each node $i \in S$ is optimal.
2. The distance label of each node in S is the SP length from s provided that each internal node in the shortest path lies in P .

We use induction on $|S|$. Let's prove the first. At each iteration, the alg. transfers node i with minimum $d(i)$ from T to S . We need to show that $d(i)$ is optimal. By induction hypothesis, $d(i)$ is the cost of SP to i among all paths that do not contain any node T as an internal node. We next show that some path P from s to i that contains at least one internal node in T has cost at least $d(i)$. Decompose P into P_1 and P_2 such that P_1 does not contain any node in T as an internal node but terminates node k which is the first node of P_2 lying in T . We know $d(i) \leq d(k)$ because i was the node with least label in T that we extracted. So, length of path P_1 is at least $d(i)$ and since all costs are non-negative, the length of path $P = P_1 + P_2$ is at least $d(i)$. This shows that $d(i)$ is the cost of SP from s to i .

Let's prove the second. After the algorithm has labeled node i permanently, the distance label of nodes in $T \setminus \{i\}$ might decrease because i can become an internal node in the tentative shortest path to these nodes. Note that after permanently labeling node i , the algorithm updates the distance labels of some node j as $d(j) = d(i) + c_{ij}$ and assigns $\text{pred}(j) = i$. This satisfies the property proved previously for distance labels of SP and so the distance label of each node in $T \setminus \{i\}$ is the cost of the shortest path given that each internal node in path must belong to $S \cup \{i\}$.

Running time of Dijkstra's algorithm

Two basic operations:

- ▶ **Node selections:** This is performed n times and each time, we need to scan the temporary labeled nodes. Total node selection time is $n + (n - 1) + \dots + 1 = O(n^2)$
- ▶ **Label updates:** This operation is performed $|FS(i)|$ times for each node i . Therefore, this operation requires $O(\sum_{i \in N} |FS(i)|) = O(m)$ time.



Therefore, total running time of the algorithm is $O(n^2 + m) = O(n^2)$ (for dense networks $m = \Omega(n^2)$). One can improve the running time on sparse networks and with efficient data structures.

Label correcting algorithm

- ▶ Special structure
 - Special topology (DAG) – Reaching algorithm
 - Non-negative costs – Label setting algorithm
- ▶ SP on a graph with negative cycles is a hard problem. Our aim is:
 - Either detect whether graph has negative cycles
 - If not, solve the problem

Optimality conditions

Theorem

For every node $j \in N$, let $d(j)$ denote the cost of some directed path from source s to j . Then, $d(j)$ represent the shortest path costs if and only if they satisfy the following optimality conditions:

$$d(j) \leq d(i) + c_{ij}, \forall (i, j) \in A \quad (\star)$$

Proof.

\implies Let $d(j)$ represent the SP cost labels for $j \in N$. Assume that they do not satisfy the (\star) . Then, some link $(i, j) \in A$ must satisfy $d(i) > d(j) + c_{ij}$. In this case, we can improve the cost of SP to node j by coming through node i , thereby contradicting the fact that $d(j)$ represents the SP label of node j .



Proof (contd.)

\Leftarrow Consider labels $d(j)$ satisfying (\star) . Let $(s = i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k = j)$ be any directed path P from source s to node j . The conditions (\star) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}d(j) = d(i_k) &\leq d(i_{k-1}) + c_{i_{k-1}i_k} \\d(i_{k-1}) &\leq d(i_{k-2}) + c_{i_{k-2}i_{k-1}} \\&\vdots \\d(i_2) &\leq d(i_1) + c_{i_1i_2} = c_{i_1i_2}\end{aligned}$$

Adding above inequations, we get

$d(j) = d(i_k) \leq c_{i_{k-1}i_k} + c_{i_{k-2}i_{k-1}} + \dots + c_{i_1i_2} = \sum_{(i,j) \in P} c_{ij}$. Thus d_j is a LB on the cost of any directed path from s to j . Since $d(j)$ is the cost of some directed path from s to j , it is also an UB on the SP cost. Therefore, $d(j)$ is the shortest path cost from s to j . \square

Reduced costs

Let c_{ij}^d represent the reduced cost of link (i, j) with respect to labels $d(\cdot)$

Proposition

1. For any directed cycle W , $\sum_{(i,j) \in W} c_{ij}^d = \sum_{(i,j) \in W} c_{ij}$.
2. For any directed path P from node k to node l ,
$$\sum_{(i,j) \in P} c_{ij}^d = \sum_{(i,j) \in P} c_{ij} + d(k) - d(l).$$
3. If $d(\cdot)$ represent SP costs, $c_{ij}^d \geq 0, \forall (i, j) \in A$.

Label correcting algorithm

```
1: Input: Graph  $G(N, A)$ , costs  $c$ , and source  $s$ 
2: Output: Optimal cost labels  $d$  and predecessors  $pred$ 
3: procedure LABELCORRECTING( $G, c, s$ )
4:    $SEL = \{s\}$ 
5:    $d(i) \leftarrow \infty, \forall i \in N \setminus \{s\}; d(s) \leftarrow 0$ 
6:    $pred(i) \leftarrow \text{NA}, \forall i \in N \setminus \{s\}; pred(s) \leftarrow 0$ 
7:   while  $SEL \neq \emptyset$  do
8:     Remove an element  $i$  from  $SEL$ 
9:     for  $j \in FS(i)$  do
10:      if  $d(j) > d(i) + c_{ij}$  then
11:         $d(j) \leftarrow d(i) + c_{ij}$ 
12:         $pred(j) \leftarrow i$ 
13:        if  $j$  not in  $SEL$  then
14:           $SEL = SEL \cup \{j\}$ 
15:        end if
16:      end if
17:    end for
18:  end while
19: end procedure
```

Running time

- ▶ Assume that data is integral, cost of each link is at most C , and no negative cycles.
- ▶ Each cost label $d(j)$ is bounded from above and below by $-nC$.
- ▶ The algorithm updates any label at most $2nC$ times (worst case every update reduces the label by 1 unit).
- ▶ Total number of distance label updates = $\sum_{i \in N} 2nC |FS(i)| = O(mnC)$.

Can we do better?

- ▶ We arrange the links in some order. Then, one iteration of the algorithm will check for every link (i, j) if it violates the optimality condition. If it does, then we update $d(j) = d(i) + c_{ij}$.
- ▶ We repeat above scanning of links for $n - 1$ iterations.
- ▶ This implies $O(mn)$ time bound which is strongly polynomial.
- ▶ This is also called **Bellman-Ford** algorithm.

Detecting negative cycles

- ▶ One can terminate when the label of any node falls below $-nC$.

Outline

Introduction

Single-source shortest path

All-pairs shortest path

All-pairs shortest path

All-pairs shortest path

Optimality conditions

Theorem

For every pair of nodes $(i, j) \in N \times N$, let $d[i, j]$ represent the cost of some directed path from i to j satisfying $d[i, i] = 0, \forall i \in N$ and $d[i, j] \leq c_{ij}, \forall (i, j) \in A$. These costs represent the all-pairs shortest path costs if and only if

$$d[i, j] \leq d[i, k] + d[k, j], \forall i, j, k \in N$$

Proof.

\Rightarrow We use contradiction. Let $d[i, j] > d[i, k] + d[k, j]$ for some $i, j, k \in N$. Then, the union of the shortest paths from i to k and k to j is a directed walk. Decompose that walk into a directed path P from i to j and some directed cycles (with non-negative costs). The cost of P is at most $d[i, k] + d[k, j] < d[i, j]$, which contradicts the optimality of $d[i, j]$.

\Leftarrow Similar to the one used for previous theorem. □

Floyd-Warshall algorithm

Let $d_{ij}^{(k)}$ represent the cost of SP from i to j using the nodes only from $\{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$ as intermediate nodes. Clearly, $d_{ij}^{(n+1)}$ represents the SP cost from i to j .

$$d^{(k+1)}[i, j] = \min \left\{ \underbrace{d^{(k)}[i, j]}_{\text{SP not passing through } k}, \underbrace{d^{(k)}[i, k] + d^{(k)}[k, j]}_{\text{SP passing through } k} \right\}$$

Floyd-Warshall algorithm

```
1: procedure FLOYDWARSHALL( $G, c$ )
2:   for  $(i, j) \in N \times N$  do
3:     if  $(i, j) \in A$  then
4:        $d[i, j] \leftarrow c_{ij}; pred[i, j] \leftarrow i$ 
5:     else if  $i == j$  then
6:        $d[i, i] \leftarrow 0; pred[i, j] \leftarrow NIL$ 
7:     else
8:        $d[i, j] \leftarrow \infty; pred[i, j] \leftarrow NA$ 
9:     end if
10:  end for
11:  for  $k = 1 : n$  do
12:    for  $(i, j) \in N \times N$  do
13:      if  $d[i, j] > d[i, k] + d[k, j]$  then
14:         $d[i, j] = d[i, k] + d[k, j]$ 
15:         $pred[i, j] = pred[k, j]$ 
16:      end if
17:    end for
18:  end for
19: end procedure
```

Suggested reading

1. AMO Chapter 4 and 5

Thank you!