

# Colorado CSCI 5454: Algorithms

## Homework 7

Instructor: Bo Waggoner

Due: October 31, 2019 at 11:59pm

Turn in electronically via Gradescope.

**Remember to list the people you worked with and any outside sources used (if none, write “none”).**

**Background:** You are implementing a hash table for your company and are concerned that an attacker will try to submit a sequence of inputs, carefully constructed, so as to destroy your hash table’s performance. The first problem will develop some useful probabilistic tools, then the next problem will consider whether the attacker can succeed.

### Problem 1 (6 points)

First, we need to analyze an important probabilistic process. Suppose we have  $n$  balls we are throwing into  $n$  bins. Each ball lands in each bin independently and uniformly at random, i.e. with probability  $\frac{1}{n}$ .

The goal of this problem is to understand the behavior of this process. The next problem will relate it back to hashing.

**Part a (1 point)** Fix a bin  $i$ . What is the probability that  $i$  contains exactly  $k$  balls?

*Hint: first attempt the problem, then if you get stuck, look up the Binomial distribution.*

**Part b (1 point)** Let  $Y_i$  be the number of balls in bin  $i$ . What is  $\mathbb{E}[Y_i]$ ?

*Hint: Let  $X_{ij} = 1$  if ball  $j$  lands in bin  $i$ , zero otherwise. Write  $Y_i$  in terms of the  $X_{ij}$  variables.*

**Part c (2 points)** One version of the *Chernoff bound* says<sup>1</sup> that if  $Y$  is distributed  $\text{Binomial}(n, p)$  and  $t \geq 6\mathbb{E}[Y]$ , then  $\Pr[Y \geq t] \leq 2^{-t}$ . In other words, the probability of a large number of heads is going to zero exponentially fast.

Use this Chernoff bound to prove that for each bin  $i$ , for any  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and any  $n$ , as long as  $\frac{n}{\delta} \geq 2^6$ , we have  $\Pr[Y_i \geq \log_2 \frac{n}{\delta}] \leq \frac{\delta}{n}$ .

**Part d (2 points)** The *union bound* says that if we have any different events  $E_1, \dots, E_j$ , then  $\Pr[E_1 \text{ or } E_2 \cdots \text{ or } E_j] \leq \sum_{j'=1}^j \Pr[E_{j'}]$ . For example, the probability that “bin 1 is empty or bin 2 is empty” is at most the probability that “bin 1 is empty” plus the probability that “bin 2 is empty”.

---

<sup>1</sup>Mitzenmacher and Upfal, *Probability and Computing*.

Use the union bound and previous answers to prove that the probability that “there exists a bin  $Y$  with at least  $\log_2(\frac{n}{\delta})$  balls” is at most  $\delta$ .<sup>2</sup>

## Problem 2 (12 points)

You are constructing a hash table with  $n$  slots, but an adversary is trying to hurt your performance by constructing the right sequence of inputs.

**Part a (4 points)** The universe of elements being hashed has size  $M \geq n^2$ . Prove that, if the adversary knows your hash function, she can choose a set of  $n$  inputs such that all of them hash to the same value. *Hint: start by recalling the Pigeonhole Principle.*

**Part b (4 points)** Now suppose you select a perfect hash function, i.e. each element of the universe is hashed to a value chosen uniformly and independently at random from  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . The adversary does not know the hash function, i.e. her choice of  $n$  elements is independent from the hash function choices.

Using your answer to previous problems, prove that for any  $\delta > 0$  and  $n$  such that  $\frac{n}{\delta} \geq 2^6$ , with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ , at most  $\log_2(\frac{n}{\delta})$  chosen elements are hashed to the same value.

**Part c (4 points)** Your project manager wants to employ a hash table to store one million items in an array of one million buckets. But she wants confidence in performance of the table in terms of number of items hashing to the same location. For each  $\delta = 2^{-1}, 2^{-2}, 2^{-3}, \dots, 2^{-50}$ , compute a  $k$  such that, with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ , no more than  $k$  elements will hash to the same location. Give your answer in the form of a plot where  $\delta$  is on the horizontal axis in log scale and  $k$  is on the vertical axis. Attach the code used to generate the plot.

## Problem 3 (6 points)

For each task below, state if you’d prefer to use a hash table, Bloom filter, or count-min sketch. Explain why (briefly).

**Part a (2 points)** You’d like to note the IP addresses that visit your website, but it gets lots of hits from one-time visitors. You only need to record the frequent IP addresses, so your data structure should check, when each visitor arrives, whether they’ve visited the site before.

**Part b (2 points)** When an IP address visits your website, you’d like to know how about many times it has visited. So your data structure should check, when each visitor arrives, how many times they’ve visited before.

---

<sup>2</sup>Note this is loose: more advanced techniques can prove an even smaller bound on the max number of balls in any bin.

**Part c (2 points)** At the end of the day, you'd like a list of all IP addresses that visited your website along with the number of times they've visited.

## Problem 4 (Bonus: 1 point)

(Amortized analysis.)

Consider a hash table that starts with  $n = 2$  buckets. It receives a sequence of  $m$  insertions.

Each time the number of elements in the table reaches the number of buckets,  $n$ , we double  $n$ , create a new array of size  $n$ , switch to a hash function with range  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , and insert all the existing  $n/2$  elements into the new array using the hash function. Note insertions take  $O(1)$  time (even if buckets have nontrivial load; we can add to the beginning of the linked lists).

Prove that the total time required is  $O(m)$ .