

ASSIGNMENT 3 **EM ALGORITHM**

Initial setting:

Initial means and variance were selected randomly.

1) Initial Mean: [[25.87791226 24.19551948 4.74058781]]

2) Initial Variance: [[7.28042205 7.28042205 7.28042205]]

It took 9 iterations to converge.

Number of iterations: 9

With the highest log likelihood of -9584.40855420643

Log likelihood list: [-24422.58895704028, -19025.234151545843, -18082.85128263917, -14810.766252835705, -11542.57288518026, -9742.031291395982, -9584.480954409542, -9584.408590459887, -9584.40855420643]

Initialization strategy used:

Initial K Gaussian means by randomly selecting K initial data points and selecting the initial K variances randomly which is multiple of overall data variance.

Table 1.1 Parameters for different initialization(K=3)

SN	Initial Mean	Initial Variance	Final Mean	Final Variance	Loglikelihood	Iterations
1	[24.73527387, 15.40178278, 15.05798473]	[2.69092665, 2.69092665, 2.69092665]	[25.49940413, 15.45688924, 5.51203542]	[0.99875855, 0.96765949, 1.03078067]	-9584.40855	15
2	[4.36735471, 26.10750806, 23.91932875]	[2.99862498, 2.99862498, 2.99862498]	[5.51203542, 25.49940413, 15.45688924]	[1.03078067, 0.99875855, 0.96765949]	-9584.40855	7
3	[8.05613952, 5.03300826, 23.89400827]	[8.10780226, 8.10780226, 8.10780226]	[15.45688924, 5.51203542, 25.49940413]	[0.96765949, 1.03078067, 0.99875855]	-9584.40855	9

Table 1.1 Parameters for different initialization(K=3)

S N	Initial mean	Initial variance	Final mean	Final variance	loglikelihood	iterations
1	[26.01410786 16.6350372 14.22529361 26.40312823]	[4.74517866 4.74517866 4.74517866 4.74517866]	[25.46542571 15.45688924 5.51203542 8.5773826]	[0.93055071 0.96765949 1.03078067 1.38451662]	-9504.3932	80
2	[25.80282293 4.73193109 24.91775332 24.57384755]	[6.03090481 6.03090481 6.03090481 6.03090481]	[2.54994041 5.51203542 9.64310789 1.54568893]	[9.98758273 1.03078058 9.30055251 9.67659257]	-9563.5572	26
3	[26.31454591 6.54516764 27.09746192 5.01390968]	[9.54985087 9.54985087 9.54985087 9.54985087]	[16.02286035 15.06276693 25.49940413 5.51203542]	[0.67059413 0.80140099 0.99875855 1.03078068]	-9503.6618	53

Table 1.2 Parameters for different initialization(K=4)

Observations:

The EM algorithm is run on different initialization parameters. For less number of clusters (K=3 Table 1.1) algorithm converges with less number of iteration as compared to large number of clusters (K=4 Table 1.2).

Next Step (Variance = 1):

Initial variance is [1. 1. 1.]. It remains constant as final variance is [1. 1. 1.]. The mean values are as following.

SN	Initial mean	Final mean	Loglikelihood	Iteration
1	[6.67052548 6.23213941 6.25556869]	[25.49940413 5.51203541 15.45688923]	-9587.3653	26
2	[2.78281581 5.93774529 26.08622784]	[5.51203541 15.45688923 25.49940413]	-9587.3738	6
3	[15.68066654 25.87349446 6.47415114]	[15.45688923 25.49940413 5.51203541]	-9587.3738	3

Table 1.3 Parameter for different initialization(K=3)

Which is better?

Consider SN 1 from table 1.3

It took 26 iterations to converge with the initial variance of [1. 1. 1.]

For the same mean value: [6.67052548 6.23213941 6.25556869]. considering random variance value: [7.67788792 7.67788792 7.67788792]. It takes 39 iterations to converge. (following output)

(Note: To get this result you can uncomment the code and comment the random value generator line)

Initial Mean: [[6.67052548 6.23213941 6.25556869]]
Initial Variance: [[7.67788792 7.67788792 7.67788792]]
Final Mean: [[25.49940413 5.51203542 15.45688924]]
Final Variance 0: [[0.99875855 1.03078067 0.96765949]]
Number of iterations: 39

So, Initializing variance to 1. And updating only means in M-step converges faster because difference between initial value and true value is high (initial - true).