## R commands for oneway ANOVA

# 1. Doing oneway ANOVA on the data in the textbook, page 287.

```
> # Import data set. This is formated two columns/variables
> PF.data <- read.table(file="pulmonary function.txt", header=TRUE, na.strings = ".")
> # Display a few lines
> head(PF.data)
  center fev1
        1 3.23
1
2
3
        1 3.47
        1 1.86
4
        1 2.47
1 3.01
5
6
         1 1.69
# center is a categorical (factor) variable> #we need to do this for the grouping variable
  PF.data$center<-as.factor(PF.data$center)</pre>
> # Fit by aov(), then produce the ANOVA table
> PF.fit <- aov(fev1~center, data=PF.data)</pre>
> summary(PF.fit)
              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F) 2 1.583 0.7914 3.115 0.052
                                        3.115 0.052 .
               57 14.480 0.2540
Residuals
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
3 observations deleted due to missingness
```

#### 2. Contrasts.

```
We can calculate the contrast estimate and the corresponding t-test as following.
> #Contrast of John Hopkins (center 1) versus Rancho Los Amigos (2)
> contr1 < -c(1, -1, 0)
> #Find groups means and sds by center
> require(psych)
> stat.fev1<-describeBy(PF.data$fev1,PF.data$center)</pre>
> require(data.table)
> stat.fev2<-data.frame(rbindlist(stat.fev1))[,c('n','mean','sd')]
> stat.fev2 #display
            mean
1 21 2.626190 0.4961701
2 16 3.032500 0.5232399
3 23 2.878696 0.4977157
> # Estimate of contrast
> contr1.est<-sum(contr1*stat.fev2[,'mean'])</pre>
> # Find the standard error of the contrast estimate
> # By hand we would use
> MSE<-sum((stat.fev2[,'n']-1)*stat.fev2[,'sd']^2)/sum(stat.fev2[,'n']-1)</pre>
> # But we really like to get MSE directly from the ANOVA fit

> MSE<-summary(PF.fit)[[1]][2,'Mean Sq'] #extract MSE

> contrl.se<- sqrt(sum(contrl^2/stat.fev2[,'n'])*MSE)
> contr1.t<- contr1.est/contr1.se</pre>
> k<-length(levels(PF.data$center)) #number of groups
> contr1.p<-2*pt(-abs(contr1.t),df=sum(stat.fev2[,'n'])-k)</pre>
> #Display estimate, se, t-statistic, p-value
> c(contr1.est, contr1.se, contr1.t, contr1.p)
[1] -0.40630952  0.16725608 -2.42926607  0.01830437
```

Alternatively we can do this in R through the design matrix, which will need (k-1) non-linear-dependent contrasts. Here we have k=3 groups, so that means we can do 2 contrasts at a time.

```
> ### Use the contrast design matrix to do this
 contr2 < -c(0,1,-1)
                           #compare the last two centers
  contr3 < -c(2, -1, -1)
                           #compare the first center with the last two together.
> options('contrasts')
$contrasts
         unordered
                                ordered
                          "contr.poly"
"contr.treatment"
> contr.mat <- rbind(rep(1/3, 3), contr1, contr2)
> my.contr <- solve(contr.mat)[,-1] ## Get the inverse matrix, put into the contra</pre>
sts in lm()
> contrasts(PF.data$center)<-my.contr</pre>
> summary(lm(fev1~center, data=PF.data))
call:
lm(formula = fev1 ~ center, data = PF.data)
Residuals:
Min 1Q Median 3Q
-1.32250 -0.32250 -0.02244 0.32630
Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 2.84580 centercontr1 -0.40631 centercontr2 0.15380
                                               <2e-16 ***
                          0.06584 43.220
                            0.16726
                                      -2.429
                                                 0.0183
                                      0.937
                            0.16408
                                                 0.3525
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 0.504 on 57 degrees of freedom
  (3 observations deleted due to missingness)
Multiple R-squared: 0.09854, Adjusted R-squared: 0.06691
F-statistic: 3.115 on 2 and 57 DF, p-value: 0.052
```

Compare with the results we did with the formulas above for the first contrast, we can see the results are the same.

```
> c(contr1.est, contr1.se, contr1.t, contr1.p)
[1] -0.40630952  0.16725608 -2.42926607  0.01830437
```

To get the third contrast, use a new design matrix

```
> contr.mat <- rbind(rep(1/3, 3), contr2, contr3) #contrasts 2 and 3</pre>
> my.contr <- solve(contr.mat)[,-1] ## Get the inverse matrix, put into the contra
sts in lm()
> contrasts(PF.data$center)<-my.contr</pre>
> summary(lm(fev1~center, data=PF.data))
Call:
lm(formula = fev1 ~ center, data = PF.data)
Residuals:
     Min
               1Q
                    Median
-1.32250 -0.32250 -0.02244 0.32630 1.18130
Coefficients:
             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                                           <2e-16 ***
(Intercept)
              2.84580
                         0.06584
                                 43.220
centercontr2 0.15380
                                  0.937
                                           0.3525
                         0.16408
centercontr3 -0.65881
                         0.27443 - 2.401
                                           0.0197
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 0.504 on 57 degrees of freedom
  (3 observations deleted due to missingness)
Multiple R-squared: 0.09854, Adjusted R-squared:
F-statistic: 3.115 on 2 and 57 DF, p-value: 0.052
```

### 3. Adjustments for multiple testing

For planned contrasts, say these three contrasts here. Check the output

#### For Bonferroni:

m=3 contrasts, so compare p-values with  $\alpha/m$  instead. At  $\alpha$ =0.05 level, we need to compare with 0.05/3=0.0167.

Now all 3 contrasts p-values are bigger than 0.0167, thus none is significant.

#### For Scheffe:

```
Calculate the cutoff (\alpha=0.05) as > sqrt((k-1)*qf(0.95,df1=k-1,df2=n-k)) #Scheffe coeficient at alpha=0.05 [1] 2.513501
```

None of the t statistics exceed 2.51, thus <u>none is significant</u>. The answer is same as that using Bonferroni adjustment.

### For Tukey:

```
The first two contrasts are in fact pairwise comparisons and can use the Tukey's correction. So calculate the cutoff (\alpha=0.05) as > qtukey(0.95,nmeans=k, df=n-k)*contr1.se/sqrt(2) [1] 0.4024881
```

Since the difference is 0.40631, bigger than the cutoff, the first contrast is significant. This differs from the conclusion from the Bonferroni and Scheffe's adjustment above. Notice that the Tukey's adjustment assume that only pairwise comparisons are considered, thus excluding the third contrast above.

# 4. Adjustments for all pairwise comparisons (post-hoc tests)

```
For comparing all pairs of group means. We can use pairwise.t.test() and TukeyHSD().
> ## Pairwise comparisons
> # No adjustment or LSD
PF.data$fev1 and PF.data$center
data:
2 0.018 -
3 0.102 0.353
P value adjustment method: none
> # Bonferroni for the k(k-1)/2 pairs
 data:
     PF.data$fev1 and PF.data$center
2 0.055 -
3 0.307 1.000
P value adjustment method: bonferroni
> # FDR or BH
```

```
> pairwise.t.test(PF.data$fev1, g=PF.data$center, p.adjust.method = 'fdr')
        Pairwise comparisons using t tests with pooled SD
data: PF.data$fev1 and PF.data$center
2 0.055 -
3 0.154 0.353
P value adjustment method: fdr
> # Tukey's HSD
> TukeyHSD(PF.fit, confidence.level=0.95)
Tukey multiple comparisons of means
    95% family-wise confidence level
Fit: aov(formula = fev1 ~ center, data = PF.data)
$center
               0.003821453 0.8087976 0.0473852
2-1 0.4063095
3-1 0.2525052 -0.113573610 0.6185840 0.2294901
3-2 -0.1538043 -0.548652567 0.2410439 0.6191128
At \alpha=0.05 level, the difference between first and second groups (centers here) is
significant under the Tukey's adjustment, but not significant under Bonferroni or fdr
adjustment.
Other pairwise comparisons are all not significant.
We extracted the MSE using
MSE<-summary(PF.fit)[[1]][2, 'Mean Sq'] #extract MSE
How do I know to extract it this way? Look at what is contained in summary (PF. fit)
> sum1<-summary(PF.fit)</pre>
..$ Pr(>F): num [1:2] 0.052 NA
- attr(*, "class")= chr [1:2] "summary.aov" "listof"
- attr(*, "na.action")=Class 'omit' Named int [1:3] 12 30 37
...- attr(*, "names")= chr [1:3] "12" "30" "37"
It is a list, the first element in a list is indexed as [[1]], which is a data.frame here:
> sum1[[1]]
                 Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F) 1.5828 0.79142 3.1153 0.052
                                  3.1153 0.052
              2
center
             57 14.4803 0.25404
Residuals
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
The MSE is in the second row (Residuals) and in the third column, so we can extract it
using either of the two ways below:
> sum1[[1]][2,'Mean Sq']
[1] 0.2540396
 sum1[[1]]['Residuals','Mean Sq']
[1] 0.2540396
```