Ex: Let R be a ring and a ER. Thon,

· Ra = {xa|xeR} in a left ideal

· aR = {ar | r ER} is a right ideal.

Ex: let {Aa|a E D} be a family of ideals in a ring R.

Then () Aa is again an ideal in R.

which contains X in called the ideal generated by X. Definition: Let X be a subset of a ring R. The smallest ideal in R

Notation: (x) denotes the smallest ideal containing (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) , then (x) is denoted by (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) .

· Let $\times \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ let $\{A_{\alpha} | \alpha \in d\}$ be the family of all the ideals of R which contain X. Then, MA in an ideal and clearly Az is the smallest ideal containing X

. Let R be a ring and a ER. Then,

(a) = the smallest ideal in R containing the element a

= \ ra+ as+ ra+ \(\sigma\) z, as; \ myo, x, x; s; ER, クニク アカス

· It R is commutative, then (a)={ra+na|reR, new} If R in commutative with identity, then (a) = Ra.

Definition (Prime ideal). An ideal I in a ring in said to be prime if I #R and if abe I for a, beR, then either a & I or b & I.

Ex: In Z, the prime ideals are {o} and pZ, share pin

In an integral domain R, dos in a prime r'ded,

Invorem: Let R be a commutative pring with identity.

Let I be a proper ideal. Then, I in a prime ideal ⟨→ K/I in an integral domain.

Since R in commutative with identity, so R/I in who commutative with Broof: Let I be a prime ideal. daim: R/I in an integral identity 1+I. domain.

Let $(a+1) \cdot (b+1) = 1$. Trun, abt I = I => abe I => Either a & I or b & I.

a+1=1 or b+1=1(: I in a pointe

... R/I has no zero divisor.

> R/I in an integral domain.

Conversely, suppose that R/I in an integral domain, IIR. Let ab EI for some a, b ER.

× V $\underline{2x}$: let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be rideals in R. Then, Then, $ab+1=1 \Rightarrow (a+1).(b+1)=1$ then, I = R ♦ I contains a unit, Let R be a ring with identity. Let I be an ideal Im proves that I is a prime ideal. =) a & I or b & I. (: R/I has no J= I+6 20 I= I+2 6 gero divisor)

 $A_1+\cdots+A_n=\left\{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_n\right\}$ $\alpha_i\in A_i, i=1,2,\cdots,n$ in an ideal in R.

Maximal ideal: Let R be a ring and let I be a proper ideal in R. I in an ideal, then either I = I or I = R. I in called a maximal ideal if IGJGR where

That is, there is no proper ideal lying between I and R properly containing I.

Ex: In (Z,+,), b Z in maximal for every prime b. · In Z, for in a prime ideal which is not a maximal

In 22, 42 is a massimal ideal.

exists. Theorem: In a ring with identity maximal ideal always

Proof: The proof tequines Zonn's Lemma. So, we don't give

Proof: Let I be a maximal rideal. Claim: R/I in a field let a+I & I, (that in, a+I in nonzero in R/I). identity. Since R in commutative with identity, so R/I is also commutative with An ideal I in a maximal ideal (=> R/I in a field Theorem: Let R be a commutative ring with identity.

Them, a & I. Consider the rideal Sina 16R, no a EaR. I+aR.

Am, a & I, so I C I + aR

Since I is maximal, so I+aR=R

今 1 E I + aR

 N_{0} , 1+I=(c+ab)+I=ab+I (:cei) > 1= c+ab where = (a+1)(b+1)ce I and beR

·· b+I is the inverse of a+I > a+I in a unit

Times, every nonzero element of R/I in a unit. This proves that R/I is a field.

hence a + I in a unit in R/I (: R/I in a field). conversely, suppose that R/I is a field. Let af I and a & I. Then, a + I & I and \Rightarrow $\exists b \in R$ s.t. (a+1)(b+1) = 1+1少 ab+I=1+I シ 1-ab ∈ I シ 1-ab ∈ J let I C J ER, where J in an ideal, J # I.

Now, $1=(1-ab)+ab \in J$ 5 ab 6 J

ided in a prime ideal. theorem: In a commutative ring with identity, every maximal This proves that I is a maximal ideal.

maximal ideal. Then, R/M is a field => R/M is an integral domain. Proof: Let R be a commutative ring with identity. Let M be a >> M in a prime ideal. #

be prime ideh. For example, in 22, 42 in a maximal ideal but 42 in not a prime ideal. It a ring does not have identify, maximal ideals need not

& Ring homomorphism let R and S be rings. and f(xy) = f(x) f(y)次 大(x+x) = f(x) + t(x) 人 x, 女 ER A function f: R -> S is called a Ring homomorphism

If f in 1-1 and onto, then f in said to be an isomorphism.

Given a rzing homomerphism f: R->5, it bernel in defined as $\text{Rer}(f) = |\gamma \in R| f(r) = 0$.

>X: Per(+) in an ideal in R.

Theorem (1st isomorphism theorem); Let f: R -> S be a ring Im(f) = {f(r) | r ∈ R} in a subtring of S.

homomorphism. Then, R/Rest = Im(f).

#