

Lecture 31

Oct 25, 2022

Note Title

10/26/2022

Nilpotent element: Let R be a ring. An element $a \in R$ is called nilpotent if $\exists n \geq 1$ such that $a^n = 0$.

- 0 is always a nilpotent element in any ring R .
- In \mathbb{Z}_4 , 0 and 2 are both nilpotent elements.
- In an integral domain D , 0 is the only nilpotent element.

Theorem Let R be a commutative ring with identity. Then,

$f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \in R[x]$ is a unit if and only if $a_0 \in U(R)$ and a_1, \dots, a_n are nilpotent elements in R .

(1) Let R be an integral domain.

Then, $\cup(R[x]) = \cup(R)$ (Since in an integral domain, 0 is the only nilpotent element).

$$\therefore \cup(\mathbb{Z}[x]) = \cup(\mathbb{Z}) = \{1, -1\}$$

That is, 1 and -1 are the only polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ which are units.

(2) Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_4$. Then, $1 + 2x^3 \in \cup(\mathbb{Z}_4[x])$ since 2 is

Clearly, inverse of $1 + 2x^3$ is $1 + 2x^3$ a nilpotent element of \mathbb{Z}_4 .

$$\bullet (1 + 2x^3) \cdot (1 + 2x^3) = 1 + 4x^3 + 4x^6 = 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_4[x].$$

(3) Let F be a field. Then $U(F[x]) = U(F) = F - \{0\}$.

Thus, the units in $F[x]$ are nonzero constant polynomials.

§ Factorization in Polynomial rings:

Let R be commutative with identity.

Let $f(x) \in R[x]$. We say that $a \in R$ is a zero of f if

$$f(a) = 0.$$

(1) Let F be a field, $\alpha \in F$ and $f(x) \in F[x]$.

Applying division algorithm, we find that α is a zero of $f(x)$ if and only if $x - \alpha$ is a factor of $f(x)$, that is, $f(x) = (x - \alpha)g(x)$ for some $g(x) \in F[x]$.

(2) A polynomial of degree n over a field has at most n zeros, counting multiplicity.

Proof: Follows from division algorithm.

In general, the statement (2) is not true.

For example, let $f(x) = 2x \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x]$. Then, $\deg f = 1$ but f has two zeros, namely, 0 and 2.

Definition (irreducible polynomial): Let R be a commutative ring with identity. A polynomial $f(x) \in R[x]$ is called irreducible if

(1) f is non-zero and non-unit ($f \neq 0$ and $f \notin U(R[x])$).

(2) whenever $f(x) = h(x) \cdot g(x)$, then either $h(x)$ is unit or $g(x)$ is unit.

A reducible polynomial is a polynomial which is not irreducible.

Ex: Let $f(x) = 4 + 2x^2$. Clearly, $f \neq 0$ and $f \notin U(\mathbb{Z}[x])$.
we have $f(x) = 2(2 + x^2)$ and both 2 and $2 + x^2$ are

$\therefore 4 + 2x^2$ is not irreducible over \mathbb{Z} .
non-units.

However, $4 + 2x^2$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

Ex: The polynomial $x^2 - 5$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} but reducible over \mathbb{R} .

Ex: Let F be a field. Then, every degree 1 polynomial in $F[x]$ is irreducible.

Theorem (Root test): Let F be a field. If $f(x) \in F[x]$ and $\deg f$ is 2 or 3, then f is reducible if and only if $f(x)$ has a zero in F .

Proof: Let $\deg f \geq 2$ and $\alpha \in F$ is a zero of f .

Then, $f(x) = (x - \alpha) \cdot h(x)$. Since $\deg f \geq 2$, $\deg h$

\therefore Both $x - \alpha$ and $h(x)$ are non-units. $= \deg f - 1$

$\Rightarrow f$ is reducible. ≥ 1 .

Conversely, suppose that $\deg f = 2$ or 3 and f is reducible.

Let $f(x) = h(x)g(x)$, where both $h(x)$ and $g(x)$ are non-units.

$\therefore \deg h \geq 1$ and $\deg g \geq 1$.

If $\deg f = 2$, then $\deg h = \deg g = 1$

$\therefore h(x) = ax + b$ with $a \neq 0$. Then, $a = -a^{-1}b$ is a root of $f(x)$.

If $\deg f = 3$, then $\deg h + \deg g = 3$

$$\Rightarrow \text{either } \deg h = 1 \text{ or } \deg g = 1$$

In any case, $f(x)$ has a root.

— x — #

Ex: Let $f(x) = x^r + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_3[x]$. Note that \mathbb{Z}_3 is a field.

$$f(0) = 1, \quad f(1) = 2, \quad f(2) = 5 = 2.$$

$\therefore f(x)$ does not have any root in \mathbb{Z}_3 .

Since $\deg f = 2$, f is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_3[x]$.

But x^{r+1} is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_5[x]$ since 2 is a zero of x^{r+1} in \mathbb{Z}_5 .

Ex: In $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$, degree 1 irreducible polynomials are x and $1+x$.
In $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$, degree 2 irreducible polynomials are $1+x+x^2$.
In $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$, degree 3 irreducible polynomials are

$$x^3+x^2+1 \text{ and } x^3+x+1.$$

#

Thm: (Rational Root test) Let $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Then, if $\alpha = \frac{m}{k}$, $\gcd(m, k) = 1$, is a rational root of $f(x) = 0$,
then $m|a_0$ and $k|a_n$.

Proof. If $f(\alpha) = 0$, then $a_0 + a_1 \frac{m}{k} + a_2 \frac{m^2}{k^2} + \dots + a_n \frac{m^n}{k^n} = 0$.

$$\Rightarrow a_0 r^n + a_1 m r^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} m^{n-1} r + a_n m^n = 0$$

$$\therefore m | a_0 r^n \text{ and } r | a_n m^n.$$

Since $\gcd(m, r) = 1$, so $m | a_0$ and $r | a_n$.

#

Ex: Let $f(x) = 1 + 2x + 3x^3 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

By Rational root test, if $\alpha = \frac{m}{r}$, $\gcd(m, r) = 1$, is a root

of $f(x) = 0$, then $m | 1$ and $r | 3$

$$\Rightarrow m = \pm 1 \text{ and } r = \pm 1, \pm 3$$

$\therefore \alpha = \pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{3}$. But for these values of α , $f(\alpha) \neq 0$.

$\therefore 1 + 2x + 3x^3$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

Mod p irreducibility test:

Let p be a prime and suppose that

$f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $\deg f \geq 1$. Let $\overline{f(x)} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ be the polynomial obtained by reducing all the co-efficients of $f(x)$ modulo p . If $\overline{f(x)}$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Z}_p and $\deg f(x) = \deg \overline{f(x)}$, then $f(x)$ is also irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

Ex: $f(x) = 1 + 5x + 7x^2 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Take $p = 5$.

Then, $\overline{f(x)} = 1 + 2x^2 \in \mathbb{Z}_5[x]$.

Now, $\overline{f(0)} = 1$, $\overline{f(1)} = 3$, $\overline{f(2)} = 9 = 4$, $\overline{f(3)} = 4$, $\overline{f(4)} = 3$.

$\therefore \overline{f(x)}$ has no zero in \mathbb{Z}_5 . Since $\deg \overline{f(x)} = 2$, so $\overline{f(x)}$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_5[x]$. Also, $\deg f = \deg \overline{f}$, hence f is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

Ex: $f(x) = 21x^3 - 3x^2 + 2x + 9.$

Then, over \mathbb{Z}_2 , $\overline{f(x)} = x^3 + x^2 + 1$ which is irreducible over \mathbb{Z}_2 .

Since $\deg f = \deg \overline{f}$, so f is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

$$\text{---}x\text{---}$$