



Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical
simulation

Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion

Resolving photon number states in a superconducting circuit

Ming Lyu, Elena de la Hoz Lopez-Collado

Final projects for ELE456 at Princeton

May 11, 2017



Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical
simulation

Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The model
 - Cavity QED
 - Driving terms
 - Measurement
- 3 Numerical simulation
 - Property of the cavity
 - Reproduce results
- 4 Discussion
- 5 Conclusion



Outline

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical
simulation

Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion

- System sensitive to number of photons
- System: superconducting qubit + microwave transmission line
- Strong dispersive regime
- Spectroscopic measurements: Qubit's spectral lines different for each photon number state



Cavity QED

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical
simulation

Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion

- Cavity QED (cQED) \rightarrow interaction electromagnetic field modes with atoms (or qubits)




Image from Blais, Alexandre, et al. "Cavity quantum electrodynamics for superconducting electrical circuits: An architecture for quantum computation." Physical Review A 69.6 (2004): 062320.[1]



Cavity QED: Superconducting qubit

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical
simulation

Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion

- Cavity QED (cQED) → interaction electromagnetic field modes with superconducting qubit




Image from Blais, Alexandre, et al. "Cavity quantum electrodynamics for superconducting electrical circuits: An architecture for quantum computation." Physical Review A 69.6 (2004): 062320.[1]



Cavity QED: the Hamiltonian

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical
simulation

Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion

Hamiltonian

$$H = \omega_r \left(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \omega_a \frac{\sigma^z}{2} + g \left(a^\dagger \sigma^- + a \sigma^+ \right)$$

- ω_r : cavity resonance frequency
- ω_a : qubit transition frequency
- g : strength qubit-photon coupling
- $\Delta = \omega_r - \omega_a$: detuning between qubit and cavity



Strong Dispersive Regime

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical

simulation

Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion




Image from Schuster, D. I., et al. "Resolving photon number states in a superconducting circuit." Nature 445.7127 (2007): 515-518.[3]



Strong dispersive Regime: Diagonalization

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical
simulation

Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion

- Transformation:

$$U = \exp \left(\frac{g}{\Delta} (a\sigma^+ - a^\dagger\sigma^-) \right)$$

- Hamiltonian to first order in $\frac{g}{\Delta}$ (dispersive regime):

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= U H U^\dagger \\ &\simeq \omega_r \left(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \omega_a \frac{\sigma^z}{2} + \chi \left(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\sigma^z}{2} \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi = g/\Delta^2$



Spectrum of the system

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical
simulation

Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion




Image from Schuster, D. I., et al. "Resolving photon number states in a superconducting circuit." Nature 445.7127 (2007): 515-518.[3]



Driving terms

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical
simulation

Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion

- To conduct a measurement we first drive the cavity:

$$H_{\text{rf}} = \epsilon_{\text{rf}} \left(a^\dagger e^{-i\omega_{\text{rf}}t} + a e^{i\omega_{\text{rf}}t} \right)$$

with ω_{rf} near ω_r

- The frequency shift of the qubit measured with a sweeping signal

$$H_s = \epsilon_s \left(a^\dagger e^{-i\omega_s t} + a e^{i\omega_s t} \right)$$

with ω_s near ω_a

- Note that relative strength of ϵ_s is not mentioned. We treat it as a perturbation.



Rotating frame and Rotating wave approximation

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical
simulation

Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion

- Applying the transformation

$$U = \exp \left[\frac{g}{\Delta} (a\sigma^+ - a^\dagger\sigma^-) \right]$$

- And moving to the rotating frame:

$$U_I = \exp \left[it \left(\omega_{\text{rf}} a^\dagger a + \omega_s \sigma^z / 2 \right) \right]$$

Under rotating frame, H_{rf} and H_s are (with RWA):

$$H_{\text{rf}} = \epsilon_{\text{rf}} (a^\dagger + a)$$

$$H_s = \left(\frac{g}{\Delta} \right) \epsilon_s (\sigma^+ + \sigma^-)$$



Final Hamiltonian and collapse operators

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical
simulation

Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion

- Full Hamiltonian:

$$H = \omega_r \left(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \omega_a \frac{\sigma^z}{2} + \chi \left(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\sigma^z}{2} \\ - \left(\omega_{rf} a^\dagger a + \omega_s \frac{\sigma^z}{2} \right) + \epsilon_{rf} (a^\dagger + a) + \epsilon_s \frac{g}{\Delta} (\sigma^+ + \sigma^-)$$

- Collapse operator:

- Collapse operators cavity: $\sqrt{\kappa(1+n_{th})}a$, $\sqrt{\kappa n_{th}}a^\dagger$
- Collapse operator qubit: $\sqrt{\gamma}\sigma^-$
- Dephasing: $\sqrt{\gamma_\phi}\sigma^z$



- In the experiment, the transmitted amplitude at frequency ω_{rf} is the main observable under steady state.

Steady state

$$\dot{\rho}_s = 0 = -i[H, \rho_s] + \sum_n \left(2C_n \rho_s C_n^\dagger - \{\rho_s, C_n^\dagger C_n\} \right)$$

- What they really measure is the expectation of the electrical field $E \propto \langle a + a^\dagger \rangle$ [2] on a given frequency

$$E \propto \langle a + a^\dagger \rangle = \text{Tr}[\rho_s(a + a^\dagger)]$$



Property of the cavity: Analytical

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical
simulation

Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion

- Without the qubit, the cavity state is equivalently a damped harmonic oscillator with driving

$$H = \delta a^\dagger a + \epsilon(a + a^\dagger)$$

Collapse operators: $\sqrt{\kappa(n_{\text{th}} + 1)}a$ and $\sqrt{\kappa n_{\text{th}}}a^\dagger$

- When it's off resonant, its steady state is not but approximately a coherent state
- Analytically the photon number expectation value is

$$\bar{n} = \frac{\epsilon^2}{\delta^2 + \kappa^2/4} + n_{\text{th}}$$



Property of the cavity: Numerical

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical
simulation



Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion

- Numerically, a truncate on Fock space is needed
- To check the validity of the truncate, we plot the photon distribution and frequency response of the cavity.





Direct spectroscopic observation of quantized cavity photon number

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical
simulation

Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results


Discussion

Conclusion

For a fixed driving ϵ_{rf} , plot the reduction $V_0 - \langle a^\dagger + a \rangle_{ss}$ v.s. ω_s .

ϵ_{rf} is labeled by \bar{n} with relationship:

$$\bar{n} = n_{\text{th}} + \frac{\epsilon_{\text{rf}}^2}{\delta^2 + \kappa^2/4}$$





Direct spectroscopic observation of quantized cavity photon number: compare

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement



Numerical
simulation

Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion



- Fits well with small \bar{n} , but other noise becomes significant for larger \bar{n}



Strengthen?

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement


Numerical
simulation

Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion



For small RF signal, there's a range where the transmitted amplitude is increased.
We'll explain it later.



Thermal Drive

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical
simulation


Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion

- Thermal Drive is equivalent to setting n_{th} in collapse operator to the driving average, with small ϵ_{rf} to show the phase lock-in at the given frequency.





Thermal Drive: compare

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement



Numerical
simulation

Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion



- Note that there's no thermal drive theory fitting. Our results tracks fewer peaks, but this depends on how they do the measurement, which is not mentioned in the paper.



Discussion: The picture of what happens

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical
simulation

Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion

- The peaks shows discreteness in the photon state in the cavity.
- Exciting the qubit making the cavity off-resonance, which results in the reduction?



Discussion: The picture of what happens

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical
simulation

Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion

- The peaks shows discreteness in the photon state in the cavity.
- Exciting the qubit making the cavity off-resonance, which results in the reduction? **NOT TRUE**



Discussion: The picture of what happens

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical
simulation



Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion

- The peaks shows discreteness in the photon state in the cavity.
- Exciting the qubit making the cavity off-resonance, which results in the reduction? **NOT TRUE**
- Expected photon number increases at the peaks!





What happens

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical
simulation



Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion

- Excitation of the qubit is not the dominant effect, but the polarization of the qubit, which twists the cavity photon state.
- This can be shown from the difference of the Wigner function (quasiprobability distribution on phase diagram) with/without the signal field.





What happens

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical
simulation



Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion

- Excitation of the qubit is not the dominant effect, but the polarization of the qubit, which twists the cavity photon state.
- This can be shown from the difference of the Wigner function (quasiprobability distribution on phase diagram) with/without the signal field.





Conclusion

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

TBD

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical
simulation

Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion



Reference

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical
simulation

Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion

- ▶ Alexandre Blais, Ren-Shou Huang, Andreas Wallraff, Steven M Girvin, and R Jun Schoelkopf.

Cavity quantum electrodynamics for superconducting electrical circuits: An architecture for quantum computation.

Physical Review A, 69(6):062320, 2004.

- ▶ David Isaac Schuster.

Circuit quantum electrodynamics.

Yale University, 2007.

- ▶ DI Schuster, AA Houck, JA Schreier, A Wallraff, JM Gambetta, A Blais, L Frunzio, J Majer, B Johnson, MH Devoret, et al.

Resolving photon number states in a superconducting circuit.

Nature, 445(7127):515–518, 2007.



The End...

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Introduction

The model

Cavity QED

Driving terms

Measurement

Numerical
simulation

Property of the
cavity

Reproduce results

Discussion

Conclusion

Thank you for listening!



Q & A



Circuit Cavity QED

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

Cavity

- 1D transmission line resonator
- Full-wave section of superconducting coplanar waveguides

Qubit

- Cooper pair box
- Superconducting mesoscopic island connected via a Josephson Junction to a reservoir



Circuit Cavity QED

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena




Figure: Cooper pair box inside a cavity, and spectral features of the circuit QED system.

Image from Schuster, D. I., et al. "Resolving photon number states in a superconducting circuit." Nature 445.7127 (2007): 515-518.



Josephson junction and superconducting circuit

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

- The Hamiltonian


$$H = E_c(N - N_g)^2 - E_J \cos \delta$$

- Commutation relationship: $[\delta, N] = i$, this means $e^{\pm i\delta} |n\rangle = |n \pm 1\rangle$

- Approximately two-level system: $0 \leq N_g \leq 1$, $N = 0, 1$:

$$H = -E_c(1 - 2N_g)\sigma^z - \frac{1}{2}E_J\sigma^x$$

- With coupling, $N_g \longrightarrow N_g + CV_0(a + a^\dagger)/2e$
- Choose eigen basis at degeneracy point ($N_g = 1/2$), we can have JC model up to some constants.






Measurement

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

In the experiment, the transmitted amplitude at frequency ω_{rf} is the main observable. The exact way to measure can be found in Schuster's thesis [2]:



- What we really measure is the expectation of the voltage, or electrical field
 $E \propto \langle a + a^\dagger \rangle$



Wigner function (Wigner quasiprobability distribution)

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

- Wigner function is an analogue of classical probability distribution on phase space

Definition: Wigner function

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, p) &\equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \int d^n y \, \psi(x - y/2) \psi^*(x + y/2) e^{ip \cdot y/\hbar} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} \int d^n y \, \langle x - y/2 | \rho | x + y/2 \rangle e^{ip \cdot y/\hbar} \end{aligned}$$

- Marginals:

$$\int d^n p \, P(x, p) = \langle x | \rho | x \rangle \qquad \int d^n x \, P(x, p) = \langle p | \rho | p \rangle$$



Wigner function: properties

Nature 445,
515-518

Ming, Elena

- Inner product \rightarrow overlap:

$$|\langle\psi|\varphi\rangle|^2 = 2\pi\hbar \int d^n x d^n p P_\psi(x, p) P_\varphi(x, p)$$

- Operator Wigner transformation and expectation values:

$$g(x, p) \equiv \int d^n y \langle x - y/2 | G | x + y/2 \rangle e^{ip \cdot y/\hbar}$$

$$\text{Tr}[\rho G] = \int d^n x d^n p P(x, p) g(x, p)$$

- Cauchy inequality for pure state

$$-\frac{2}{\hbar} \leq P(x, p) \leq \frac{2}{\hbar}$$