

## Job Mailer — How to Explain to an Interviewer (Story + Highlights)

### 1) 20–30 second pitch (elevator pitch)

“I built a Node.js tool called Job Mailer that automates job application emails. It supports both a headless automation mode and a clean local web UI. The automation mode reads a recipients CSV, sends only the pending emails on a schedule, and also watches the CSV so adding a new recipient triggers an immediate send. The UI lets me send single or bulk emails, manage SMTP/default templates, and even discover HR emails using external provider APIs. Everything is logged locally to JSON and Excel so I can track what was sent and avoid duplicates.”

---

### 2) The problem I solved (what motivated the project)

- Sending job applications repeatedly is repetitive and error-prone.
- Manual sending leads to:
  - duplicated emails
  - inconsistent templates
  - poor tracking of who was contacted
  - time wasted managing attachments and bulk lists

This project turns that into a reliable workflow with idempotency + logging.

---

### 3) What the user can do (demo script)

If you’re demoing live:

- 1) **Show UI (`npm run ui`)**
  - Login (optional)
  - Go to Send tab → send one email
  - Show that you can leave subject/body blank and defaults are used
- 2) **Show Bulk**
  - Upload Excel template
  - Send bulk and show summary + `sent.xlsx` download
- 3) **Show Defaults**
  - Update SMTP/from/subject/body
  - Upload default resume
- 4) **Show HR Finder**
  - Enter company or domain → fetch HR contacts

- Copy email / send directly

#### 5) (Optional) Show Automation mode

- Open `data/recipients.csv`
  - Add a new email row and show watcher sends immediately
- 

### 4) Best functionalities to highlight (the “best of best”)

#### A) Idempotent sending (no duplicates)

- Uses `data/sent.json` as a per-email state store.
- The scheduler sends only pending recipients.

Why it impresses: shows you understand real automation needs (statefulness).

#### B) SMTP reliability improvement

- Before sending, it verifies SMTP.
- If a common failure occurs on 587 STARTTLS (network blocked), it retries 465 SSL.

Why it impresses: shows practical production-like handling of flaky networks.

#### C) Bulk workflows with good UX

- Excel upload parsing (flexible headers, dedupe by email)
- Pasted list mode (comma/newline)
- Shows results and saves a downloadable log

Why it impresses: it's not just backend; it's end-to-end product thinking.

#### D) HR Finder integration

- External APIs (Hunter / Apollo)
- Domain resolution from company name
- UI contacts card rendering with “send now” action

Why it impresses: integration engineering + mapping inconsistent API shapes.

#### E) Defaults + template system

- Saved defaults reduce repeated typing.
- Signature injection prevents broken/duplicated signatures.

Why it impresses: attention to content quality and maintainability.

---

## 5) Challenges faced (and how you solved them)

### 1) “How do I prevent duplicates?”

- Solution: `sent.json` idempotency store keyed by email + status transitions.

### 2) “SMTP networks are unreliable”

- Solution: verify transporter + conditional fallback to port 465.

### 3) “Bulk parsing is messy”

- Excel has inconsistent column names and duplicates.
- Solution: normalize headers, accept multiple variants, dedupe while preserving best info.

### 4) “External HR APIs return inconsistent shapes”

- Solution: normalize fields, map common structures, cap results, and handle missing data.

### 5) “UI dropdown selection didn’t work for some company names”

- Root cause: long legal suffixes reduce domain-detection accuracy.
  - Solution: server retries simplified variants (strip Pvt/Ltd/etc; use first words).
- 

## 6) Trade-offs (good engineering maturity)

- UI auth uses in-memory sessions → simple for local use, but not for multi-instance production.
  - Logs are local files → easy, portable, but not multi-user/centralized.
  - SMTP is convenient but can be blocked → email API provider would be more reliable.
- 

## 7) How you would improve it (future scope)

- Add queue + retries with exponential backoff.
  - Add a database (SQLite/Postgres) for scalable logs and reporting.
  - Add rate limiting + provider abstraction layer.
  - Add automated tests for parsers and key flows.
-

### **8) Strong closing line**

“This project demonstrates I can design a full workflow end-to-end: file-based automation + web UI + external API integrations + reliable sending + logging/idempotency, with clear trade-offs and room for scaling.”