AI ASSISTED CODING

LAB-12.4

NAME: N. PRANAY KUMAR

ENROLLNO:2403A52087

BATCH:04

TASK-01:

Implementing Bubble Sort with AI Comments.

PROMPT:

Generate a python code to implement bubble sort.

CODE:

Bubble Sort repeatedly compares and swaps adjacent elements if they are in the wrong order, moving the largest element to the end in each pass — like bubbles rising to the top.

TASK-02:

Optimizing Bubble Sort → Insertion Sort

PROMPT:

Convert the following bubble sort code to the insertion sort.

CODE:

```
An interval of the state of the
```

```
PSOLEMS OUTPUT DEBUG CONSOLE TERMANAL FORTS

PS C:\Users\ramch\OneDrive\Desktop\ai> & C:\Users\ramch\AppDuta\Local\Programs\Python\Python\312\python.exe c:\Users\ramch\OneDrive\Desktop\ai/lab12.4\12.4.1.py

**Original list: [64, 34, 25, 12, 22, 11, 90]

Original list (already sorted): [11, 12, 22, 25, 34, 64, 90]

Original list (already sorted): [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]

**Sorted list: [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]

**PS C:\Users\ramch\OneDrive\Desktop\ai> & C:\Users\ramch\AppDuta\Local\Programs\Python\Python\312\python.exe c:\Users\ramch\OneDrive\Desktop\ai\lab12.4\12.4.2.py

**Bubble Sort took: 0.080763 seconds**

Insertion Sort took: 0.080763 seconds

O S C:\Users\ramch\OneDrive\Desktop\ai>

O S C:\Users\ramch\OneDrive\Desktop\ai>
```

Optimization from Bubble Sort to Insertion Sort:

Instead of repeatedly swapping adjacent elements like in Bubble Sort, **Insertion Sort** shifts elements to insert each item directly into its correct position. This reduces unnecessary swaps and makes it faster, especially for nearly sorted data.

TASK-03:

Binary Search vs Linear Search

PROMPT:

Implement the linear search and binary search with comments.

CODE:

```
while low <- high:
    mid = (low + high) // 2
    if arr[mid] == target:
        return mid
    elif arr[mid] < target:
        low = mid + 1
    else:
        bich = elf
   # Generate data
LIST_SIZE = 100_000
sorted_data = list(range(LIST_SIZE))
unsorted_data = random.sample(range(LIST_SIZE), LIST_SIZE) # Unique random numbers
   # Targets for search
target_present_start = 0
target_present_middle = LIST_SIZE // 2
target_present_end = LIST_SIZE - 1
target_not_present = LIST_SIZE + 100
     # Student Observation Table Header print(f*('scanario':<38) | ('Linear Search Time (s)':<25) | ('Binary Search Time (s)':<25)') print(\cdot^{-*} & ('Binary Search Time (s)':<25)') print(\cdot^{-*} & ('Binary Search Time (s)':<25)')
    8 Test 1: Linear Search on unsorted data (target present)
start_time = time.perf_counter()
linear_search(unsorted_data, target_present_middle)
end_time = time.perf_counter()
linear_time_unsorted_present = end_time = start_time
print(f^*('Unsorted_Traget_Present)':<38) | {linear_time_unsorted_present:<25.8f} | {'N/A (Requires_Sorted)':<25}")</pre>
Innear_time_unsorted_present = end_time - start_time
print(f"{'Unsorted_(Target_Present)':<30} | {Innear_time_unsorted_present:<25.8f} | {'N/A (Requires_Sorted)':<25}")
# Test 2: Linear Search on unsorted data (target not present)

start_time - time.perf_counter()

linear_search(unsorted_data, target_not_present)

end_time = time.perf_counter()

linear_time_unsorted_not_present = end_time - start_time

print(f"{'Unsorted (Target Not Present)':<30} | {Inear_time_unsorted_not_present:<25.8f} | {'N/A (Requires Sorted)':<25}")
# Test 3: Linear Search on sorted data (target present)
start_time = time.perf_counter()
linear_search(sorted_data, target present_middle)
end time = time.perf_counter()
linear_time_sorted_present = end_time - start_time
# Test 4: Binary Search on sorted data (target present)
start_time = time.perf_counter()
binary_search(sorted_data, target_present_middle)
end_time = time.perf_counter()
binary_time_sorted_present = end_time - start_time
print(f"('Sorted (Target Present)':<30) | {linear_time_sorted_present:<25.8f} | {binary_time_sorted_present:<25.8f}")</pre>
# Test 5: Linear Search on sorted data (target not present)
start_time = time.perf_counter()
linear_search(sorted_data, target_not_present)
end_time = time.perf_counter()
linear_time_sorted_not_present = end_time - start_time
# Test 6: Binary Search on sorted data (target not present)
start_time = time.perf_counter()
binary_search(sorted_data, target_not_present)
end_time = time.perf_counter()
binary_time_sorted_not_present = end_time - start_time
print(f"('Sorted_(Target_Not_Present)':<30) | {linear_time_sorted_not_present:<25.8f} | {binary_time_sorted_not_present:<25.8f}")</pre>
print("\nNote: Binary Search times for unsorted data are marked 'N/A' as it requires a sorted list.")
print("If the data is initially unsorted, the time to sort it must be added to Binary Search's total time.")
```

OUTPUT:

24 > ● 1243.py > ...

def binary_search(arr: list, target: any) -> int:

P-generative Ties, deget any 3-3 likt

- Pen-enquisite: The input list 'arr' NMST be sorted.

- Time Complexity:

- Best Case: O(1) (target is the middle element)

- Average Case: O(log n)

- Worst Case: O(log n)

- Space Complexity: O(1) (iterative version) or O(log n) (recursive version due to call stack)

- Highly efficient for large, sorted datasets.

Linear Search: Checks each element one by one until the target is found or the list ends. Works on **unsorted** data but is **slow (O(n))**.

Binary Search: Repeatedly divides a **sorted** list in half to find the target. Much **faster (O(log n))**, but requires the data to be sorted.

TASK-04:

Quick Sort and Merge Sort Comparison

PROMPT:

Implement the quick sort and merge sort using recursion.

CODE:

```
| Section | Sect
```

PROBLEMS OUTPUT	DEBUG CONSOLE TERMINAL PORT	;						≥ Python + ·
PS C:\Users\ramch\OneDrive\Desktop\ai> & C:/Users\ramch\AppData\Loca\Programs\Python\Python312\python.exe c:\Users\ramch\OneDrive\Desktop\ai\lab12.4/12.4.4.py Sorting Algorithm Performance Comparison (List Size: 1808)								
Data Type	Quick Sort Time (s)	Merge Sort Time (s)						
	8.00176790 0.03095380 0.02159880 O(n^2) worst-case on sorted g n) performance is consist helbrive\Desktop\ais							

Quick Sort: Uses a **pivot** to partition the array into smaller and larger elements, then sorts each part recursively. It's **faster on average** ($O(n \log n)$) but may degrade to $O(n^2)$ in the worst case.

Merge Sort: Divides the array into halves, sorts them, and then **merges** them. It always runs in **O(n log n)** time but uses **extra memory** for merging.

TASK-05:

AI-Suggested Algorithm Optimization

PROMPT:

Generate the python code which implements the duplicate search.

CODE:

```
def find duplicates brute force(nums: list) -> list:
                This algorithm compares each element with every other element to find duplicates. It then ensures that each duplicate is added only once to the result list.
             Performance Notes:

- Time Complexity: O(n^2)

- The nested loops lead to quadratic time complexity, as for each element, it potentially iterates through the rest of the list.

- The 'if num in duplicates' check within the loop can add another O(k) operation where k is the number of duplicates found so far, making it even worse in practice for many duplicates.

- Space Complexity: O(k) where k is the number of unique duplicates.

- Not suitable for large lists due to its high time complexity.
            def find_duplicates_optimized(nums: list) -> list:
               Finds duplicate numbers in a list efficiently using sets.
               This algorithm uses two sets: one to keep track of numbers seen so far, and another to store the unique duplicates found. This reduces the lookup time to 0(1) on average.
               Returns: | list: A list containing the unique duplicate numbers found in the input list.
def find_duplicates_optimized(nums: list) -> list:
        seen = set()
duplicates = set()
for num in nums:
    if num in seen:
        duplicates.add(num)
    else:
         seen.add(num)
return list(duplicates)
# --- Performance Comparison ---

if __name__ == "__main__":

LIST_SIZE = 5000 # Adjust for larger lists to see the difference more clearly

MAX_VALUE = LIST_SIZE // 2 # Ensures a good number of duplicates
        # Generate a list with many duplicates
test_list = [random.randint(0, MAX_VALUE) for _ in range(LIST_SIZE)]
       # Test Brute-Force Version
start_time = time.perf_counter()
brute_force_duplicates = find_duplicates_brute_force(test_list)
end_time = time.perf_counter()
brute_force_time = end_time = start_time
print(f"Brute-Force Algorithm:")
print(f" Time taken: (brute_force_time:.6f) seconds")
print(f" Found (len(brute_force_duplicates)) unique_duplicates.")
        # Test Optimized Version start_time - time.perf_counter() optimized_duplicates = find_duplicates_optimized(test_list) end_time - time.perf_counter() optimized_time = end_time - start_time perint(f*Optimized_Algorithm (using sets):") print(f* line taken: (optimized_time.inf*) seconds") print(f* found {len(optimized_duplicates)} unique duplicates.")
```

```
PS C:\Users\ranch\OneOrive\Desktop\ai> & C:\Users\ranch\AppButa\local\Programs\Python\Python312\python.exe c:\Users\ranch\OneOrive\Desktop\ai\lab12.4\flat12.4.5.py

8 --- Duplicate Finder Performance Comparison (List Size: 5000) ---

Brute-Force Algorithe:

1 ise taken: 0.41378 seconds

Found 16G1 unique duplicates.

Optimized Algorithm (using sets):

1 ise taken: 0.6005d1 seconds

Found 16G1 unique duplicates.

Optimized Algorithm (using sets):

1 ise taken: 1 the optimized version is significantly faster for large lists.

Speedup: 326.58c

O S C:\Users\ranch\OneOrive\Desktop\ai>
```

The task involves first writing a naive duplicate-finding algorithm using nested loops, which has $O(n^2)$ complexity. Then, AI can optimize it by using a set or dictionary to track seen elements, reducing the complexity to O(n). Students compare execution times on large inputs and explain that the optimization improves efficiency by avoiding repeated comparisons.