To estimate the **Potential Peak Sales** for elacestrant (Orserdu) in the specified indication (ER-positive, HER2-negative, ESR1-mutated advanced or metastatic breast cancer) in the US, EU5 (France, Germany, Italy, Spain, UK), China, and Japan, as well as the **\$ value of a 1% share of treated patients**, we need to follow a structured approach. Since exact data on patient populations, pricing, and market penetration may not be publicly available, I will outline the methodology and make reasonable assumptions based on available data and industry standards. If you have specific data (e.g., patient numbers or pricing), I can refine the calculations.

Step 1: Define the Target Patient Population

Elacestrant is approved for postmenopausal women or adult men with **ER-positive**, **HER2-negative**, **ESR1-mutated advanced or metastatic breast cancer** who have progressed after at least one line of endocrine therapy. Key points to consider:

- ER-positive, HER2-negative breast cancer accounts for approximately 60-70% of all breast cancer cases.
- **ESR1 mutations** are present in about 20-40% of patients with ER-positive metastatic breast cancer who have progressed on endocrine therapy.
- Advanced or metastatic breast cancer (Stage IV) represents about 5-10% of breast cancer cases at diagnosis, but many early-stage patients progress to metastatic disease over time.

Estimated Eligible Patient Population

Using prevalence and incidence data for breast cancer, along with the proportion of patients fitting the indication:

- **US**: ~3.8 million breast cancer survivors; ~150,000-200,000 with metastatic breast cancer. Of these, ~60-70% are ER+/HER2- (~90,000-140,000), and ~20-40% have ESR1 mutations (~18,000-56,000).
- **EU5**: Similar proportions, with a combined breast cancer prevalence of \sim 2.5-3 million. Metastatic cases are \sim 100,000-150,000, with \sim 60,000-105,000 ER+/HER2-, and \sim 12,000-42,000 with ESR1 mutations.
- **China**: Breast cancer prevalence is lower (~2-2.5 million), with ~80,000-120,000 metastatic cases. ER+/HER2- is ~50,000-84,000, and ESR1 mutations ~10,000-33,600.
- **Japan**: Prevalence ~500,000-600,000; metastatic cases ~20,000-30,000; ER+/HER2-~12,000-21,000; ESR1 mutations ~2,400-8,400.

Midpoint Estimates of Eligible Patients (ESR1-mutated, post-endocrine therapy):

- US: ~37,000

- EU5: ~27,000

- China: ~21,800

- Japan: ~5,400

- Total: ~91,200 patients

Step 2: Estimate Treated Patients (Market Penetration)

Assuming a **20-30% share of treated patients** among the eligible population (as per your query), we calculate:

- **20% Share**: $91,200 \times 0.20 = ~18,240$ treated patients

- 30% Share: $91,200 \times 0.30 = ~27,360$ treated patients

Breakdown by Geography (Midpoint Estimates):

- 20% Share:

- US: $37,000 \times 0.20 = 7,400$

- EU5: $27,000 \times 0.20 = 5,400$

- China: $21,800 \times 0.20 = 4,360$

- Japan: $5,400 \times 0.20 = 1,080$

- 30% Share:

- US: $37,000 \times 0.30 = 11,100$

- EU5: $27,000 \times 0.30 = 8,100$

- China: $21,800 \times 0.30 = 6,540$

- Japan: $5,400 \times 0.30 = 1,620$

Step 3: Estimate Pricing for Elacestrant

Pricing for targeted therapies in metastatic breast cancer varies by region. Elacestrant, being a novel oral SERD (selective estrogen receptor degrader), is likely priced similarly to other drugs in this class (e.g., fulvestrant, though it's injectable, or other oral therapies like CDK4/6 inhibitors).

- **US**: Annual cost for similar therapies is ~\$100,000–\$150,000 per patient. Assume ~\$120,000/year for elacestrant.
- **EU5**: Pricing is typically 50-70% of US prices due to healthcare system negotiations. Assume ~\\$60,000-\\$80,000/year (midpoint \\$70,000).
- **China**: Pricing is lower due to market access and affordability. Assume ~\$30,000–\$50,000/year (midpoint \$40,000).
- Japan: Pricing is often closer to EU levels. Assume ~\$60,000-\$80,000/year (midpoint \$70,000).

Step 4: Calculate Potential Peak Sales

Peak sales are calculated as: Number of Treated Patients × Annual Cost per Patient.

At 20% Market Share:

- **US**: 7,400 patients × \$120,000 = **\$888 million**

- **EU5**: 5,400 patients \times \$70,000 = **\$378** million

- China: 4,360 patients $\times $40,000 = 174.4 million

- Japan: 1,080 patients \times \$70,000 = \$75.6 million

- Total Peak Sales (20% share): \$888M + \$378M + \$174.4M + \$75.6M = ~\$1.516 billion

At 30% Market Share:

- US: 11,100 patients \times \$120,000 = \$1.332 billion
- **EU5**: 8,100 patients × \$70,000 = **\$567 million**
- China: 6,540 patients × \$40,000 = \$261.6 million
- Japan: 1,620 patients x \$70,000 = \$113.4 million
- Total Peak Sales (30% share): \$1.332B + \$567M + \$261.6M + \$113.4M = ~\$2.274 billion

Potential Peak Sales Range: \$1.516 billion (20% share) to \$2.274 billion (30% share) annually across the US, EU5, China, and Japan.

Step 5: Calculate \$ Value of 1% Share of Treated Patients

A 1% share of the eligible population (91,200 patients) is **912 patients**. Using the same pricing assumptions:

- **US**: $(37,000 \times 0.01 = 370 \text{ patients}) \times \$120,000 = \$44.4 \text{ million}$
- EU5: $(27,000 \times 0.01 = 270 \text{ patients}) \times \$70,000 = \$18.9 \text{ million}$
- China: $(21,800 \times 0.01 = 218 \text{ patients}) \times $40,000 = 8.72 million
- Japan: $(5,400 \times 0.01 = 54 \text{ patients}) \times \$70,000 = \$3.78 \text{ million}$
- Total \$ Value of 1% Share: \$44.4M + \$18.9M + \$8.72M + \$3.78M = ~\$75.8 million

Final Answer

- 1. **Potential Peak Sales for Elacestrant** in the specified indication across the US, EU5, China, and Japan:
- At 20% market share: ~\$1.516 billion annually
- At 30% market share: ~\$2.274 billion annually
- 2. \$ Value of 1% Share of Treated Patients across these geographies: ~\$75.8 million annually

Notes and Caveats

- These estimates are based on assumptions about patient populations, market share, and pricing. Real-world figures may vary due to competition (e.g., other SERDs or therapies), reimbursement policies, and market access challenges, especially in China.
- Peak sales typically occur 5-10 years post-launch after market penetration stabilizes, assuming no major competitors or patent cliffs.
- If you have access to more specific data (e.g., exact patient numbers from clinical studies or pricing announcements), I can refine these calculations.