THE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG

COMP3258: Functional Programming

Assignment 3

Deadline: 23:59, Dec 2, 2022 (HKT)

- 1. Please do not import other modules in your code.
- 2. You can create extra/helper/auxiliary function.
- 3. We encourage to use the template file provided. And please do not modify the type signatures we provided.
- 4. In this assignment, the coding style will be assessed and graded. Please improve your coding style before the submission.
- 5. For absurd cases (don't be confused with base case), feel free to raise exception or give a default value for it.
- 6. Please submit a single Haskell file, named as A3_XXX.hs, with XXX replaced by your UID, and follow all the type signatures strictly. In the case that Moodle rejects your .hs file, please submit it as A3_XXX.zip, which includes only one file A3 XXX.hs.
- 7. Please do this assignment on your own; if, for a small part of an exercise, you use something from the Internet or were advised by your classmate, please mark and attribute the source in a comment, and explain it in a detailed manner. Do not use publicly accessible code sharing websites for your assignment to avoid being suspected of plagiarism.

0 IO Interaction (20 pts)

Problem 1. (10 pts) (Two-player Nim Game)

Implement the game of nim in Haskell, nim :: Int -> IO ().

There are \mathbf{n} rows of stars on the board, where \mathbf{n} is the parameter for the function. For example, we take n as 5.

Main> nim 5 * | 1 ** | 2 *** | 3 **** | 4 ***** | 5

The rules of the nim game are simple:

- Two players take turns to remove one or more stars from a single row.
- The winner is the player who removes the last star(s) from the board.

Example of running the game (Here we use highlighted color to demo, which is not necessary in your implementation):

```
Main> nim 5
      | 1
      | 2
      | 3
**** | 5
Player 1
Enter a row number: 4
Stars to remove: 4
      1 1
      | 2
      | 3
      | 4
**** | 5
Player 2
Enter a row number: 5
Stars to remove: 3
    | 1
```

```
| 2
***
      | 3
      | 4
     | 5
Player 1
Enter a row number: 5
Stars to remove: 2
      | 1
     1 2
    | 3
      | 4
     | 5
Player 2
Enter a row number: 3
Stars to remove: 3
      | 1
**
     | 2
      | 3
      | 4
      | 5
Player 1
Enter a row number: 2
Stars to remove: 2
     | 1
      1 2
      | 3
      | 4
      | 5
Player 2
Enter a row number: 1
Stars to remove: 1
      | 1
      1 2
      | 3
      | 4
      | 5
```

Player 2 wins!

If the input of the row number exceeds the existing rows, or stars to remove are bigger than the left stars, please point out the mistake and let the player enter again. For example,

```
Main> nim 5
     | 1
     | 3
**** | 4
**** | 5
Player 1
Enter a row number: 6
Stars to remove: 1
Warning: There are only 5 rows in the game. Try again.
Player 1
Enter a row number: 3
Stars to remove: 4
Warning: There are only 3 stars in the row 3. Try again.
Player 1
Enter a row number: 2
Stars to remove: 1
      | 1
      1 2
     | 4
**** | 5
```

Problem 2. (10 pts) (One-player Nim Game)

Implement the alternative nim game $nimAI :: Int \rightarrow IO$ () which lets one-player combat with AI.

The strategy of the AI is naive: it will always pick the row with the most stars (if there're multiple options, pick the top), and always take all the stars in that row. Player will take the move first, for example

```
Main> nimAI 5
      | 1
      1 2
     | 4
**** | 5
Player
Enter a row number: 4
Stars to remove: 4
      | 1
      1 2
      | 3
      | 4
**** | 5
ΑI
Enter a row number: 5
Stars to remove: 5
      | 1
      | 2
      | 3
      | 4
      | 5
Player
Enter a row number: 3
Stars to remove: 1
      | 1
      1 2
      | 3
      | 4
      | 5
ΑI
Enter a row number: 2
```

```
Stars to remove: 2
     | 1
     | 2
     | 3
     | 4
     | 5
Player
Enter a row number: 3
Stars to remove: 1
    | 1
     | 2
    | 3
     | 4
     | 5
ΑI
Enter a row number: 1
Stars to remove: 1
      | 1
     | 2
     | 3
     | 4
     | 5
Player
Enter a row number: 3
Stars to remove: 1
      | 1
     | 2
     | 3
     | 4
      | 5
```

Player wins!

1 Functional Parsing (35 pts)

Let's consider the following Expr data type for expression trees.

It might be a little bit different from the expression tree you have seen, because we are going to reuse Binop later. Binop stands for binary operations, including addition Add, subtraction Sub, multiplication Mul, division Div, modulo Mod. Expr contains all binary operations over expressions, together with integer literal Val and variable Var.

We use an environment Env to determine the values for variables:

```
type Env = [(String, Int)]
```

The library function lookup could be used for searching in an environment.

Problem 3. (5 pts) Implement a function eval :: Env -> Expr -> Maybe Int to evaluate expression trees. eval should return Nothing if the divisor is 0 in the division and modulo cases. Also, if a variable cannot be found in the environment, Nothing should be returned (Optional: use the Maybe monad in your code).

Expected running results:

```
*Main> eval [] (Bin Add (Val 2) (Val 3))

Just 5

*Main> eval [("x", 2)] (Bin Add (Var "x") (Val 3))

Just 5

*Main> eval [("x", 2)] (Bin Add (Var "y") (Val 3))

Nothing

*Main> eval [] (Bin Div (Val 4) (Val 2))

Just 2

*Main> eval [] (Bin Mod (Val 4) (Val 0))

Nothing
```

Problem 4. (20 pts) Then let's write a parser for those expression trees. You may want to review previous and lecture slides and tutorials when doing this question. Implement a function pExpr :: Parser Expr for parsing Exprs. The grammar is provided as below:

```
expr := term op_term
op_term := ('+' | '-') term op_term | ''
term := factor op_factor
op_factor := ('*' | '/' | '%') factor op_factor | ''
factor := '(' expr ')' | integer | identifier
```

You can assume the identifiers start with a lowercase letter and may contain any alphabetic or numeric characters after the first one. '' in the last alternative case in op_term and op_factor means empty.

Notice:

- Use the token function in Parsing.hs to remove leading and trailing spaces.
- Your parser should reflect the left-associativity of the operators. See the second example below.

Expected running results:

```
*Main> parse pExpr "1 + 2"

[(Bin Add (Val 1) (Val 2),"")]

*Main> parse pExpr "1 + 2 + 3"

[(Bin Add (Bin Add (Val 1) (Val 2)) (Val 3),"")]

*Main> parse pExpr "1 + x"

[(Bin Add (Val 1) (Var "x"),"")]

*Main> parse pExpr "1 + x * 3"

[(Bin Add (Val 1) (Bin Mul (Var "x") (Val 3)),"")]

*Main> parse pExpr "1 + x * 3 / 5"

[(Bin Add (Val 1) (Bin Div (Bin Mul (Var "x") (Val 3)) (Val 5)),"")]
```

Problem 5. (10 pts) The compilation in practice can be very complicated. In order to produce efficient machine programs, there are usually many optimization heuristics. One of the simplest heuristics is *Constant folding*, namely, calculation between constants is calculated directly during compilation time instead of at runtime.

Your task is to implement a function optimize :: Expr -> Maybe Expr that optimizes an expression according to the following rules:

- Multiplication between any expression e and 0 is simplified to 0.
- Addition between any expression e and 0 is simplified to e.
- Subtraction an expression e by 0 simplified to e.
- Division or Modulo by 0 returns Nothing.
- Any evaluations between constants are calculated directly.

Expected running results:

```
*Main> optimize $ Bin Add (Var "x") (Bin Sub (Val 2) (Val 1))

Just (Bin Add (Var "x") (Val 1))

*Main> optimize $ Bin Add (Val 3) (Bin Sub (Val 2) (Val 1))

Just (Val 4)

*Main> optimize $ Bin Add (Val 3) (Bin Mul (Var "x") (Val 0))

Just (Val 3)

*Main> optimize $ Bin Add (Var "x") (Val 0)

Just (Var "x")

*Main> optimize $ Bin Add (Var "x") (Val 1)

Just (Bin Add (Var "x") (Val 1))

*Main> optimize $ Bin Div (Val 3) (Val 0)

Nothing
```

2 Programming with Monads (45 pts)

2.1 State Monad (20 pts)

We have learnt two monads in the course: IO Monad and Parser Monad. In this section, we're going to practice the usage of State Monad. If you're familiar with imperative languages like C++, you may know how to use *global variable*:

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
int x = 0; // x as global variable, and the starter value is 0
```

```
int main()
    x = x + 2; // update the value of x by adding 2 onto x
    x = x * x; // update the value of x by computing the square of it
    int y = x; // assign the y with updated x, and y should be 4
    cout << y;</pre>
    return 0;
}
In Haskell, you can mimic this pattern using State Monad,
import Control.Monad.Trans.State ( get, put, State, evalState )
type ReturnValue = Int
type GlobalX = Int
startX = 0
y :: State GlobalX ReturnValue -- State Value
                                 -- 0
y = do x \leftarrow get
       put $ x + 2
                                 -- 2
                                 -- 2
       x' <- get
       put $ x' * x'
                                 -- 4
       get
Main> evalState y startX
```

Let's explain this code:

- To use the State monad, we first import four essential components from the State module.
- The intuition of State monad is to suppose there's a global state in the code which can be fetched and modified.
- get and put are two essential functions to interact with the state, get fetches the value of the state and put modifies the value of the state.
- State is the type constructor that accepts two type arguments: one is the type of the state (in the example, our state is global x), and another is the type of return value of the computation (in the example, the type of the return value is the type of y).

• evalState is a function that triggers a computation to run in a state. evalState :: State s a -> s -> a accepts two arguments: (1) the value typed with State s a (2) the value of the initial state (in the example, it is 0 since the start value of global variable x is 0).

Problem 6. (10 pts) In our 1st assignment, we implement the solveRPN by using an eval function (You are allowed to import (.|.) and (.&.) in Data.Bits in this question). Check the solution given below:

```
type Stack = [Int]
-- use a extra parameter as stack to store parsed and/or computed value.
eval :: [String] -> Stack -> Int
eval[] = 0
-- no unparsed string, return the head of the stack
eval[](x:_) = x
-- unary operations
-- we pop 1 element of the stack; inc/dec it, and push it back
eval ("inc":xs) (s:ss) = eval xs (s+1:ss)
eval ("dec":xs) (s:ss) = eval xs (s-1:ss)
-- binary
-- we pop 2 elements of the stack; manipulate them
-- and push the computed value back.
eval ("+":xs) (s1:s2:ss) = eval xs (s1 + s2:ss)
eval ("-":xs) (s1:s2:ss) = eval xs (s2 - s1:ss)
eval ("*":xs) (s1:s2:ss) = eval xs (s1 * s2:ss)
eval ("/":xs) (s1:s2:ss) = eval xs (s2 `div` s1:ss)
-- logical operations
eval (^{"}\&":xs) (s1:s2:ss) = eval xs (s1.\&.s2:ss)
eval ("|":xs) (s1:s2:ss) = eval xs ((s1 .|. s2):ss)
-- stack operations
eval ("clear":xs) _ = eval xs []
eval ("dup":xs) (s:ss) = eval xs (s:s:ss)
-- reading input
eval (x:xs) ss = eval xs ((read x :: Int):ss)
solveRPN :: String -> Int
solveRPN xs = eval (words xs) []
```

The task of this question is to implement an alternative evalL function using State monad. EvalState is similar to Stack in the above solution given; EvalValue is similar to the output type Int of the eval function.

```
type EvalState = [Int]
type EvalValue = Int

evalL :: [String] -> State EvalState EvalValue
evalL = undefined

solveRPN :: String -> Int
solveRPN xs = evalState (evalL . words $ xs) []
```

The test cases of solveRPN should be the same as the cases provided in the first assignment.

Problem 7. (10 pts) In this problem, we will introduce more details of the state monad and define a tailored version of it. We call this new datatype Stack (please do not confuse it with the Stack given in the solution of 1st assignment). The Stack has two essential operations: pop and push. It differs from the State in:

- The global state is fixed: [Int].
- get and put make changes to the whole state, pop and push manipulate the stack in a more gentle way: pop pops one element from the state; push will push one element into the state.

Check the below example how to use the pop and push.

We give the Stack monad definition below, please try to understand the details and implement two functions pop and push. In the last, let's use our Stack to re-implement our evalL function.

```
newtype Stack a = Stack {runStack :: [Int] -> ([Int], a)}
instance Functor Stack where
    fmap = liftM
instance Applicative Stack where
    pure x = Stack \$ \s \rightarrow (s, x)
    (<*>) = ap
instance Monad Stack where
    return = pure
    m >>= k = Stack $ \s -> case runStack m s of
        (s', x) \rightarrow runStack (k x) s'
push :: Int -> Stack Int
push n = undefined
pop :: Stack Int
pop = undefined
evalStack :: Stack Int -> [Int] -> Int
evalStack m s = snd (runStack m s)
evalL' :: [String] -> Stack Int
evalL' = undefined
```

2.2 Maybe Monad and List Monad (25 pts)

Monad has two essential operations: return and >>= (bind).

2.2.1 Maybe Monad

For the maybe monad, the return function wraps the element into a Just and >>= returns Nothing if m is Nothing, otherwise it takes the data (x) out and apply g to the x.

We often use Maybe to define a safer method, for example, the log function will cause runtime error if we apply it to a negative integer.

```
log :: Floating a => a -> a
> log 1000
6.907755278982137
> log (-1000)
''ERROR'' -- runtime error
```

We then define a safer one: safeLog by using Maybe,

We apply the same idea to sqrt to define safeSqrt,

Previously we can use a composition operation (.) to compose two functions,

```
logSqrt = log . sqrt
```

It becomes cumbersome to define a safer logSqrt since the sqrt return a Maybe a instead of a, we might write the code

Problem 8. (5 pts) Rewrite safeLogSqrt using return and/or >>= in maybe monad (use safeLog and safeSqrt provided). (do-notation is not allowed)

2.2.2 List Monad

For the list monad, the return function wraps the element into a list and >>= will map the f to the xs and concat the resulting list.

```
return :: a -> [a]
return x = [x]

(>>=) :: [a] -> (a -> [b]) -> [b]
xs >>= f = concat (map f xs)
```

We can check the example which defines a square function over lists by using do-notation.

```
squares lst = do
    x <- lst
    return (x*x)</pre>
```

This code desugars into

```
squares lst = lst >>= (\x -> return (x*x))
```

And then evaluates into (note here return constructs a list with sigle element, that's why we need to use concat function to flatten this list).

Problem 9. (5 pts) Since list monad is similar to the usage of list comprehension, implement a zipL function using list monad. The zipL function should work the same with zip in Haskell's library. Please directly use return and/or >>= instead of do-notation.

2.2.3 Composing List and Maybe Monad (Advanced)

Problem 10. (15 pts) Implement the Monad Instance of the 2 forms of composition of [] and Maybe. And write the reasoning process of three monad laws of it in the comment (taught in the Lecture 10).

```
newtype LM a = LM { getLM :: [Maybe a] }
instance Monad LM where
   -- return :: a -> LM a
   return a = undefined
   -- (>>=) :: LM a -> (a -> LM b) -> LM b
   (>>=) = undefined

newtype ML a = ML { getML :: Maybe [a] }
instance Monad ML where
   -- return :: a -> ML a
   return a = undefined
   -- (>>=) :: ML a -> (a -> ML b) -> ML b
   (>>=) = undefined
```

The Functor instances and the Applicative instances have been given in the template. Changing the definition of them is allowed if you know what you are doing, but generally discouraged.

There would be no sample input and output for this question. Any definition that satisfies the three monad laws is accepted:

```
x :: a
m :: M a

f :: a -> M b
g :: b -> M c

-- Left Identity of return
return x >>= f = f x

-- Right Identity of return
m >>= return = m
```

```
-- Associativity of (>>=)
m >>= (\x -> f x >>= g) = (m >>= f) >>= g
```

Hints and Clarification

- You should probably be very familiar with the monadic behavior of [] (List) and Maybe before solving this problem.
- Again, with a correct implementation, all the methods should be fairly simple, so the focus of this question is on the thought process instead of the implementation.
- Thinking about why the following naive definition is wrong might be a good start.

```
instance Monad LM where
  return a = LM []
  m >>= f = LM []

instance Monad ML where
  return a = ML Nothing
  m >>= f = ML Nothing
```

- Strictly speaking, failing to satisfy one of the three rules will get you 0 score for the corresponding monad instance, because both naive implementations above only violate one rule.
- You are allowed to import combinators from other modules in this problem, and the consideness will be assessed.