

Assignment1

Praneeth Kacham

1 Question-2

2 Question-3

Let V be the set of vertices and S_1 and S_2 be the partition of V . Pick an arbitrary vertex and put it into S_1 . Now iterate over the remaining vertices and one after another put them into S_1 or S_2 depending on which of the configurations gives greater cut size based on the vertices added to S_1 and S_2 till that point. This is a $1/2$ -approximation. Notation: v_i be the vertex picked in i th iteration, $S_1(i), S_2(i)$ be the sets S_1 and S_2 at the end of the i th iteration, $V(i) = S_1(i) \cup S_2(i)$ and $G(i)$ be the graph induced by the vertices $V(i)$.

Claim: Partition $(S_1(i), S_2(i))$ is a $1/2$ -approximation for $G(i)$.

Proof. Proof by induction on i .

True for $i = 1$ as the optimal partition has weight 0.

Assume that the claim is true for all $k \leq i$.

Without loss of generality, assume that $v_i \in S_1$. Let $(A, V(i) - A)$ be the optimal partition for $G(i)$ and $v(i) \in A$. We have $OPT(G(i)) = wt((A, V(i) - A)) = wt((A - v(i), V(i) - A)) + \text{contribution of } v_i$. But $wt((A - v(i), V(i) - A)) \leq OPT(G(i - 1))$ and contribution of $v_i \leq \text{weight of edges incident on } v_i \text{ in } G(i)$. So,

$$OPT(G(i)) \leq OPT(G(i - 1)) + \text{weight of edges incident on } v_i \quad (1)$$

By the induction hypothesis we have $wt(S_1(i) - v_i, S_2(i)) = wt(S_1(i - 1), S_2(i - 1)) \geq OPT(G(i - 1))/2 \geq wt((A - v(i), V(i) - A))/2$. We also have $wt(v_i, S_2(i)) \geq (\text{weight of edges incident on } v_i)/2$ as v_i is added to S_1 by the greedy algorithm. So, $wt(S_1(i), S_2(i)) = wt(S_1(i) - v_i, S_2(i)) + \text{contr. of } v_i \geq OPT(G(i - 1))/2 + (\text{wt. of edges incident on } v_i)/2 \geq OPT(G(i))/2$. \square

Thus the partition $(S_1(n), S_2(n))$ is a $1/2$ -approximation for the graph $G(n) = G$.

The generalized algorithm for k partitions is as follows. Start with $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k = \phi$. Iterate over the vertices v_i . Put the vertex v_i into the set S_j such that

$$j = \text{argmax}_j wt(S_1, \dots, S_{j-1}, S_j \cup v_i, S_{j+1}, \dots, S_k) \quad (2)$$

So,

$$\text{contribution of } v_i \text{ at the end of } i\text{th iteration} = \max_j wt(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{j-1}, v_i, S_{j+1}, \dots, S_k) \quad (3)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{k} \sum_j wt(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{j-1}, v_i, S_{j+1}, \dots, S_k) \quad (4)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{k} (k - 1) \text{wt. of edges incident on } v_i \quad (5)$$

So, given that $wt(S_1(i - 1), S_2(i - 1), \dots, S_k(i - 1)) \geq (1 - 1/k)OPT(G(i - 1))$ we have $wt(S_1(i), \dots, S_j(i), \dots, S_k(i)) = wt(S_1(i - 1), S_2(i - 1), \dots, S_j(i - 1) \cup v_i, \dots, S_k(i - 1)) = wt(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_j, \dots, S_k) + \text{contr. of } v_i \geq (1 - 1/k)OPT(G(i - 1)) + (1 - 1/k) \text{wt. of edges incident on } v_i \geq (1 - 1/k)OPT(G(i))$. Hence $(S_1(n), S_2(n), \dots, S_k(n))$ is $(1 - 1/k)$ approximate partition of $G(n) = G$.

3 Question-4

Let $S = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, k\}$. And each of them have a coverage requirement of $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Let $\sum_i \alpha_i = n$. The greedy algorithm is as follows:

1. $\beta_i = \alpha_i$ for all $i \in S$ and $r_i = 0 \forall S_i$.
2. if $\exists i \beta_i > 0$, continue else exit
3. find j such that $\frac{w(S_j)}{|S_j \cap \{i | \beta_i > 0\}|}$ is minimum.
4. $r_j := r_j + 1$ and $\beta_i := \beta_i - 1 \forall i \in S_j$
5. Go to step 2

The above algorithm is a $\ln n$ -approximate algorithm. Let $n_i = \sum_j \beta_j$ before i th iteration. So, $n_1 = n$ and $n_i - n_{i+1}$ is the number of elements covered in i th iteration. Let S_k be the set chosen in i th iteration. Optimum solution can cover n_i elements with cost OPT . So, there should be a set in OPT which can cover some elements with average cost less than OPT/n_i . But average cost of S_k should be even less. Hence,

$$w(S_k) \leq OPT * (n_i - n_{i+1})/n_i \quad (6)$$

Summing the above inequality over all the iterations, we have

$$\sum_j r_j w(S_j) \leq OPT * H_n \quad (7)$$

So, this algorithm is a $\ln n$ -approximation.

4 Question-5

Consider three sets of vertices $\{r\}$, $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$ one for each of the sets S_i and $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ one for each of the elements of set S . Construct a graph G with vertices as the union of $\{r\}$, $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$ and $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ with the edges as follows, $r \rightarrow v_i$ for all $i = 1..n$ with cost of edge $r \rightarrow v_i = wt(S_i)$ and the edges $v_i \rightarrow u_j \forall i, j$ such that $j \in S_i$ of cost 0. Set the vertices $r, \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ as required and r as the special vertex and the vertices $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$ as steiner vertices. Any tree with root r and containing the vertex u_j should have an edge to v_k such that there is an edge.

Let $T = (V', E')$ is a steiner tree. Using this we shall construct a set-cover. Consider the set-cover $\mathcal{S} = \{S_j | r \rightarrow v_j \in E'\}$.

Claim: \mathcal{S} is a vertex cover and $cost(\mathcal{S}) = wt(T)$.

Proof. Consider an element $e_i \in S$. There is a corresponding vertex u_i in the vertex set of G and u_i is a required vertex. So, there exists a vertex v_k such that $r \rightarrow v_k$ and $v_k \rightarrow u_i$ which implies $S_k \in \mathcal{S}$ and $e_i \in S_k$. So, $e_i \in \cup_{S_j \in \mathcal{S}} S_j$. As e_i is an arbitrary element of S , we have \mathcal{S} is a set cover. $cost(\mathcal{S}) = \sum_{S_j \in \mathcal{S}} wt(S_j) = \sum_{v_j: r \rightarrow v_j \in E'} wt(r \rightarrow v_j) = wt(T)$. So, cost of the set-cover \mathcal{S} is $wt(T)$. \square

Let \mathcal{S} be a vertex cover. We shall construct a steiner tree T . Consider the graph $T = (V, E)$ with V as union of $\{r\}, \{v_j | S_j \in \mathcal{S}\}$ and $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ and edges as union of $\{r \rightarrow v_j | v_j \in V\}$ and $\{v_j \rightarrow u_k | e_k \in S_j\}$. Remove edges if there are more than one edges incoming to a vertex of form u_k . Given that \mathcal{S} is a setcover, we can see that the tree T is a steiner tree and cost of tree T is equal to weight of set cover \mathcal{S} .

From above,

$$OPT(SteinerTree) = OPT(SetCover) \quad (8)$$

Hence, given a $O(\log(n))$ approximation algorithm for steiner-tree, we can solve the steiner tree problem corresponding to the setcover problem and give $O(\log(n))$ approximation to set-cover problem.