

# Question-1

Integer-Program

$$\begin{aligned} \min_x \quad & \sum_e c_e x_e \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{e \in P_i} x_e \geq 1 \\ & x_e \in \{0, 1\} \end{aligned}$$

Dual of Relaxed problem

$$\begin{aligned} \max_y \quad & \sum_{i=1}^k y_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i: e \in P_i} y_i \leq c_e \quad \forall e \in E \\ & y_i \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

---

**Algorithm 1** Primal-Dual Algorithm for Multi-Cut problem

---

**procedure** MULTICUT( $T = (V, E), r \in V, c_e \geq 0 \forall e, (s_i, t_i) \ i = 1 \dots n$ )

$F \leftarrow \emptyset$

**while**  $F$  is not a multi-cut **do**

$i$  be the index of the unseparated pair  $(s_i, t_i)$  having highest  $\text{depth}(\text{lct}(s_i, t_i))$

        Increase  $y_i$  such till edge  $e$  becomes tight

$F \leftarrow F \cup \{e\}$

**end while**

**end procedure**

---

In reverse delete step, go through the edges in the reverse order in which they are added to  $F$ . Delete an edge  $e$  if  $F - \{e\}$  is a feasible multi-cut. Return  $F$  finally.

**Theorem 1.**

$$\text{cost}(F) = \sum_{e \in F} c_e \leq 2 * \text{OPT} \quad (1)$$

*Proof.* Let  $y$  be the dual feasible solution given by the algorithm. Hence,  $\sum_{i=1}^k y_i \leq \text{OPT}$ . We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cost}(F) &= \sum_{e \in F} c_e \\ &= \sum_{e \in F} \sum_{i: e \in P_i} y_i && \text{(Since, an edge is added only when tight)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k y_i |F \cap P_i| \end{aligned}$$

**Claim 1.**  $y_i > 0 \Rightarrow |F \cap P_i| \leq 2$

Suppose there is an  $i$  such that  $y_i > 0$  and  $|F \cap P_i| > 2$ .  $y_i > 0$  implies that there is an edge  $e \in F$  added to disconnect  $s_i, t_i$ . Let  $u$  be the least common ancestor of  $s_i$  and  $t_i$ . As there are more than 2 selected edges on the path  $(s_i, t_i)$ , we can without loss of generality assume that the path between  $s_i$  and  $u$  has atleast 2 edges in  $F$ . Let  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  be the edges in  $F$  on the path  $s_i \rightarrow u$  and  $e_1$  be the edge nearest to the root  $r$ . As the edges are added in the decreasing order of depth of least common ancestors of unconnected pairs, we have  $e_2$  added before  $e_1$ . Thus, while deleting, we see  $e_1$  before  $e_2$  and removing  $e_2$  still disconnects  $s_i, t_i$  as  $e_1$  which is already in  $F$  disconnects them. Hence, we get a contradiction.

From the claim above, we have  $y_i |F \cap P_i| \leq 2y_i$ . Hence, the  $\text{cost}(F) = \sum_{i=1}^k y_i |F \cap P_i| \leq \sum_{i=1}^k 2y_i \leq 2\text{OPT}$ .  $\square$

## Question-4

Define  $A \cdot X = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} x_{ij}$ .  
Primal SDP

$$\begin{aligned} \max_X \quad & \sum_{i < j} w_{ij}(1 - x_{ij})/2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_{ii} = 1 \quad \forall i \\ & X \succeq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Dual

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\gamma} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} w_{ij} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_i \gamma_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & W + \text{diag}(\gamma) \succeq 0 \end{aligned}$$

We have  $W$  is a symmetric matrix with  $w_{ii} = 0$ . To show weak duality, we need to show that given  $X \succeq 0$ ,  $x_{ii} = 1 \forall i$ ,  $W + \text{diag}(\gamma) \succeq 0$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} w_{ij}(1 - x_{ij}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} w_{ij} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_i \gamma_i$$

**Lemma 2.** *If  $X, Y$  are positive semidefinite matrices, then  $X \cdot Y \geq 0$*

*Proof.* Given matrices  $X, Y$  we have  $X \cdot Y = \text{tr}(X^T Y)$ . As  $X, Y$  are p.s.ds, we can write  $X = LL^T$  and  $Y = MM^T$ . Hence,  $\text{tr}(X^T Y) = \text{tr}(LL^T MM^T) = \text{tr}(L^T M M^T L) = \text{tr}(L^T M (L^T M)^T) = \|L^T M\|_F^2 \geq 0$ . Second equality follows from the fact that  $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$ .  $\square$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} w_{ij}(1 - x_{ij}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} w_{ij} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_i \gamma_i \\ \Leftrightarrow & -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} w_{ij} x_{ij} \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_i \gamma_i \\ \Leftrightarrow & -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i \neq j} w_{ij} x_{ij} \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_i \gamma_i && (\text{Since, } x_{ij} = x_{ji} \text{ \& } w_{ij} = w_{ji}) \\ \Leftrightarrow & -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} w_{ij} x_{ij} \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_i \gamma_i && (\text{Since, } w_{ii} = 0) \\ \Leftrightarrow & 0 \leq \sum_{i,j} w_{ij} x_{ij} + \sum_i \gamma_i \\ \Leftrightarrow & 0 \leq \sum_{i,j} w_{ij} x_{ij} + \sum_i \gamma_i x_{ii} && (\text{Since, } x_{ii} = 1) \\ \Leftrightarrow & 0 \leq (W + \text{diag}(\gamma)) \cdot X && (\text{Dot Product of p.s.ds is } \geq 0) \end{aligned}$$

$\square$