

# Hermitian Forms and Zeros of a Polynomial

PRANSHU GABA

Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore

gabapranshu@ug.iisc.in

December 3, 2017

## Abstract

We looked at the general properties of Hermitian (self-adjoint) matrices, and used the Schur-Cohn theorem to find the number of roots of a polynomial lying within and without the unit circle.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we see the properties of Hermitian matrices, which are very useful and interesting. We also see and prove the Schur-Cohn theorem to find the number of roots of a polynomial lying within the unit circle.

There are many ways to locate the roots of a polynomial. The Schur-Cohn theorem shows a surprising connection between linear algebra and roots of a polynomial. It will be used to find out how many roots of the polynomial lie inside and outside the unit circle.

First we will define some basic terms that will be used ahead in the paper.

## 2 Definitions

### 2.1 Norm of a matrix

#### 2.1.1 Operator norm

Given  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$  (the set of  $n \times n$  square matrices with complex elements), the operator norm of  $A$ , denoted by  $\|A\|$ , is defined as

$$\|A\| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|} = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\|$$

**Theorem 1.** *The operator norm satisfies the triangle inequality  $\|A + B\| \leq \|A\| + \|B\|$ .*

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \|A + B\| &= \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|(A + B)x\|}{\|x\|} \leq \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\| + \|Bx\|}{\|x\|} \\ &\leq \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|} + \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Bx\|}{\|x\|} = \|A\| + \|B\| \end{aligned}$$

□

### 2.1.2 Hilbert-Schmidt norm

The Hilbert-Schmidt norm of matrix  $A$ , denoted by  $\|A\|_2$ , is defined as the square root of sum of squares of all entries in  $A$ .

$$\|A\|_2 = \left( \sum_{i,j} |a_{ij}|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

**Theorem 2.** *The operator norm is always less than or equal to the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.*

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}| |x_j| \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2 \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^2 \right) = \left( \sum_{i,j} |a_{ij}|^2 \right) \|x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|} \leq \left( \sum_{i,j} |a_{ij}|^2 \right)^{1/2}$ , which is equivalent to  $\|A\| \leq \|A\|_2$ . □

## 2.2 Inner product

The inner product is a binary operator on two vectors  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . It satisfies the following conditions for all  $x, y, z \in V$  and  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ :

- It is linear in the first term.

$$\langle ax, y \rangle = a \langle x, y \rangle$$

$$\langle x + y, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle$$

- It becomes its complex conjugate when the arguments are reversed.

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}$$

- Inner product of a vector with itself is non-negative.

$$\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0. \text{ Here equality is achieved if and only if } x = 0.$$

For vectors on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , the inner product  $\langle x, y \rangle$  is defined as  $x^T \bar{y}$ , the vector multiplication of the transpose of the first term with the complex conjugate of the second term. This definition satisfies all the above mentioned conditions.

## 2.3 Adjoint

Let  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$ . The adjoint of matrix  $A$ , denoted by  $A^*$ , is the matrix that satisfies  $\langle A^*x, y \rangle = \langle x, Ay \rangle$ . The adjoint of a matrix,  $A^*$ , like  $A$ , represents a linear transformation on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

**Theorem 3.** *The adjoint of a matrix is obtained by taking the complex conjugate of every element, followed by transposing the matrix.*

*Proof.* Using properties of inner product on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle A^*x, y \rangle &= (A^*x)^T \bar{y} \\ &= x^T (A^*)^T \bar{y} \\ &= \langle x, Ay \rangle = x^T \overline{Ay}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(A^*)^T = \overline{A}$ , or  $A^* = (\overline{A})^T$ . □

*Remark.* The adjoint of the adjoint of a matrix is the original matrix itself,  $(A^*)^* = A$ .

**Theorem 4.**  $\|A\| = \|A^*\|$

*Proof.* Left to the reader. □

## 2.4 Positive Definite Matrix

A matrix  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$  that satisfies  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is called a *positive semidefinite matrix* and is denoted as  $A \geq 0$ . If the inequality is strict,  $\langle Ax, x \rangle > 0$ , then  $A$  is called a *positive definite matrix*, and it is denoted as  $A > 0$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$  be a positive semidefinite matrix. Then all eigenvalues of  $A$  are non-negative.*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  be the eigenvalues of  $A$ , and let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be the corresponding eigenvectors, that is:  $Ax_i = \lambda_i x_i$ .

For any eigenvector  $x_i$ , the inner product  $\langle Ax_i, x_i \rangle = \langle \lambda_i x_i, x_i \rangle$ . Since  $\lambda_i$  is a scalar, it can come out of the inner product, so  $\langle \lambda_i x_i, x_i \rangle = \lambda_i \langle x_i, x_i \rangle$ . We get

$$\lambda_i = \frac{\langle Ax_i, x_i \rangle}{\langle x_i, x_i \rangle}$$

Here  $\langle Ax_i, x_i \rangle$  is non-negative because  $A$  is positive semidefinite, and  $\langle x_i, x_i \rangle$  is positive by definition of inner product. Hence  $\lambda_i \geq 0$ ; all eigenvalues of  $A$  are non-negative. □

**Theorem 6.**  $A^*A$  is a positive semidefinite for all  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$ .

*Proof.*  $\langle A^*Ax, x \rangle = \langle Ax, Ax \rangle = \|Ax\|^2 \geq 0$  □

**Theorem 7.** *If  $A \leq B$ , (that is  $B - A$  is positive semidefinite), then  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \leq \langle Bx, x \rangle$  for all  $x$ .*

*Proof.*  $B - A$  is positive semidefinite, so  $\langle (B - A)x, x \rangle \geq 0$

$$\langle Bx, x \rangle - \langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$$

$$\langle Ax, x \rangle \leq \langle Bx, x \rangle \leq 0$$
 □

## 2.5 Unitary Matrices

A square matrix  $U$  that satisfies  $U^*U = I$  is called a unitary matrix.

**Theorem 8.** *The columns of a unitary matrix  $U$  form an orthonormal basis.*

*Proof.* Let  $u_i$  be the  $i^{\text{th}}$  column of  $U$ . Then  $U = [u_1 \ u_2 \ u_3 \ \cdots \ u_n]$  and  $U^* = \begin{bmatrix} u_1^* \\ u_2^* \\ u_3^* \\ \vdots \\ u_n^* \end{bmatrix}$ .

Using the fact that  $U$  is a unitary matrix,  $U^*U = I$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_1^* \\ u_2^* \\ u_3^* \\ \vdots \\ u_n^* \end{bmatrix} [u_1 \ u_2 \ u_3 \ \cdots \ u_n] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We see that  $u_i^*u_j = \langle u_i, u_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ . Here  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker Delta function which is defined as

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

Each column has norm 1 since  $\langle u_i, u_i \rangle = \|u_i\|^2 = 1$ , and any two columns are orthogonal  $\langle u_i, u_j \rangle = 0$ . Hence the column vectors  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  of a unitary matrix form an orthonormal basis.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.** *A unitary matrix preserves inner product:  $\langle Ux, Uy \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}_n$ .*

*Proof.*  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle Ix, y \rangle = \langle U^*Ux, y \rangle = \langle Ux, Uy \rangle$   $\square$

## 2.6 Trace

The trace of matrix  $A$  is the sum of the diagonal elements of the matrix.

$$\text{Tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle Ae_i, e_i \rangle$$

*Remark.* Here  $a_{ij}$  denotes the element in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row and  $j^{\text{th}}$  column.  $e_i$  denotes the  $i^{\text{th}}$  standard basis vector. Note that  $a_{ij} = \langle e_i, e_j \rangle$ .

**Theorem 10.** *The trace of  $A^*A$  is equal to the square of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of  $A$ .*

$$\text{Tr}(A^*A) = \|A\|_2^2$$

*Proof.* The sum of diagonal elements of  $A^*A$  is

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr}(A^*A) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle A^*Ae_i, e_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle Ae_i, Ae_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \|Ae_i\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ji}|^2 = \|A\|_2^2\end{aligned}$$

□

## 2.7 Hermitian Matrix

A matrix that satisfies  $A = A^*$  is called a Hermitian matrix (or a self-adjoint matrix).

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$  be a Hermitian matrix. Then all eigenvalues of  $A$  are real.*

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be an eigenvector of a matrix  $A$ , and let  $\lambda$  be the corresponding eigenvalue. Then  $Av = \lambda v$ .

$$\lambda \langle v, v \rangle = \langle \lambda v, v \rangle = \langle Av, v \rangle = \langle v, A^*v \rangle = \langle v, Av \rangle = \langle v, \lambda v \rangle = \bar{\lambda} \langle v, v \rangle$$

This implies  $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$  for all  $v$ . Hence,  $\lambda$  is real. All eigenvalues of a Hermitian matrix are real. □

**Theorem 12.** *Eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are distinct eigenvalues of  $A$ . Suppose the corresponding eigenvectors are  $u$  and  $v$  respectively. We have  $Au = \lambda u$  and  $Av = \mu v$ . Since  $A$  is Hermitian,

$$\lambda \langle u, v \rangle = \langle \lambda u, v \rangle = \langle Au, v \rangle = \langle u, Av \rangle = \langle u, \mu v \rangle = \mu \langle u, v \rangle$$

Since  $\lambda \neq \mu$ ,  $\langle u, v \rangle$  must be zero. Eigenvectors  $u$  and  $v$  are orthogonal. □

A diagonal matrix is a matrix whose non-diagonal entries are all zero.  $a_{ij} = 0$  if  $i \neq j$

**Theorem 13** (Spectral theorem). *For every Hermitian matrix  $A$ , there exists a unitary matrix  $U$  such that  $U^*AU$  is a diagonal matrix.*

*Proof.* The matrix  $U$  is a unitary matrix, which means  $U^*U = I$

Start with any eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$  of  $A$ . Let  $x_i$  be a unit eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_i$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{y \in \mathbb{C}^n, \langle y, x \rangle = 0\}$

$\langle Ay, x \rangle = \langle y, Ax \rangle = \lambda \langle y, x \rangle = 0$ .

□

**Theorem 14.** *If  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$  is Hermitian, then  $\langle Ax, x \rangle$  is real for all  $x$ .*

*Proof.*  $\langle Ax, x \rangle = \langle x, A^*x \rangle = \langle x, Ax \rangle = \overline{\langle Ax, x \rangle}$ .

□

The converse of this theorem is also true.

**Theorem 15.** If  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$  and  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , then  $A$  is a Hermitian matrix.

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $h, g \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Then

$$\langle A(h + \alpha g), h + \alpha g \rangle = \langle Ah, h \rangle + \alpha \langle Ag, h \rangle + \bar{\alpha} \langle Ah, g \rangle + |\alpha|^2 \langle Ag, g \rangle$$

The first and the last terms  $\langle Ah, h \rangle$  and  $|\alpha|^2 \langle Ag, g \rangle$  are both real. We now look at the sum of second and third terms:

$$\alpha \langle Ag, h \rangle + \bar{\alpha} \langle Ah, g \rangle = \bar{\alpha} \langle h, Ag \rangle + \alpha \langle g, Ah \rangle$$

We can substitute values of  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\alpha = i$  in this equation to get a system of linear equations.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Ag, h \rangle + \langle Ah, g \rangle &= \langle h, Ag \rangle + \langle g, Ah \rangle \\ i \langle Ag, h \rangle - i \langle Ah, g \rangle &= -i \langle h, Ag \rangle + i \langle g, Ah \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Solving this system gives us  $\langle Ag, h \rangle = \langle g, Ah \rangle$ , which is equal to  $\langle A^*g, h \rangle$ . Hence  $Ag = A^*g$  for all  $g$ , and  $A = A^*$ . We see that  $A$  is a Hermitian matrix.  $\square$

**Corollary.** Every positive semidefinite matrix  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$  is Hermitian.

*Proof.*  $A$  is a positive semidefinite matrix, so  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$ , so  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $x$ . By Theorem 15,  $A$  is Hermitian.  $\square$

**Theorem 16.** If  $A$  is Hermitian, then  $\|A\| = \sup_{\|h\|=1} |\langle Ah, h \rangle|$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Then for any  $g \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , we have  $|\langle x, g \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|g\|$ . (By Cauchy-Schwartz).

$$\text{So } \left| \left\langle x, \frac{g}{\|g\|} \right\rangle \right| \leq \|x\|$$

$$\text{So } \sup_{\|g\|=1} |\langle x, g \rangle| \leq \|x\| \text{ (Equality when } g = \frac{x}{\|x\|} \text{)}.$$

$$\|A\| = \sup_{\|h\|=1} \|Ah\|$$

$$= \sup_{\|h\|=1} \sup_{\|g\|=1} |\langle Ah, g \rangle|$$

If  $h, g \in \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\|h\| = \|g\|$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A(h \pm g), h \pm g \rangle &= \langle Ah, h \rangle \pm \langle Ah, g \rangle \pm \langle Ag, h \rangle + \langle Ag, g \rangle \\ &= \langle Ah, h \rangle \pm \langle Ah, g \rangle \pm \langle g, Ah \rangle + \langle Ag, g \rangle \\ &= \langle Ah, h \rangle \pm 2\text{Re}(\langle Ah, g \rangle) + \langle Ag, g \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 4\text{Re}(\langle Ah, g \rangle) &= \langle A(h + g), h + g \rangle - \langle A(h - g), h - g \rangle \\ &\leq |\langle A(h + g), h + g \rangle| + |\langle A(h - g), h - g \rangle| \\ &\leq M(\|h + g\|^2 + \|h - g\|^2) \\ &= 2M(\|h\|^2 + \|g\|^2) \\ &= 4M(\|h\| = \|g\| = 1) \end{aligned}$$

$\text{Re}(\langle Ah, g \rangle) \leq M$  for any  $h, g \in \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\|h\| = \|g\| = 1$ .

Now  $\langle Ah, g \rangle = e^{i\theta} |\langle Ah, g \rangle|$ , so  $\langle Ae^{-i\theta}, g \rangle = e^{-i\theta} \langle Ah, g \rangle = |\langle Ah, g \rangle|$ .

So  $\langle Ae^{-i\theta}, g \rangle = \text{Re}(\langle Ae^{-i\theta}, g \rangle) \leq M$

$|\langle Ah, g \rangle| \leq M$  for any  $\|h\| = \|g\| = 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary.** If  $\langle Ax, x \rangle = 0$  for all  $x$ , then  $A = 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\langle Ax, x \rangle = 0$  for all  $x$ , we have  $\sup |\langle Ax, x \rangle| = 0$ . By Theorem 16,  $\|A\| = 0$ . This is true only when  $A = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary.** If  $A \geq 0$ , then  $\|A\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \langle Ax, x \rangle$

*Proof.*  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \leq \|Ax\| \|x\| \leq \|A\| \|x\|^2$ . So  $\sup_{\|x\|=1} \langle Ax, x \rangle \leq \|A\|$   $\square$

**Theorem 17** (Courant-Fischer). Let  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n$  be a Hermitian matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ . Then

$$\lambda_k = \min_{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-k} \in \mathbb{C}^n} \max_{\substack{x \neq 0, x \in \mathbb{C}^n \\ x \perp \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-k}}} \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle x, x \rangle}$$

*Proof.* If  $x \neq 0$ , then

$$\frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle x, x \rangle} = \frac{\langle U \Lambda U^* x, x \rangle}{\langle U^* x, U^* x \rangle} = \frac{\langle \Lambda U^* x, U^* x \rangle}{\langle U^* x, U^* x \rangle}$$

and  $\{U^* x : x \neq 0\} = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n : x \neq 0\}$

Thus if  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-k}$  are given, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\substack{x \neq 0 \\ x \perp \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-k}}} \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle x, x \rangle} &= \sup_{\substack{y \neq 0 \\ y \perp U^* \omega_1, \dots, U^* \omega_{n-k}}} \frac{\langle \Lambda y, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle} \\ &= \sup_{\substack{\langle y, y \rangle = 1 \\ y \perp U^* \omega_1, \dots, U^* \omega_{n-k}}} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i |y_i| \\ &\geq \sup_{\substack{\langle y, y \rangle = 1 \\ y \perp U^* \omega_1, \dots, U^* \omega_{n-k} \\ y_1 = y_2 = \dots = y_{k-1} = 0}} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i |x_i|^2 \\ &= \sup_{\substack{\langle y, y \rangle = 1 \\ y \perp U^* \omega_1, \dots, U^* \omega_{n-k} \\ y_1 = y_2 = \dots = y_{k-1} = 0}} \sum_{i=k}^n \lambda_i |y_i|^2 \\ &\geq \lambda_k \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\omega_1 = x_n, \omega_2 = x_{n-1}, \dots, \omega_{n-k} = x_{k+1}$

If  $x \perp \omega_i$ , as above, then  $x = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i x_i$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Ax, x \rangle &= \left\langle A \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \lambda_i x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i |c_i|^2 \\ &\leq \lambda_k \sum_{i=1}^k |c_i|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

## 2.8 Projectors

A matrix  $P$  is a projector if  $P^2 = P$  and  $P^* = P$

**Theorem 18.** *There exists a subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that*

$$\begin{cases} Pm = m & \forall m \in M \\ Px = 0 & \forall x \in M^\perp \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $m \in \text{range}(P)$ , that is,  $m = Pv$  for some  $v$ . Then  $Pm = P^2v = Pv = m$ .  $Pm - m$  belongs to the null space of  $P$   $P(Pm - m) = P^2m - Pm = Pm - Pm = 0$   $\text{null}(P)$  is orthogonal to the  $\text{range}(P)$   $\square$

## 2.9 Shift Matrix

Let  $S$ , the *shift matrix*, be the  $n \times n$  square matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Remark.*  $S$  is a nilpotent matrix of order  $n$ , i.e.  $S^n$  is a zero matrix.

*Remark.*  $\|S\| < 1$

Related useful matrices:

$$S^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad S^*S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad I - SS^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The last one  $I - S^*S$  is a projector.

## 3 Schur-Cohn Theorem

### 3.1 Theorem

Given any polynomial

$$p(z) = a_0z^n + a_1z^{n-1} + a_2z^{n-2} + \cdots + a_{n-1}z + a_n$$

with complex coefficients, we are interested in finding how many of its roots lie within the unit circle and how many roots lie outside.

Without loss of generality, let  $a_0 = 1$  as it does not change the roots of the polynomial. Suppose  $p$  has roots  $\alpha_i$ . Then  $p(z) = (z - \alpha_1)(z - \alpha_2) \cdots (z - \alpha_n)$ .

Then  $p(S)$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_n & a_{n-1} & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_1 \\ 0 & a_n & a_{n-1} & \cdots & a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & a_n & \cdots & a_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_n \end{bmatrix}.$$



This can be factorized as

$$p(S) = (S - \alpha_1 I)(S - \alpha_2 I) \cdots (S - \alpha_n I)$$

Let  $B_j = S - \alpha_j I$ . Then  $p(S) = \prod_{j=1}^n B_j$

Next, define  $q$  to be the polynomial

$$q(z) = \overline{a_n} z^n + \overline{a_{n-1}} z^{n-1} + \cdots + \overline{a_0}$$

Note that its roots are  $\frac{1}{\alpha_i}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} q\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_i}\right) &= \frac{\overline{a_n}}{\alpha_i^n} + \frac{\overline{a_{n-1}}}{\alpha_i^{n-1}} + \cdots + \overline{a_0} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha_i^n} (\overline{a_n} + \overline{a_{n-1}} \alpha_i + \cdots + \overline{a_0} \alpha_i^n) \\ &= \frac{\overline{p(\alpha_i)}}{\alpha_i^n} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

We get  $q(z) = (1 - \overline{\alpha_1} z)(1 - \overline{\alpha_2} z) \cdots (1 - \overline{\alpha_n} z)$ . Then  $q(S)$  can be factorized as

$$q(S) = (I - \overline{\alpha_1} S)(I - \overline{\alpha_2} S) \cdots (I - \overline{\alpha_n} S)$$

Let  $C_j = I - \overline{\alpha_j} S$ . Then  $q(S) = \prod_{j=1}^n C_j$ .

Let  $\underline{H}$  be the Hermitian form  $\|q(S)x\|^2 - \|p(S)x\|^2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|q(S)x\|^2 - \|p(S)x\|^2 &= \langle q(S)x, q(S)x \rangle - \langle p(S)x, p(S)x \rangle \\ &= \langle q^*(S)q(S)x, x \rangle - \langle p^*(S)p(S)x, x \rangle \\ &= \langle (q^*(S)q(S) - p^*(S)p(S))x, x \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The  $n \times n$  matrix corresponding to this Hermitian form is  $H = q^*(S)q(S) - p^*(S)p(S)$ .

We now state the Schur-Cohn theorem:

**Theorem 19** (Schur-Cohn). *The polynomial  $p$ , it will have  $k$  roots inside the circle  $|z| = 1$ , and  $n - k$  roots outside the circle iff  $k$  eigenvalues of  $H$  are positive and  $n - k$  are negative.*

### 3.2 Proof

We will first prove the Schur-Cohn theorem for  $n = 1$ , that is for linear polynomials. It will then be extended to polynomials of higher degrees with the help of the Spectral theorem and the Courant-Fischer theorem.

Let's write  $q(S)$  and  $p(S)$  as a product of the linear terms.

$$q(S)^* q(S) - p(S)^* p(S) = (C_1 C_2 C_3 \cdots C_n)^* (C_1 C_2 C_3 \cdots C_n) - (B_1 B_2 B_3 \cdots B_n)^* (B_1 B_2 B_3 \cdots B_n)$$

For  $n = 1$ , this is equal to  $C_1^* C_1 - B_1^* B_1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} C_1^* C_1 - B_1^* B_1 &= (I - \overline{\alpha_1} S)^* (I - \overline{\alpha_1} S) - (S - \alpha_1 I)^* (S - \alpha_1 I) \\ &= (I - \alpha_1 S^*) (I - \overline{\alpha_1} S) - (S^* - \overline{\alpha_1} I) (S - \alpha_1 I) \\ &= (I - \alpha_1 S^* - \overline{\alpha_1} S + |\alpha_1|^2 S^* S) - (S^* S - \alpha_1 S^* - \overline{\alpha_1} S + |\alpha_1|^2 I) \\ &= I - |\alpha_1|^2 I - S^* S + |\alpha_1|^2 S^* S \\ &= (1 - |\alpha_1|^2) (I - S^* S) \end{aligned}$$

For  $n = 1$ ,  $I - S^*S$  is just a  $1 \times 1$  matrix, so  $H = [1 - |\alpha_1|^2]$ .

Note that  $I - S^*S$  is a positive definite matrix. If  $|\alpha_1| < 1$ , then the root of the linear polynomial lies within the unit circle. Also note that  $H$  has one negative eigenvalue. Similarly, if  $|\alpha_1| > 1$ , then the root of the linear polynomial lies outside the unit circle, and the eigenvalue of  $H$  is positive. This shows that the Schur-Cohn theorem is true for  $n = 1$ . We will now extend the proof for all  $n$ .

For general  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H &= q^*(S)q(S) - p^*(S)p(S) \\ &= (C_1 C_2 C_3 \dots C_n)^*(C_1 C_2 C_3 \dots C_n) - (B_1 B_2 B_3 \dots B_n)^*(B_1 B_2 B_3 \dots B_n) \\ &= (C_n^* \dots C_1^*)(C_1 \dots C_n) - (B_n^* \dots B_1^*)(B_1 \dots B_n) \end{aligned}$$

*Remark.*  $B_i$  commutes with  $C_j$ .

*Remark.*  $1 - S^*S = e_1 e_1^*$ .

*Remark.*  $C_j^* C_j - B_j^* B_j = (1 - |\alpha_j|^2)(1 - S^*S) = (1 - |\alpha_j|^2)e_1 e_1^*$

We can add and subtract terms to get a telescoping series:

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{aligned} & (C_n^* \dots C_2^*) C_1^* C_1 (C_2 \dots C_n) & - & (C_n^* \dots C_2^*) B_1^* B_1 (C_2 \dots C_n) \\ + & B_1^* (C_n^* \dots C_3^*) C_2^* C_2 (C_3 \dots C_n) B_1 & - & B_1^* (C_n^* \dots C_3^*) B_2^* B_2 (C_3 \dots C_n) B_1 \\ + & B_1^* B_2^* (C_n^* \dots C_4^*) C_3^* C_3 (C_4 \dots C_n) B_2 B_1 & - & B_1^* B_2^* (C_n^* \dots C_4^*) B_3^* B_3 (C_4 \dots C_n) B_2 B_1 \\ + & \vdots & - & \vdots \\ + & \vdots & - & \vdots \\ + & (B_1^* B_2^* \dots B_{n-1}^*) C_n^* C_n (B_{n-1} \dots B_2 B_1) & - & (B_1^* \dots B_{n-1}^*) B_n^* B_n (B_{n-1} \dots B_1) \end{aligned} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (B_1^* B_2^* \dots B_{j-1}^*) (C_n^* \dots C_{j+1}^*) (C_j^* C_j - B_j^* B_j) (C_{j+1} \dots C_n) (B_{j-1} \dots B_2 B_1) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (B_1^* B_2^* \dots B_{j-1}^*) (C_n^* \dots C_{j+1}^*) ((1 - |\alpha_j|^2) e_1 e_1^*) (C_{j+1} \dots C_n) (B_{j-1} \dots B_2 B_1) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - |\alpha_j|^2) v_j v_j^*, \text{ where } v_j = B_1^* \dots B_{j-1}^* C_{j+1}^* \dots C_n^* e_1. \end{aligned}$$

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & v_3 & \dots & v_n \end{bmatrix},$$

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - |\alpha_1|^2 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - |\alpha_2|^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 - |\alpha_3|^2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 - |\alpha_n|^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - |\alpha_j|^2) v_j v_j^* = V D V^*$$

$H$  is invertible  $\iff V$  is invertible.

Let  $D$  be positive definite.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle Hx, x \rangle &= \langle VDV^*x, x \rangle \\
 &= \langle DV^*x, V^*x \rangle \\
 &= \langle Dy, y \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - |\alpha_j|^2) |y_j|^2 > 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Converse: Let  $H$  be positive definite

$$D = V^{-1}H(V^*)^{-1} = (V^{-1}H(V^{-1})^*) \implies D \text{ is positive definite.}$$

Let the eigenvalues of  $H$  be  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ .

Let  $\lambda > 0$ . Then given any  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{n-k} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , we have some  $x \neq 0$  such that  $x \perp \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{n-k}$  and  $\langle Dx, x \rangle > 0$ .

$$\langle Hx, x \rangle = \langle VDV^*x, x \rangle = \langle DV^*x, V^*x \rangle$$

Let  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{n-k}$  be given. Let  $\langle y, \omega_i \rangle = 0 \forall i$ . Let  $x = V^*y$ .

$$\langle (V^*)^{-1}x, \omega_i \rangle = 0 \text{ or } \langle x, V^{-1}\omega_i \rangle = 0.$$

$x \perp$  to all of  $V^{-1}\omega_1, V^{-1}\omega_2, \dots, V^{-1}\omega_{n-k}$ .

So  $\langle Dx, x \rangle > 0$  or  $\langle V^{-1}HV^{*-1}x, x \rangle > 0$  or  $\langle Hy, y \rangle > 0$ .

### 3.3 Example

## 4 Extensions

### 4.1 Arbitrary radius

To find the number of roots of  $p(z)$  inside a circle of radius  $r$ , repeat the above Schur Cohn theorem but with the polynomial  $p(\frac{z}{r})$  instead.

### 4.2 Limitations

This method fails when the polynomial has one or more roots on the circle.

## 5 Conclusion

Thank You!

## 6 Acknowledgements

Prof. Tirthankar Bhattacharya

## 7 References