Computational Synergy in Holography: The Roles of PINNs and VQE in Verifying AdS/CFT

Pradhyumna Parthasarathy

October 2025

Abstract

The Anti-de Sitter/Conformal Field Theory (AdS/CFT) correspondence links a quantum field theory (the CFT boundary) to a theory of quantum gravity (the AdS bulk). This paper synthesizes the computational strategies—Analytical, Numerical, and Machine Learning (PINNs and VQE)—used to verify this conjecture. We clarify the role of the gauge group rank N and define the specific regimes where Machine Learning provides a critical advantage over traditional methods, particularly by offering a quantum-mechanically grounded verification for the geometric calculations performed by PINNs.

1 Context: The Challenge of the Holographic Duality

The duality is fundamentally a strong-weak duality: the easy-to-solve side is often the computationally intractable side, and vice versa. The gauge group rank N controls this dichotomy, dictating the necessity of a hybrid computational approach:

- Large N Limit (Classical AdS): When $N \to \infty$, quantum gravity effects ($\sim 1/N^2$) vanish, making the AdS side a simple problem in classical General Relativity, often solvable via Partial Differential Equations (PDEs).
- Intractable CFT: This same large N limit makes the CFT side computationally impossible for classical computers, as the Hilbert space scales exponentially $(\mathcal{H} \sim 2^N)$.

Our entire strategy is designed to bridge this gap, using PINNs to robustly solve the difficult geometry on the AdS side and VQE to gain the **Quantum Advantage** required to solve the CFT side at large N.

2 The Classical Side: PINN's Robustness in Geometry

The core calculation is finding the minimal surface area, which relates to the boundary entanglement entropy via the Ryu-Takayanagi formula:

$$S_{\text{boundary}} = \frac{\text{Area}(M)}{4G_N}$$

2.1 PINN vs. Traditional Numerical Methods

The Physics-Informed Neural Network (PINN) provides geometric robustness where traditional numerical methods fail:

- 1. **Mesh-Free Advantage:** PINNs are robustly mesh-free, avoiding the time-consuming and often failure-prone task of creating a custom computational grid (mesh) to conform to arbitrary boundary shapes (e.g., ellipses in AdS4).
- 2. Complex Metrics: PINNs are more stable when solving the PDEs in complex gravitational backgrounds, such as those with black hole metrics, where traditional solvers often encounter numerical instability.

3 The Quantum Side: VQE's Quantum Advantage

The Variational Quantum Eigensolver (VQE) provides the quantum advantage by offering the only known polynomial-time pathway to find the ground state energy E_0 (which dictates S_A) of the large-N Hamiltonian.

3.1 VQE's Advantage: Overcoming the 2^N Wall

VQE is crucial because it transforms the exponential problem into a tractable, polynomial-time hybrid process:

- Memory Bypass: The 2^N complex numbers defining the quantum state are physically encoded in only N qubits, bypassing the classical memory wall.
- Time Efficiency: The centuries-long task of classically diagonalizing the $2^N \times 2^N$ Hamiltonian is replaced by a polynomial number of variational measurements and classical optimization steps.

4 The Quantum Side: VQE's Quantum Advantage and Holographic Minimization

The Variational Quantum Eigensolver (VQE) provides the Quantum Advantage by offering the only known polynomial-time pathway to find the ground state energy E_0 (which dictates S_{boundary}) of the large-N Hamiltonian.

4.1 The VQE Process: Step-by-Step

- 1. **Problem Definition:** The complex CFT Hamiltonian H is expressed as a sum of measurable Pauli strings (e.g., Z_1Z_2, X_1). This Hamiltonian is the cost operator whose expectation value $\langle H \rangle$ must be minimized.
- 2. **Ansatz Design:** A parameterized quantum circuit (PQC), $|\psi(\theta)\rangle$, is designed. Its structure (e.g., MERA-inspired or hardware-efficient) is chosen to efficiently prepare the target state.
- 3. Initialization: A classical computer selects initial random values θ_{init} for the ansatz parameters.
- 4. **Quantum Measurement:** The quantum device executes the circuit and measures the expectation value:

$$C(\theta_k) = \langle \psi(\theta_k) | H | \psi(\theta_k) \rangle.$$

- 5. Classical Optimization: The optimizer (e.g., COBYLA, SLSQP, or a Kolmogorov–Arnold Network) updates parameters θ_{k+1} to reduce $C(\theta_k)$.
- 6. Convergence: Repeat until convergence to E_{\min} , the approximated ground state energy of the CFT boundary.

4.2 The SYK Model and the AdS2 Duality

The Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model is the critical CFT toy model used for this verification:

- Theory of the Duality: SYK, a quantum mechanical system of N randomly coupled Majorana fermions, is dual to AdS2 gravity (specifically, Jackiw-Teitelboim gravity). This provides a simplified, tractable (yet still exponentially complex) setting to test the full AdS/CFT structure.
- Holographic Goal: Finding the SYK model's ground state energy (E_0) is equivalent to finding the minimal entanglement state of the boundary CFT. By the Ryu-Takayanagi principle, this state corresponds precisely to the minimal surface (the area of the wormhole throat) in the dual AdS2 bulk.

4.3 The VQE Process: Step-by-Step Minimization of Entanglement

The VQE process is a hybrid quantum-classical feedback loop designed to iteratively find the CFT state with the minimal entanglement entropy.

- 1. **Problem Definition:** The SYK Hamiltonian H is translated into a sum of measurable Pauli strings (the Cost Operator).
- 2. **Ansatz Design:** A parameterized quantum circuit $(|\psi(\theta)\rangle)$ is designed to prepare the trial state efficiently.
- 3. Quantum Measurement: The quantum device measures the cost, $Cost(\theta_k) = \langle \psi(\theta_k) | H | \psi(\theta_k) \rangle$.
- 4. Classical Optimization: A classical optimizer updates parameters θ_{k+1} to minimize the measured cost.
- 5. Convergence: The process repeats until the energy converges to the minimum E_{\min} , which is the approximated ground state energy (minimal entanglement state).

4.4 Classical Machine Learning Support: Robust Optimization

Classical ML (e.g., a KAN) is used to replace or augment the classical optimizer in VQE. This enhances robustness by learning the high-dimensional energy landscape, thereby preventing the VQE from becoming trapped in sub-optimal local minima.

5 The Three-Phase Research Strategy: Step-by-Step Verification

This strategy links all methods, ensuring that each step builds confidence in the overall verification.

- 1. Phase I: Classical Ground Truth (Analytical Verification): PINN results for simple, known geometries (e.g., AdS3) are first verified against the well-established analytical CFT formulas (e.g., Calabrese-Cardy). This establishes PINN's accuracy in the non-holographic limit.
- 2. Phase II: Discovery (PINN Utility): The PINN is then applied to complex, non-symmetric geometries (e.g., the AdS4 ellipse) where the CFT answer is unknown. The result is a bold, geometrically-derived prediction based on the assumption of the duality.
- 3. Phase III: Quantum Validation (VQE Utility and Quantum Advantage): VQE provides the final, essential verification. By calculating the large-N entanglement entropy S_A from the fundamental CFT Hamiltonian, VQE offers the independent quantum ground truth. The agreement between the VQE result and the PINN's geometric prediction constitutes the strongest experimental verification of the holographic principle.

6 Summary of Computational Methods

tabularx

Method Nature of Solution Primary Advantage Example Role in Duality

Analytical Exact Symbolic Formula Perfect precision and physical insight. Calabrese-Cardy formula $(N \to \infty)$, weak coupling).

Numerical Approximate Value (Discrete) Wide applicability to complex problems. Exact Diagonalization of small N Hamiltonians.

Machine Learning (PINN) Function Approximation (Classical) Robustness to geometric complexity and arbitrary inputs. Solving the Minimal Area PDE for non-symmetric AdS boundaries.

Machine Learning (VQE) Variational Minimization (Quantum) Overcomes the exponential 2^N scaling (Quantum Advantage). Calculating exact S_A for large N CFT systems (like SYK).