### The Refined Hybrid Quantum-Classical Search Framework

Framework Description (Integrated with GMC and Harper-Xu-Wang 2025)

October 2025

#### Abstract

This document outlines the coherent, step-by-step process of the hybrid quantum-classical search pipeline. The framework's goal is to reliably engineer a self-adjoint physical Hamiltonian whose spectrum equals the nontrivial zeros of an L-function. The key refinement is the integration of \*\*Gaussian Multiplicative Chaos (GMC)\*\* for statistical rigor and the \*\*Harper-Xu-Wang (HXW) 2025\*\* results for advanced validation, leading to a \*\*"physically grounded, fractal-chaos-driven discovery process"\*\*.

### 1 Framework Overview: Why This is Transformative

The primary motivation is to achieve a \*\*physical prime oracle\*\*.

- Direct Zeros Measurement (Why): Finding such a Hamiltonian means its eigenvalues are the zeros. Quantum Phase Estimation (QPE) or high-resolution spectroscopy on this system provides direct, physical access to the zeros without requiring numerical evaluation of the L-function.
- **Prime-Counting (How):** Once the zeros are measured, they are substituted into explicit trace/Weil formulas to reconstruct prime-counting data, such as  $\pi(x)$ .
- Hilbert-Pólya (Why): A successful demonstration would constitute a Hilbert-Pólya style demonstration, yielding deep conceptual payoff beyond mere computation.

# 2 The Refined Hybrid Pipeline Steps (KAN $\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow$ Validation)

The pipeline, summarized as KAN  $\rightarrow$  VQE  $\rightarrow$  Krylov/SBQD  $\rightarrow$  FFT  $\rightarrow$  QPE/Lindbladian  $\rightarrow$  Validation, is detailed below with the integrated concepts.

### 2.1 Step 1: KAN (Koopman-Active Network) and GMC Pretraining

- How: The KAN acts as an intelligent classical surrogate model to explore the vast space of parametric Hamiltonians. It is specifically suggested to \*\*Pretrain with synthetic GMC data\*\*.
- Why: This step encodes the required \*\*fractal correlations\*\* into the initial Hamiltonian parameters. Since the zeros are known to follow Gaussian Multiplicative Chaos (GMC) statistics, starting the search with a model already exhibiting these log-correlated statistics (covariance  $\mathbb{E}[(V(x)-V(y))^2] \sim -\log|x-y|$ ) dramatically constrains and accelerates the search toward physically meaningful candidates.

## 2.2 Step 2: VQE (Variational Quantum Eigensolver) with GMC Regularization

• How: The VQE optimizes the Hamiltonian parameters (sampled from the KAN). The critical addition is the cost function: it must \*\*Optimize both eigenvalue alignment and a GMC statistical regularizer\*\*.

• Why: Optimizing only for individual eigenvalues is insufficient, as many unrelated systems share single eigenvalues. The \*\*GMC-regularized cost term\*\* rigorously constrains the search to Hamiltonians whose spectral density statistics (log-covariance) match the -log|x-y| form. This ensures the resulting model is statistically equivalent to the Riemann system.

### 2.3 Step 3 & 4: Coarse & Fine Spectral Extraction

### • Krylov/FFT (Coarse):

- How: The \*\*Krylov subspace construction\*\* (or techniques like Spectral-Bundle-Diffusion (SBQD)) and \*\*Fast Fourier Transform (FFT)\*\* are used to estimate coarse spectra and the log-correlation structure.
- Why: These classical/near-term quantum methods provide an initial, fast spectral estimate, allowing the optimizer to compute the GMC regularizer gradient and rapidly refine the parameters before moving to resource-intensive quantum steps.

### • QPE/Lindbladian (Fine):

- **How:** \*\*Quantum Phase Estimation (QPE)\*\* or the alternative \*\*Lindbladian Spectral Filtering\*\* technique is used to perform fine, high-precision spectral extraction.
- Why: While QPE offers high resolution, the Lindbladian approach  $(\mathcal{L}_H(\rho) = H\rho H \frac{1}{2}\{H^2, \rho\})$  offers a spectral decomposition with potential resource advantages when coherence time is limited, serving as a robust, open-system alternative for extracting the eigenvalues (zeros).

### 2.4 Step 5: Refined Prime-Counting Validation (Harper-Xu-Wang 2025)

- How: The final validation step \*\*compares the measured zeros with Harper-Xu-Wang prime-counting predictions\*\*. This involves replacing the classical explicit formula with the HXW short-interval model for evaluating residuals.
- Why: The HXW 2025 results provide a more precise and sensitive metric for prime-counting statistics over short intervals. Since a quantum computer can only measure a small number of zeros (e.g., the first 20-50) with high precision, the HXW model serves as a \*\*superior, high-sensitivity validation benchmark\*\* compared to the classical explicit formula, which converges slowly and requires a massive number of zeros for accurate results.