



Message Integrity, Cryptographic Hash Functions and Digital Signatures: Part 2

Gaurav S. Kasbekar

Dept. of Electrical Engineering

IIT Bombay

NPTTEL

References

- J. Kurose, K. Ross, “*Computer Networking: A Top Down Approach*”, Sixth Edition, Pearson Education, 2013
- C. Kaufman, R. Perlman, M. Speciner, “*Network Security: Private Communication in a Public World*”, Pearson Education, 2nd edition, 2002
- A. Tanenbaum, D. Wetherall, “*Computer Networks*”, Fifth Edition, Pearson Education, 2012



Some Properties of Cryptographic Hash Functions

NPTEL

Simple Model for Cryptographic Hash Function Output

- A cryptographic hash function should appear to be a completely random mapping from input to output
 - ❑ similar to a symmetric-key encryption algorithm
- For a crypt. hash function that produces an l bit output, “completely random” means the following:
 - ❑ for a given input, output selected from among the 2^l possible outputs uniformly at random
 - ❑ for a different input, output *independently* selected uniformly at random from the 2^l possible outputs
 - ❑ and so on
- Reason for requiring mapping to appear completely random:
 - ❑ otherwise it may be possible to efficiently find an input that results in a pre-specified output or to find two different inputs that result in the same output
- Hence, a simple model for a crypt. hash function with a l bit output is to assume that:
 - ❑ for any given input, the output is selected uniformly at random from the 2^l possible outputs

What Should the Number of Output Bits l Be?

- Recall: for achieving message integrity:
 - ❑ it should be computationally infeasible to find a message m that has a pre-specified hash value h , i.e., m such that $H(m) = h$
- Suppose intruder finds the hash values of different random inputs until he/ she finds an input that has hash value h
- How many inputs must intruder try, to find an input with hash value h with high probability?
- If intruder tries n different inputs, expected number of inputs whose hash value equals h is:
 - ❑ $\frac{n}{2^l}$
 - ❑ **Proof:**
 - ❑ Let $X_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i\text{'th input has hash value } h, \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$
 - ❑ Let $Y = X_1 + \dots + X_n$
 - ❑ Then $E(Y) = nE(X_1) = \frac{n}{2^l}$
- So number of inputs that must be tried, on average, until success:
 - ❑ $n = 2^l$
- Hence, larger the value of l , more difficult it is for intruder
- E.g.:
 - ❑ if $l = 64$, then intruder must try $2^{64} \approx 1.8 \times 10^{19}$ inputs, which is computationally infeasible
 - ❑ if $l = 32$, then intruder must try $2^{32} \approx 4.3 \times 10^9$ inputs, which is feasible
- Above analysis suggests that a value of $l = 64$ is adequate for achieving message integrity

What Should the Number of Output Bits l Be? (contd.)

- Recall: above analysis suggests that a value of $l = 64$ is adequate for achieving message integrity
- However, for some applications, we require the following stronger property:
 - it is computationally infeasible to find two messages m and m' such that $H(m') = H(m)$
- Later, we will discuss an example of such an application
- Suppose we find the hash values of n inputs
- Hash value of each input selected uniformly at random from the 2^l possible outputs
- Let $p = P(\text{two different inputs } m \text{ and } m' \text{ have the same hash value, i.e., } H(m') = H(m))$
- How large must n be such that $p \geq \frac{1}{2}$?
- **Exercise:** For n larger than $\approx 2^{l/2}$, $p \geq \frac{1}{2}$
- That is, *if we find the hash values of $n \approx 2^{l/2}$ different inputs, then with a high probability ($p \geq \frac{1}{2}$), we will find two inputs with the same hash value*

What Should the Number of Output Bits l Be? (contd.)

- Recall: if we find the hash values of $n \approx 2^{l/2}$ different inputs, then with a high probability $\left(p \geq \frac{1}{2}\right)$, we will find two inputs with the same hash value
- E.g.:
 - ❑ if $l = 64$, then intruder must try $2^{32} \approx 4.3 \times 10^9$ inputs, which is feasible
 - ❑ if $l = 128$, then intruder must try $2^{64} \approx 1.8 \times 10^{19}$ inputs, which is computationally infeasible
- So in applications where we require the property:
 - it is computationally infeasible to find two messages m and m' such that $H(m') = H(m)$,
a value of $l = 64$ is *not* adequate
- Hence, a value of $l = 128$ or larger is used

What Should the Number of Output Bits l Be? (contd.)

- Recall: $p = P(\text{out of } n \text{ inputs, two different inputs } m \text{ and } m' \text{ have the same hash value, i.e., } H(m') = H(m))$
- p can be found as in the solution to “*The Birthday Problem*”:
 - ❑ Out of a set of n randomly chosen people, what is the probability that two of them have the same birthday?
- Hence, an attack on a cryptographic hash function, in which intruder tries n inputs to find two different inputs with the same hash value is called the “*The Birthday Attack*”

Example of The Birthday Attack

- Tom applies for a tenured faculty position
- Requests his department chairperson, Marilyn, who thinks highly of his work, for a letter of recommendation
- Marilyn outlines the contents of the letter to her secretary, Ellen, and asks her to compose the letter
 - After Marilyn's approval, Ellen will send the letter itself to the Dean and Marilyn will compute and email the 64 –bit hash value of the letter to the Dean for verification
- However, Ellen has a grudge against Tom and wants to damage his application
- So she creates two sets of 2^{32} letters each, one set providing a positive recommendation and the other set providing a negative recommendation

Example of The Birthday Attack (contd.)

- Set of positive letters:

Dear Dean Smith,

This [letter | message] is to give my [honest | frank] opinion of Prof. Tom Wilson, who is [a candidate | up] for tenure [now | this year]. I have [known | worked with] Prof. Wilson for [about | almost] six years. He is an [outstanding | excellent] researcher of great [talent | ability] known [worldwide | internationally] for his [brilliant | creative] insights into [many | a wide variety of] [difficult | challenging] problems.

He is also a [highly | greatly] [respected | admired] [teacher | educator]. His students give his [classes | courses] [rave | spectacular] reviews. He is [our | the Department's] [most popular | best-loved] [teacher | instructor].

[In addition | Additionally] Prof. Wilson is a [gifted | effective] fund raiser. His [grants | contracts] have brought a [large | substantial] amount of money into [the | our] Department. [This money has | These funds have] [enabled | permitted] us to [pursue | carry out] many [special | important] programs, [such as | for example] your State 2000 program. Without these funds we would [be unable | not be able] to continue this program, which is so [important | essential] to both of us. I strongly urge you to grant him tenure.

Example of The Birthday Attack (contd.)

- Set of negative letters:

Dear Dean Smith,

This [letter | message] is to give my [honest | frank] opinion of Prof. Tom Wilson, who is [a candidate | up] for tenure [now | this year]. I have [known | worked with] Prof. Wilson for [about | almost] six years. He is a [poor | weak] researcher not well known in his [field | area]. His research [hardly ever | rarely] shows [insight in | understanding of] the [key | major] problems of [the | our] day.

Furthermore, he is not a [respected | admired] [teacher | educator]. His students give his [classes | courses] [poor | bad] reviews. He is [our | the Department's] least popular [teacher | instructor], known [mostly | primarily] within [the | our] Department for his [tendency | propensity] to [ridicule | embarrass] students [foolish | imprudent] enough to ask questions in his classes.

[In addition | Additionally] Tom is a [poor | marginal] fund raiser. His [grants | contracts] have brought only a [meager | insignificant] amount of money into [the | our] Department. Unless new [money is | funds are] quickly located, we may have to cancel some essential programs, such as your State 2000 program.

Unfortunately, under these [conditions | circumstances] I cannot in good [conscience | faith] recommend him to you for [tenure | a permanent position].

Example of The Birthday Attack (contd.)

- Recall: Ellen creates two sets of 2^{32} letters each, one set providing a positive recommendation and the other set providing a negative recommendation
- With a high probability, she finds one positive letter m and one negative letter m' with the same hash value, i.e., $H(m) = H(m')$
- Ellen emails the positive letter, m , to Marilyn for approval and the negative letter, m' , to the Dean
- Hash value verification by Dean succeeds since $H(m) = H(m')$
- **Note:** $P(\text{Ellen finds a positive letter and a negative letter with the same hash value})$:

$$\square \approx \frac{1}{4}$$