



## **S.B. JAIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT & RESEARCH, NAGPUR**

### **Practical 05**

**Aim:** Write a program to implement Shortest Job First (SJF) Preemptive Scheduling for three processes and calculate the total context switches and average waiting time. The processes have burst times 10ns, 20ns, and 30ns, arriving at 0ns, 2ns, and 6ns, respectively.

**Name:** Prasad Hore

**USN:** CM24034

**Semester / Year:** IV Semester / II Year

**Academic Session:** 2025 – 2026

**Date of Performance:** 10 / 02 / 26

**Date of Submission:** 17 / 02 / 26

❖ **Aim:** Write a program to implement Shortest Job First (SJF) Preemptive Scheduling for three processes and calculate the total context switches and average waiting time. The processes have burst times 10ns, 20ns, and 30ns, arriving at 0ns, 2ns, and 6ns, respectively.

❖ **Objectives:**

**Understand SJF Preemptive Scheduling:** Implement the **Shortest Job First (SJF) Preemptive Scheduling** algorithm to manage CPU execution efficiently.

**Calculate Context Switches:** Determine the total number of context switches required for the given set of processes.

**Evaluate Waiting Time:** Compute the **average waiting time** for all processes before getting CPU execution.

❖ **Requirements:**

✓ **Hardware Requirements:**

- Processor: Minimum 1 GHz
- RAM: 512 MB or higher
- Storage: 100 MB free space

✓ **Software Requirements:**

- Operating System: Linux/Unix-based
- Shell: Bash 4.0 or higher
- Text Editor: Nano, Vim, or any preferred editor

❖ **Theory:**

### **CPU Scheduling in Operating Systems**

#### **Introduction**

Scheduling is the method by which processes are given access to the CPU. Efficient scheduling is essential for optimal system performance and user experience. There are two primary types of CPU scheduling: **Preemptive Scheduling and Non-Preemptive Scheduling**.

Understanding the differences between these scheduling types helps in designing and choosing the right scheduling algorithms for different operating systems.

## **1. preemptive Scheduling**

In **Preemptive Scheduling**, the operating system can interrupt or preempt a running process to allocate CPU time to another process, typically based on priority or time-sharing policies. A process can be switched from the **running state to the ready state** at any time.

Algorithms Based on Preemptive Scheduling:

- **Round Robin (RR)**
- **Shortest Remaining Time First (SRTF)**
- **Priority Scheduling (Preemptive version)**

### **Example:**

In the following case, **P2** is preempted at time 1 due to the arrival of a higher-priority process.

Advantages of Preemptive Scheduling:

- ✓ Prevents a process from monopolizing the CPU, improving system reliability.
- ✓ Enhances **average response time**, making it beneficial for multi-programming environments.
- ✓ Used in modern operating systems like **Windows, Linux, and macOS**.

Disadvantages of Preemptive Scheduling:

- ✗ More complex to implement.
- ✗ Involves **overhead** for suspending a running process and switching contexts.
- ✗ **May cause starvation** if low-priority processes are frequently preempted.
- ✗ Can create **concurrency issues**, especially when accessing shared resources.

## **2. Non-Preemptive Scheduling**

In **Non-Preemptive Scheduling**, a running process cannot be interrupted by the operating system. It continues executing until it **terminates** or **enters a waiting state** voluntarily.

Algorithms Based on Non-Preemptive Scheduling:

- **First Come First Serve (FCFS)**
- **Shortest Job First (SJF - Non-Preemptive)**
- **Priority Scheduling (Non-Preemptive version)**

### **Example:**

Below is a **Gantt Chart** based on the **FCFS algorithm**, where each process executes fully before the next one starts.

Advantages of Non-Preemptive Scheduling:

- ✓ **Easy to implement** in an operating system (used in older versions like Windows 3.11 and early macOS).
- ✓ **Minimal scheduling overhead** due to fewer context switches.
- ✓ **Less computational resource usage**, making it more efficient for simpler systems.

### Disadvantages of Non-Preemptive Scheduling:

- ✗ **Risk of Denial of Service (DoS) attacks**, as a process can monopolize the CPU.
- ✗ **Poor response time**, especially in multi-user systems.

### 3. Differences Between Preemptive and Non-Preemptive Scheduling

Parameter	Preemptive Scheduling	Non-Preemptive Scheduling
<b>Basic Concept</b>	CPU time is allocated for a <b>limited time</b> .	CPU is held until process <b>terminates</b> or enters waiting state.
<b>Interrupts</b>	Process <b>can be interrupted</b> .	Process <b>cannot be interrupted</b> .
<b>Starvation</b>	Frequent high-priority processes may starve low-priority ones.	A long-running process can starve later-arriving shorter processes.
<b>Overhead</b>	Higher overhead due to frequent <b>context switching</b> .	Minimal overhead.
<b>Flexibility</b>	More flexible (critical processes get priority).	Rigid scheduling approach.
<b>Response Time</b>	Faster response time.	Slower response time.
<b>Process Control</b>	<b>OS has more control over</b> scheduling.	<b>OS has less control over</b> scheduling.
<b>Concurrency Issues</b>	<b>Higher</b> , as processes may be preempted during shared resource access.	<b>Lower</b> , as processes run to completion.
<b>Examples</b>	Round Robin, SRTF.	FCFS, Non-Preemptive SJF.

### 4. Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)

#### a. How is priority determined in Preemptive scheduling?

Ans: Preemptive scheduling systems assign priority based on **task importance, deadlines, or urgency**. Higher-priority tasks execute before lower-priority ones.

#### b. What happens in non-preemptive scheduling if a process does not yield the CPU?

Ans: If a process does not voluntarily yield the CPU, it can lead to **starvation or deadlock**, where other tasks are unable to execute.

c. Which scheduling method is better for real-time systems?

Ans: Preemptive scheduling is better for **real-time systems**, as it allows high-priority tasks to execute immediately.

❖ CODE

```
#include <stdio.h>
#define MAX_PROCESSES 4
// Process structure to hold the process details
typedef struct {
    int pid;          // Process ID
    int arrival_time; // Arrival time
    int burst_time;   // Total burst time
    int remaining_time; // Remaining burst time (for preemption)
} Process;
// Function to calculate waiting time for each process
void calculate_waiting_time(Process processes[], int n, int completion_time[], int waiting_time[])
{
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        waiting_time[i] = completion_time[i] - processes[i].arrival_time - processes[i].burst_time;
    }
}
// Function to calculate turnaround time for each process
void calculate_turnaround_time(Process processes[], int n, int completion_time[], int
    turnaround_time[]) {
    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        turnaround_time[i] = completion_time[i] - processes[i].arrival_time;
    }
}
// Function to find the process with the shortest remaining time
int find_shortest_process(Process processes[], int n, int current_time)
{
    int min_time = 999999; // Arbitrary large value to start with
    int min_index = -1;

    for (int i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        // Process should have arrived and not yet completed
        if (processes[i].arrival_time <= current_time && processes[i].remaining_time > 0) {
            if (processes[i].remaining_time < min_time) {
                min_time = processes[i].remaining_time;
                min_index = i;
            }
        }
    }
    return min_index; // Return the index of the process with the shortest remaining time
}
```

```
int main() {
    // Initializing processes with arrival time clashes and different burst times
    Process processes[MAX_PROCESSES] = {
        {1, 0, 10, 10}, // Process 1: Arrival 0ns, Burst 10ns
        {2, 2, 20, 20}, // Process 2: Arrival 2ns, Burst 20ns
        {3, 6, 30, 30}, // Process 3: Arrival 6ns, Burst 30ns
        {4, 2, 15, 15} // Process 4: Arrival 2ns, Burst 15ns (Arrival clash with Process 2)
    };

    int current_time = 0; // Start from 0ns
    int completed = 0; // To keep track of how many processes are completed
    int context_switches = 0; // To count the number of context switches

    // Arrays to store waiting time and turnaround time for each process
    int waiting_time[MAX_PROCESSES];
    int turnaround_time[MAX_PROCESSES];
    int completion_time[MAX_PROCESSES]; // To store when each process completes

    // Start the scheduling loop
    while (completed < MAX_PROCESSES)
        { printf("Time: %d ns\n", current_time);

        // Find the process with the shortest remaining time
        int process_index = find_shortest_process(processes, MAX_PROCESSES, current_time);

        // If there's a valid process to run
        if (process_index != -1) {
            Process *current_process = &processes[process_index];

            // Print the process currently selected for execution
            printf("Running Process %d (Remaining Time: %d ns, Burst Time: %d ns)\n",
                current_process->pid, current_process->remaining_time, current_process->burst_time);

            // Execute the process for one unit of time
            current_process->remaining_time--;

            // If process is finished, record the completion time
            if (current_process->remaining_time == 0) {
                completed++;
                completion_time[process_index] = current_time + 1; // Process completes at current_time + 1

                // Count a context switch when a process is completed
                if (completed > 1) {
                    context_switches++;
                }
            }
        }
    }
}
```

```
}

// Print the completion of the process
printf("Process %d completed at time %d ns\n", current_process->pid, current_time + 1);
}

}

// Increment time unit
current_time++;

// Print the comparison of remaining times and burst times at each step
printf("Remaining Times and Burst Times at time %d ns: ", current_time);
for (int i = 0; i < MAX_PROCESSES; i++) {
    printf("P%d: Rem=%d Burst=%d ", processes[i].pid, processes[i].remaining_time,
processes[i].burst_time);
}
printf("\n\n");
}

// Calculate waiting time and turnaround time
calculate_waiting_time(processes, MAX_PROCESSES, completion_time, waiting_time);
calculate_turnaround_time(processes, MAX_PROCESSES, completion_time, turnaround_time);

// Display the results
printf("Total Context Switches: %d\n", context_switches);
printf("Process-wise Waiting and Turnaround Times:\n");
for (int i = 0; i < MAX_PROCESSES; i++) {
    printf("Process %d -> Waiting Time: %d ns, Turnaround Time: %d ns\n",
processes[i].pid, waiting_time[i], turnaround_time[i]);
}

// Calculate and display the average waiting time
int total_waiting_time = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < MAX_PROCESSES; i++)
    { total_waiting_time += waiting_time[i];
}
printf("Average Waiting Time: %.2f ns\n", (float)total_waiting_time / MAX_PROCESSES);

return 0;
}
```

## ❖ Output:

**Programiz C Online Compiler**

```
main.c
84 -     if (current_process->remaining_time == 0) {
85 -         completed++;
86 -         completion_time[process_index] = current_time + 1;
87 -         printf("Process %d completed at %d ns\n",
88 -               current_process->pid,
89 -               current_time + 1);
90 -     }
91 -
92 -     prev_process = process_index;
93 -   }
94 -
95 -   current_time++;
96 -
97 -   printf("Remaining Times at %d ns: ", current_time);
98 -   for (int i = 0; i < MAX_PROCESSES; i++) {
99 -     printf("%d-%d", processes[i].pid, processes[i].remaining_time);
100 -   }
101 -   printf("\n");
102 - }

103 // Calculate results
104 calculate_waiting_time(processes, MAX_PROCESSES, completion_time, waiting_time);
105 calculate_turnaround_time(processes, MAX_PROCESSES, completion_time, turnaround_time);
106
107 printf("Total Context Switches: %d\n", context_switches);
108 printf("Process-wise Results:\n");
109
110 int total_waiting_time = 0;
111 for (int i = 0; i < MAX_PROCESSES; i++) {
112 -   printf("Process %d -> Waiting Time: %d ns, Turnaround Time: %d ns\n",
113 -         processes[i].pid,
114 -         waiting_time[i],
115 -         turnaround_time[i]);
116 -   total_waiting_time += waiting_time[i];
117 - }
118 }

119 printf("Average Waiting Time: %.2f ns\n",
120 -       (float)total_waiting_time / MAX_PROCESSES);
121
122
123 return 0;
124 }
```

**Output**

```
Time: 0 ns
Running Process 1 (Remaining: 10 ns)
Remaining Times at 1 ns: P1=9 P2=20 P3=30 P4=15

Time: 1 ns
Running Process 1 (Remaining: 9 ns)
Remaining Times at 2 ns: P1=8 P2=20 P3=30 P4=15

Time: 2 ns
Running Process 1 (Remaining: 8 ns)
Remaining Times at 3 ns: P1=7 P2=20 P3=30 P4=15

Time: 3 ns
Running Process 1 (Remaining: 7 ns)
Remaining Times at 4 ns: P1=6 P2=20 P3=30 P4=15

Time: 4 ns
Running Process 1 (Remaining: 6 ns)
Remaining Times at 5 ns: P1=5 P2=20 P3=30 P4=15

Time: 5 ns
Running Process 1 (Remaining: 5 ns)
Remaining Times at 6 ns: P1=4 P2=20 P3=30 P4=15

Time: 6 ns
Running Process 1 (Remaining: 4 ns)
Remaining Times at 7 ns: P1=3 P2=20 P3=30 P4=15

Time: 7 ns
Running Process 1 (Remaining: 3 ns)
Remaining Times at 8 ns: P1=2 P2=20 P3=30 P4=15

Time: 8 ns
Running Process 1 (Remaining: 2 ns)
Remaining Times at 9 ns: P1=1 P2=20 P3=30 P4=15

Time: 9 ns
Running Process 1 (Remaining: 1 ns)
Process 1 completed at 10 ns
Remaining Times at 10 ns: P1=0 P2=20 P3=30 P4=15

Time: 10 ns
```

**Programiz C Online Compiler**

```
main.c
84 -     if (current_process->remaining_time == 0) {
85 -         completed++;
86 -         completion_time[process_index] = current_time + 1;
87 -         printf("Process %d completed at %d ns\n",
88 -               current_process->pid,
89 -               current_time + 1);
90 -     }
91 -
92 -     prev_process = process_index;
93 -   }
94 -
95 -   current_time++;
96 -
97 -   printf("Remaining Times at %d ns: ", current_time);
98 -   for (int i = 0; i < MAX_PROCESSES; i++) {
99 -     printf("%d-%d", processes[i].pid, processes[i].remaining_time);
100 -   }
101 -   printf("\n");
102 - }

103 // Calculate results
104 calculate_waiting_time(processes, MAX_PROCESSES, completion_time, waiting_time);
105 calculate_turnaround_time(processes, MAX_PROCESSES, completion_time, turnaround_time);
106
107 printf("Total Context Switches: %d\n", context_switches);
108 printf("Process-wise Results:\n");
109
110 int total_waiting_time = 0;
111 for (int i = 0; i < MAX_PROCESSES; i++) {
112 -   printf("Process %d -> Waiting Time: %d ns, Turnaround Time: %d ns\n",
113 -         processes[i].pid,
114 -         waiting_time[i],
115 -         turnaround_time[i]);
116 -   total_waiting_time += waiting_time[i];
117 - }
118 }

119 printf("Average Waiting Time: %.2f ns\n",
120 -       (float)total_waiting_time / MAX_PROCESSES);
121
122
123 return 0;
124 }
```

**Output**

```
Time: 10 ns
Running Process 4 (Remaining: 15 ns)
Remaining Times at 11 ns: P1=0 P2=20 P3=30 P4=14

Time: 11 ns
Running Process 4 (Remaining: 14 ns)
Remaining Times at 12 ns: P1=0 P2=20 P3=30 P4=13

Time: 12 ns
Running Process 4 (Remaining: 13 ns)
Remaining Times at 13 ns: P1=0 P2=20 P3=30 P4=12

Time: 13 ns
Running Process 4 (Remaining: 12 ns)
Remaining Times at 14 ns: P1=0 P2=20 P3=30 P4=11

Time: 14 ns
Running Process 4 (Remaining: 11 ns)
Remaining Times at 15 ns: P1=0 P2=20 P3=30 P4=10

Time: 15 ns
Running Process 4 (Remaining: 10 ns)
Remaining Times at 16 ns: P1=0 P2=20 P3=30 P4=9

Time: 16 ns
Running Process 4 (Remaining: 9 ns)
Remaining Times at 17 ns: P1=0 P2=20 P3=30 P4=8

Time: 17 ns
Running Process 4 (Remaining: 8 ns)
Remaining Times at 18 ns: P1=0 P2=20 P3=30 P4=7

Time: 18 ns
Running Process 4 (Remaining: 7 ns)
Remaining Times at 19 ns: P1=0 P2=20 P3=30 P4=6

Time: 19 ns
Running Process 4 (Remaining: 6 ns)
Remaining Times at 20 ns: P1=0 P2=20 P3=30 P4=5

Time: 20 ns
Running Process 4 (Remaining: 5 ns)
```

```

main.c
...
84.     if (current_process->remaining_time == 0) {
85.         completed++;
86.         completion_time[process_index] = current_time + 1;
87.         printf("Process %d completed at %d ns\n",
88.               current_process->pid,
89.               current_time + 1);
90.     }
91.
92.     prev_process = process_index;
93.   }
94.
95.   current_time++;
96.
97.   printf("Remaining Times at %d ns: ", current_time);
98.   for (int i = 0; i < MAX_PROCESSES; i++) {
99.     printf("%d", processes[i].pid, processes[i].remaining_time);
100.   }
101.   printf("\n\n");
102. }

103. // Calculate results
104. calculate_waiting_time(processes, MAX_PROCESSES, completion_time, waiting_time);
105. calculate_turnaround_time(processes, MAX_PROCESSES, completion_time, turnaround_time);
106.
107. printf("Total Context Switches: %d\n", context_switches);
108. printf("Process-wise Results:\n");
109.

110. int total_waiting_time = 0;
111. for (int i = 0; i < MAX_PROCESSES; i++) {
112.   printf("Process %d -> Waiting Time: %d ns, Turnaround Time: %d ns\n",
113.         processes[i].pid,
114.         waiting_time[i],
115.         turnaround_time[i]);
116.   total_waiting_time += waiting_time[i];
117. }
118.

119. printf("Average Waiting Time: %.2f ns\n",
120.       (float)total_waiting_time / MAX_PROCESSES);
121.

122. return 0;
123. }

124. 
```

Output:

```

Time: 53 ns
Running Process 3 (Remaining: 22 ns)
Remaining Times at 54 ns: P1=0 P2=0 P3=21 P4=0

Time: 54 ns
Running Process 3 (Remaining: 21 ns)
Remaining Times at 55 ns: P1=0 P2=0 P3=20 P4=0

Time: 55 ns
Running Process 3 (Remaining: 20 ns)
Remaining Times at 56 ns: P1=0 P2=0 P3=19 P4=0

Time: 56 ns
Running Process 3 (Remaining: 19 ns)
Remaining Times at 57 ns: P1=0 P2=0 P3=18 P4=0

Time: 57 ns
Running Process 3 (Remaining: 18 ns)
Remaining Times at 58 ns: P1=0 P2=0 P3=17 P4=0

Time: 58 ns
Running Process 3 (Remaining: 17 ns)
Remaining Times at 59 ns: P1=0 P2=0 P3=16 P4=0

Time: 59 ns
Running Process 3 (Remaining: 16 ns)
Remaining Times at 60 ns: P1=0 P2=0 P3=15 P4=0

Time: 60 ns
Running Process 3 (Remaining: 15 ns)
Remaining Times at 61 ns: P1=0 P2=0 P3=14 P4=0

Time: 61 ns
Running Process 3 (Remaining: 14 ns)
Remaining Times at 62 ns: P1=0 P2=0 P3=13 P4=0

Time: 62 ns
Running Process 3 (Remaining: 13 ns)
Remaining Times at 63 ns: P1=0 P2=0 P3=12 P4=0

Time: 63 ns
Running Process 3 (Remaining: 12 ns)


```

```

Programiz C Online Compiler
...
84.     if (current_process->remaining_time == 0) {
85.         completed++;
86.         completion_time[process_index] = current_time + 1;
87.         printf("Process %d completed at %d ns\n",
88.               current_process->pid,
89.               current_time + 1);
90.     }
91.
92.     prev_process = process_index;
93.   }
94.
95.   current_time++;
96.
97.   printf("Remaining Times at %d ns: ", current_time);
98.   for (int i = 0; i < MAX_PROCESSES; i++) {
99.     printf("%d", processes[i].pid, processes[i].remaining_time);
100.   }
101.   printf("\n\n");
102. }

103. // Calculate results
104. calculate_waiting_time(processes, MAX_PROCESSES, completion_time, waiting_time);
105. calculate_turnaround_time(processes, MAX_PROCESSES, completion_time, turnaround_time);
106.
107. printf("Total Context Switches: %d\n", context_switches);
108. printf("Process-wise Results:\n");
109.

110. int total_waiting_time = 0;
111. for (int i = 0; i < MAX_PROCESSES; i++) {
112.   printf("Process %d -> Waiting Time: %d ns, Turnaround Time: %d ns\n",
113.         processes[i].pid,
114.         waiting_time[i],
115.         turnaround_time[i]);
116.   total_waiting_time += waiting_time[i];
117. }
118.

119. printf("Average Waiting Time: %.2f ns\n",
120.       (float)total_waiting_time / MAX_PROCESSES);
121.

122. return 0;
123. }

124. 
```

Output:

```

Running Process 3 (Remaining: 8 ns)
Remaining Times at 68 ns: P1=0 P2=0 P3=7 P4=0

Time: 68 ns
Running Process 3 (Remaining: 7 ns)
Remaining Times at 69 ns: P1=0 P2=0 P3=6 P4=0

Time: 69 ns
Running Process 3 (Remaining: 6 ns)
Remaining Times at 70 ns: P1=0 P2=0 P3=5 P4=0

Time: 70 ns
Running Process 3 (Remaining: 5 ns)
Remaining Times at 71 ns: P1=0 P2=0 P3=4 P4=0

Time: 71 ns
Running Process 3 (Remaining: 4 ns)
Remaining Times at 72 ns: P1=0 P2=0 P3=3 P4=0

Time: 72 ns
Running Process 3 (Remaining: 3 ns)
Remaining Times at 73 ns: P1=0 P2=0 P3=2 P4=0

Time: 73 ns
Running Process 3 (Remaining: 2 ns)
Remaining Times at 74 ns: P1=0 P2=0 P3=1 P4=0

Time: 74 ns
Running Process 3 (Remaining: 1 ns)
Process 3 completed at 75 ns
Remaining Times at 75 ns: P1=0 P2=0 P3=0 P4=0

Total Context Switches: 3
Process-wise Results:
Process 1 -> Waiting Time: 0 ns, Turnaround Time: 10 ns
Process 2 -> Waiting Time: 23 ns, Turnaround Time: 43 ns
Process 3 -> Waiting Time: 39 ns, Turnaround Time: 69 ns
Process 4 -> Waiting Time: 8 ns, Turnaround Time: 23 ns
Average Waiting Time: 17.50 ns

==== Code Execution Successful ====

```

**Conclusion:** Preemptive scheduling offers better responsiveness but adds complexity, while non-preemptive scheduling is simpler but may cause inefficiencies. The choice depends on system needs, with preemptive suited for multitasking and non-preemptive for low-overhead scenarios.

❖ **Discussion Questions:**

1. **What is the key difference between preemptive and non-preemptive scheduling?**
2. **Why does preemptive scheduling require context switching?**
3. **Which CPU scheduling algorithm is most suitable for real-time systems and why?**
4. **What is starvation in CPU scheduling, and how can it be prevented?**
5. **Why is the Round Robin scheduling algorithm preferred in time-sharing systems?**

❖ **References:**

<https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/preemptive-and-non-preemptive-scheduling/>

Date: 17 / 02 /2026

**Signature**

Course Coordinator  
B.Tech CSE(AIML)  
Sem: 4 / 2025-26