

PROJECT

GitHub Profile Review

PROJECT REVIEW

 CODE REVIEW NOTES

Requires Changes

1 SPECIFICATION REQUIRES REVISION

Stay Udacious!



JP

General



- Account has at least three projects
- Account shows knowledge about how to make incremental commits
- The commit graph shows many green squares for the last two weeks (indicating that commits have been pushed regularly)

Keep up that great [Github streak](#)! It's an excellent way to catch the attention of recruiters and [hiring managers](#).

Awesome to see that you've [contributed to open source](#) already. Making open source contributions is a great way to make a name for yourself in the community and to catch the eyes of [potential hiring managers](#). I encourage you to stay involved! Check out this list of [active projects as filtered by organization](#) and make a pull request for one that interests you.

Personal Profile



- GitHub username is professional
- Profile picture is a professional image of student
- Profile includes at least one up-to-date links for: 'URL' and/or 'Company' fields and/or 'Contact Email'
- Profile includes current location

Projects



- Last commit made matches the [Udacity Commit Message Style Guide](#) or the student has indicated that they are following another style guide

I see that you're not using the Udacity Commit Style Guide. This is okay, as long as you're consistently using another guide in order to create meaningful commits. I marked this as requires changes because it's unclear which style you're using. In the next submission, please let us know if you're choosing to use another guide, or make a commit using the [Udacity Commit Style Guide](#). (Old messages are almost impossible to change so don't worry about past commits. Focus on consistent guide usage from here on out.)

Over time, using a specific guide will build a uniform public activity log, making your commits easy to read and understand, as well as giving your profile an extra layer of professionalism. As a bonus, you'll [avoid this guy's experience](#).

Generally, using the Udacity guide means choosing a type category followed by a brief message. For example:

docs: update README.md

fix: name the bug fix

chore: update scope of functions

It should be <subject>:<what is done with subject>

<https://robots.thoughtbot.com/5-useful-tips-for-a-better-commit-message>

Another good reason to follow the Udacity commit style guide is that it allows you to later automatically generate CHANGELOG.md from your commit messages. An example of CHANGELOG [exporter script is available here](#). If interested, check out this blog for more information on [CHANGELOG](#).



- Projects have meaningful names

- Projects have meaningful descriptions
- Most recent three projects have a completed README

Projects have meaningful names, descriptions and complete READMEs.

A WORD ON FORKING

There are some discussions over the net deciding when to fork or clone a project (Google "fork or clone" for references). The final takeaway is that fork is better used when you wish to commit changes upstream to the original repository at some point, while clone is better when you want to use the repository as a base for your project. If you'd like to use an existing project as a base for a new repository then [duplicate the original](#) to make it its own project.

Udacity recently began a mentorship program. If you enjoyed this review and would like further guidance concerning the next steps in your career then feel free to create a 1:1 appointment with me at <https://calendly.com/career-coach/careers-jp/>

[Student FAQ](#)