


5th Edition

Elmasri / Navathe

# Chapter 4

Enhanced Entity-Relationship (EER) Modelingspecialization/generalization/Aggreg ation






# Subclasses and Superclasses (1)

- An entity type may have additional meaningful subgroupings of its entities
  - Example: EMPLOYEE may be further grouped into:
    - SECRETARY, ENGINEER, TECHNICIAN, ...
      - Based on the EMPLOYEE's Job
    - MANAGER
      - EMPLOYEEs who are managers
    - SALARIED\_EMPLOYEE, HOURLY\_EMPLOYEE
      - Based on the EMPLOYEE's method of pay
- EER diagrams extend ER diagrams to represent these additional subgroupings, called subclasses or subtypes

#### Subclasses and Superclasses



# Subclasses and Superclasses (2)

- Each of these subgroupings is a subset of EMPLOYEE entities
- Each is called a subclass of EMPLOYEE
- EMPLOYEE is the superclass for each of these subclasses
- These are called superclass/subclass relationships:
  - EMPLOYEE/SECRETARY
  - EMPLOYEE/TECHNICIAN
  - EMPLOYEE/MANAGER
  - · ...

# Subclasses and Superclasses (3)

- These are also called IS-A relationships
  - SECRETARY IS-A EMPLOYEE, TECHNICIAN IS-A EMPLOYEE, ....
- Note: An entity that is member of a subclass represents the same real-world entity as some member of the superclass:
  - The subclass member is the same entity in a distinct specific role
  - An entity cannot exist in the database merely by being a member of a subclass; it must also be a member of the superclass
  - A member of the superclass can be optionally included as a member of any number of its subclasses

# Subclasses and Superclasses (4)


#### Examples:

- A salaried employee who is also an engineer belongs to the two subclasses:
  - ENGINEER, and
  - SALARIED\_EMPLOYEE
- A salaried employee who is also an engineering manager belongs to the three subclasses:
  - MANAGER,
  - ENGINEER, and
  - SALARIED\_EMPLOYEE
- It is not necessary that every entity in a superclass be a member of some subclass

# Representing Specialization in EER Diagrams

#### Figure 4.4

EER diagram notation for an attribute-defined specialization on Job\_type.



# Attribute Inheritance in Superclass / Subclass Relationships

- An entity that is member of a subclass inherits
  - All attributes of the entity as a member of the superclass
  - All relationships of the entity as a member of the superclass

#### Example:

- In the previous slide, SECRETARY (as well as TECHNICIAN and ENGINEER) inherit the attributes Name, SSN, ..., from EMPLOYEE
- Every SECRETARY entity will have values for the inherited attributes


### Specialization (1)

- Specialization is the process of defining a set of subclasses of a superclass
- The set of subclasses is based upon some distinguishing characteristics of the entities in the superclass
  - Example: {SECRETARY, ENGINEER, TECHNICIAN} is a specialization of EMPLOYEE based upon job type.
    - May have several specializations of the same superclass

### Specialization (2)

- Example: Another specialization of EMPLOYEE based on method of pay is {SALARIED\_EMPLOYEE, HOURLY\_EMPLOYEE}.
  - Superclass/subclass relationships and specialization can be diagrammatically represented in EER diagrams
  - Attributes of a subclass are called specific or local attributes.
    - For example, the attribute TypingSpeed of SECRETARY
  - The subclass can also participate in specific relationship types.
    - For example, a relationship BELONGS\_TO of HOURLY\_EMPLOYEE

### Specialization (3)



#### Generalization

- Generalization is the reverse of the specialization process
- Several classes with common features are generalized into a superclass;
  - original classes become its subclasses
- Example: CAR, TRUCK generalized into VEHICLE;
  - both CAR, TRUCK become subclasses of the superclass VEHICLE.
  - We can view {CAR, TRUCK} as a specialization of VEHICLE
  - Alternatively, we can view VEHICLE as a generalization of CAR and TRUCK

#### Generalization (2)




Figure 4.3

Generalization. (a) Two entity types, CAR and TRUCK.

(b) Generalizing CAR and TRUCK into the superclass VEHICLE.

#### Generalization and Specialization (1)

- Diagrammatic notation are sometimes used to distinguish between generalization and specialization
  - Arrow pointing to the generalized superclass represents a generalization
  - Arrows pointing to the specialized subclasses represent a specialization
  - We do not use this notation because it is often subjective as to which process is more appropriate for a particular situation
  - We advocate not drawing any arrows


### Generalization and Specialization (2)

- Data Modeling with Specialization and Generalization
  - A superclass or subclass represents a collection (or set or grouping) of entities
  - It also represents a particular type of entity
  - Shown in rectangles in EER diagrams (as are entity types)
  - We can call all entity types (and their corresponding collections) classes, whether they are entity types, superclasses, or subclasses

# Displaying an attribute-defined specialization in EER diagrams

Figure 4.4

EER diagram notation for an attributedefined specialization on Job\_type.



## Aggregation

- In UML, there are two types of relationships: association and aggregation.
- Aggregation is meant to represent a relationship between a whole object and its component parts, and it has a distinct diagrammatic notation.
- we modeled the locations of a department and the single location of a project as aggregations.
- UML also distinguishes between unidirectional associations/aggregations—which are displayed with an arrow to indicate that only one direction for accessing related objects is needed—and bi-directional associations/aggregations—which are the default.
- Relationship (association) names are optional in UML, and relationship attributes are displayed in a box attached with a dashed line to the line representing the association/aggregation

# Aggregation

- Aggregation is an abstraction concept for building composite objects from their component objects.
- There are three cases where this concept can be related to the EER model.
- The first case is the situation where we aggregate attribute values of an object to form the whole object. The second case is when we represent an aggregation relationship as an ordinary relationship. The third case, which the EER model does not provide for explicitly, involves the possibility of combining objects that are related by a particular relationship instance into a higher-level aggregate object. This is sometimes useful when the higher-level aggregate object is itself to be related to another object.

## Example

- The class COMPANY is an aggregation of the attributes (or component objects) CName (company name) and CAddress (company address), whereas JOB\_APPLICANT is an aggregate of Ssn, Name, Address, and Phone.
- The relationship attributes ContactName and ContactPhone represent the name and phone number of the person in the company who is responsible for the interview.
- Suppose that some interviews result in job offers, while others do not. We would like to treat INTERVIEW as a class to associate it with JOB\_OFFER.
- One way to represent this situation is to create a higher-level aggregate class composed of COMPANY, JOB\_APPLICANT, and INTERVIEW and to relate this class to JOB\_OFFER