

Package caret

Package caret

- The caret package (short form for Classification And REgression Training) is a set of functions that attempt to streamline the process for creating predictive models. The package contains tools for:
 - **data splitting**
 - pre-processing
 - feature selection
 - model tuning using resampling
 - variable importance estimation
 - **model performance**
- For more information: <http://topepo.github.io/caret/index.html>



Data Splitting Based on Outcome

- The function `createDataPartition()` can be used to create balanced splits of the data.
- Syntax : `createDataPartition(y, p=0.5, list=TRUE,...)`

Where

- `y` : Vector of outcomes. In case if `y` is factor, then sampling is done within the levels of `y`. In case if `y` is numerical, then sample is split into groups based on percentiles and sampling is done within these groups
- `p` : Percentage of Data that goes to training
- `list` : Logical value indicating whether the results should be in a form of `list.TRUE` by default

Output of createDataPartition()

- The function `createDataPartition()` outputs the vector / list of the indices of the observations we want in the sample.
- For random number generation we need to set the seed for randomization with `set.seed()`, otherwise the seed will be taken as system time and we won't be able to have a uniformity across the different executions of the same program

Creating Partitions

```
set.seed(333)  
intrain <- createDataPartition(y=brupt$class,p=0.7,list = FALSE)
```

Resample1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
20

Setting of
Random Seed

A vector of the
indices of the
observations to be
included in the
sample

```
training <- brupt[intrain, ]  
validation <- brupt[-intrain, ]
```

Data
Partitioned

Example of createDataPartition()

```
> prop.table(table(training$Class))  
  
      B      NB  
0.4261364 0.5738636  
> prop.table(table(validation$Class))  
  
      B      NB  
0.4324324 0.5675676
```

- In the above program, observe that the proportions of the levels for the outcome variable `brupt$Class` have come out to be nearly equal.
- This is the benefit we get from `createDataPartition` function which we can't get with `sample()` function.

[illegible]

- When we are done with predicting the values using any algorithm, we find the accuracy of the results with functions `confusionMatrix()` and `postResample()`

Function confusionMatrix()

Syntax : `confusionMatrix(data, reference, dnn = c("Prediction",
"Actual"),...)`

Where

`data` : Predicted Values Vector in factor form or an object of class
table

`reference` : Actual Values Vector from validation set in factor form

`dnn` : labels for the table

Examples of Confusion Matrix creation

```
> confusionMatrix(PredY, validation[,7],dnn=list('predicted','actual'))
Confusion Matrix and Statistics
```

	actual	
predicted	B	NB
B	31	0
NB	1	42

```

          Accuracy : 0.9865
          95% CI   : (0.927, 0.9997)
    No Information Rate : 0.5676
    P-Value [Acc > NIR] : <2e-16

          Kappa : 0.9724
  Mcnemar's Test P-Value : 1

    Sensitivity : 0.9688
    Specificity : 1.0000
   Pos Pred Value : 1.0000
   Neg Pred Value : 0.9767
    Prevalence : 0.4324
    Detection Rate : 0.4189
    Detection Prevalence : 0.4189
     Balanced Accuracy : 0.9844

    'Positive' Class : B

```

Examples of Confusion Matrix creation

```
> tbl <- table(PredY, validation[,7],dnn=list('predicted','actual'))
> confusionMatrix(tbl)
Confusion Matrix and Statistics
```

	actual		
predicted	B	NB	
B	31	0	
NB	1	42	

```

          Accuracy : 0.9865
          95% CI   : (0.927, 0.9997)
 No Information Rate : 0.5676
 P-Value [Acc > NIR] : <2e-16

          Kappa : 0.9724
 Mcnemar's Test P-Value : 1

    Sensitivity : 0.9688
   Specificity : 1.0000
  Pos Pred Value : 1.0000
  Neg Pred Value : 0.9767
   Prevalence : 0.4324
  Detection Rate : 0.4189
 Detection Prevalence : 0.4189
  Balanced Accuracy : 0.9844

 'Positive' Class : B

```

Output of confusionMatrix()

Predicted	Reference	
	Event	No Event
Event	A	B
No Event	C	D

The formulas used here are:

$$\text{Sensitivity} = \frac{A}{A + C}$$

$$\text{Specificity} = \frac{D}{B + D}$$

$$\text{Prevalence} = \frac{A + C}{A + B + C + D}$$

$$\text{PPV} = \frac{\text{sensitivity} \times \text{prevalence}}{((\text{sensitivity} \times \text{prevalence}) + ((1 - \text{specificity}) \times (1 - \text{prevalence})))}$$

$$\text{NPV} = \frac{\text{specificity} \times (1 - \text{prevalence})}{((1 - \text{sensitivity}) \times \text{prevalence}) + ((\text{specificity}) \times (1 - \text{prevalence}))}$$

$$\text{Detection Rate} = \frac{A}{A + B + C + D}$$

$$\text{Detection Prevalence} = \frac{A + B}{A + B + C + D}$$

Function postResample()

Syntax : `postResample(pred, obs)`

Where

`pred` : Vector with predicted values

`obs` : Vector with existing values(from validation/test sets)

- This function calculates the performance across resamples
- Given two numeric vectors of data, the mean squared error and R-squared are calculated.
- For two factors, the overall agreement rate and Kappa are determined.

Examples of postResample()

```
> pred.demand
      7      8     11     13      19     20     24     31     33
88.34375 43.70175 43.70175 114.81818 88.34375 114.81818 43.70175 43.70175 88.34375
      34     40     44     51     52     53     54     60     61
114.81818 88.34375 43.70175 43.70175 43.70175 43.70175 88.34375 43.70175 88.34375
      63     78     84     86     93     95     98     101     102
88.34375 43.70175 88.34375 43.70175 43.70175 43.70175 88.34375 43.70175 43.70175
      103     104     109     114     119     120     121     122     123
88.34375 88.34375 43.70175 43.70175 88.34375 43.70175 43.70175 43.70175 43.70175
      125     128     135     140
114.81818 43.70175 43.70175 88.34375
> testing$Demand
[1] 64 47 36 107 81 102 43 43 104 97 97 38 53 53 48 88 46 58 69 24 74 46 35
[24] 40 69 42 49 104 127 42 31 97 51 46 52 48 133 42 47 92
```

```
> postResample(pred.demand, testing$Demand) # For numeric vectors
      RMSE  Rsquared
12.923683  0.789429
```

Levels: B NB

```
> postResample(PredY, validation[,7]) # For factor variables
Accuracy      Kappa
0.9864865 0.9723674
```