

ASSIGNMENT 2

The proposed voting system coupled with vote is as follows:

- (i) Each voter gives his/her top 3 preferences in order.
- (ii) Initially, the first preference is considered and if any candidate gets a majority then that candidate wins.
- (iii) In case of no majority by 1st preference, the top 2 candidates in terms of 1st preference are selected and preference order of voters are used to decide a winner between the 2.
- (iv) In case, top 2 candidates chosen in the above description are not in the top 3 preferences of a vote (assumption is that this case will be rare), then that vote is discarded/wasted.

JUSTIFICATION FOR USING THIS VOTING SYSTEM

- At the outset, we can see that this is a voting system that is a tradeoff between a situation where election results are more proportional to the votes and a situation where the voting system is feasible to be implemented in a country like India.

This voting system is certainly better than the plurality voting system as it allows voters to have an ordering of preference, and thus finally achieves a decent majority (generally $\sim 40-45\%$) and hence is more representative.

Given the fact that there are on average more than 6 or 7 candidates ~~per~~ ~~are~~ and a large voter density, we cannot afford to use a ~~more~~ voting system that gives a preference over all candidates.

- Given the fact that there are a lot of uneducated and illiterate voters, a complex voting system could become unfeasible.

- Somewhat identical voting system is used in the elections of Sri Lanka and France ~~are~~ which shows that this voting system is actually in use and could be used to gather data on how representative the winners are in those 2 countries.

- In Indian elections, most of the times the votes are divided majorly among 2 parties and therefore this voting system guarantees to be a good ~~app~~ representation of the voters' choice.

- This Voting system ~~also~~ gives deserved weightage to candidates who are 1st on many preferences and 2nd or 3rd on a large % of votes as well.

- Since Most votes are in general divided among 2 (at most 3) candidates, there are good odds that the percentage of votes that go wasted would be small.

SIMULATION OF THIS VS ON 2014 ELECTIONS

~~assumptions~~

- Firstly, we can see that 102 seats out of 543 were won by candidates with less than 40% of the votes. Ideally, we would like to reduce this number.

STATE OF ASSAM

- In Assam, BJP received 36.86% of the votes while INC received 29.9% of the votes in 2014.

- Assumption 1: Due to the general attitude of disappointment of public over the last congress government and the "rising" generated by Narendra Modi, I assume that 65% of voters prefer BJP over INC. ~~among those~~ among those who did not vote for either in 2014.

Simulated result:

BJP receive 58.466% of the votes.

Thus, we get a majority for BJP.

Assumption 2: We could assume that the voters who did not vote for INC or BJP would prefer either of them in the proportion of ~~existing~~ votes they received in that state.

Simulated result:

Preference of BJP over INC is 55.2%.

Hence BJP receive 55.2% of votes.

Hence BJP ~~will~~ get a majority.

• STATE OF HARYANA

In Haryana, BJP received 34.24% of votes and Indian National Lok Dal received 24.43% of votes.

Assumption 3: Voters who ~~is~~ voted for INC are generally going to prefer Indian National Lok Dal over BJP, because of the rivalry of BJP & INC. Thus, all votes for INC get transferred to Indian National Lok Dal.

~~Result~~ Simulated result:

Indian National Lok Dal receive $(24.43 + 22.99)\%$
 $= 47.42\%$.

Thus, Indian National Lok Dal would have won Haryana with an impressive 47.42% votes.

• STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

In Maharashtra, BJP received 27.56% votes.
Shivsena received 20.82% votes and INC
received 18.29% votes.

using Assumption 3 and dividing rest of
the votes according to Assumption 2, we get
the following result.

BJP receives: 41.34%
~~20.82 + 18.29 + 16.33~~ votes

Shivsena receives: $(20.82 + 18.29 + 16.33)\%$ votes
 $= 55.44\%$ votes.

Hence, ~~Shivsena~~ Shivsena would have won with
55.44% of the votes.

Thus, we see that this VS reduces the seats
decided by ~~the~~ winners getting ~~to~~ less than
40% of the votes.