Wholesale Price Index Analysis and Forecasting

Abstract

This report presents an analysis and forecasting of the Wholesale Price Index (WPI) from 1990 to 2024 using a dataset provided in tabular form. The study employs statistical and machine learning techniques to understand trends, stationarity, and future predictions of WPI. The methodology includes data preprocessing, exploratory analysis, and the application of models to forecast price fluctuations using a comparative analysis of ARMA (statistical), Random Forest, XGBoost (machine learning), and LSTM (deep learning) models. Leveraging a dataset of 35 annual observations, we assess model performance over the 2020-2024 test period using Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE). The results provide insights into WPI trends and predictive performance, contributing to economic planning and sustainability goals.

Introduction

From the statement, we understand that agricultural markets are influenced by multiple factors, including climate variability, supply chain disruptions, and economic conditions, which lead to unpredictable price fluctuations. Understanding and forecasting these price movements is crucial for farmers, traders, and policymakers to make informed decisions. While traditional models have been widely used for price prediction, they often struggle with capturing non-linear trends and sudden market shifts. With advancements in statistical and machine learning techniques, more sophisticated approaches can enhance forecasting accuracy.

However, in this study, we analyse the wholesale price index (WPI) of potatoes from 1990 to 2024 and find that the traditional **AutoRegressive Moving Average (ARMA) model** outperforms other predictive approaches. Despite the increasing interest in complex machine-learning models, ARMA proves to be the most effective in capturing price trends and fluctuations in our dataset. This highlights the continued relevance of classical time series models in agricultural price forecasting.

Objectives

- To perform an Exploratory Data Analysis(EDA) on the dataset so as to understand the data's structure and characteristics.
- To check if the time series is stationary or non-stationary in order to know if the statistical properties of the data remain constant over time.
- To develop models that can help predict future WPI values (like ARMA, XG Boost, LSTM, etc.)

- To make a comparison among different models to know which is the most efficient in performing its task.
- To understand what the models are implying and assess their significance in economic planning.

Methodology

1. Data Acquisition & Preprocessing

The dataset comprises the Wholesale Price Index (WPI) of potatoes from 1990 to 2024. Before modelling, necessary preprocessing steps were undertaken:

- **Handling Missing Values:** Checked for and addressed any missing or inconsistent data points.
- Outlier Detection: Identified outliers using Boxplot.

2. Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

To gain insights into the underlying patterns and volatility in the data, the following analyses were conducted:

- Summary Statistics: Checked the basic descriptive statistics of the data
- Stationarity Check: The Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test was used to determine stationarity; differencing was applied if required.
- Fourier Transform: Applied Fourier analysis to identify dominant frequency components in the time series and assess seasonal patterns.
- Line Plot: Plotted the WPI data over time to observe trends, seasonality, and anomalies.
- **Autocorrelation Analysis:** Examined Autocorrelation (ACF) and Partial Autocorrelation (PACF) plots to identify lag dependencies.
- Volatility Assessment: Measured fluctuations in WPI to understand price instability.
- Yearly Percentage Change: Calculated year-over-year variations to identify major price shifts.

3. Model Selection & Implementation

To forecast price fluctuations, a combination of statistical and machine-learning models were employed, each offering unique advantages:

- ARMA (AutoRegressive Moving Average): Captures linear dependencies in the time series by modelling both autoregressive and moving average components.
- Random Forest Regression: Uses an ensemble of decision trees to identify patterns and relationships in price movements.

- **XGBoost Regression:** A gradient boosting model designed to capture complex, non-linear price fluctuations effectively.
- LSTM (Long Short-Term Memory Networks): A deep learning model capable of learning long-term dependencies in sequential data, making it well-suited for time series forecasting.
- **Hybrid Model (ARMA + LSTM):** Combines the strengths of statistical and deep learning approaches to enhance predictive accuracy by leveraging both historical trends and sequential dependencies.

The dataset was split into training (1990-2019) and testing (2020-2024) sets for model validation, ensuring robust performance evaluation. Hyperparameter tuning was applied where necessary to enhance performance and accuracy.

4. Model Evaluation & Forecasting

The models were evaluated using **Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE)** to measure predictive accuracy. The model with the lowest RMSE was selected for final forecasting. Based on this, future price trends were predicted, providing valuable insights for risk assessment, policy planning, and market decision-making.

Result and Discussion

Exploratory Data Analysis Findings

1. Data Quality and Summary Statistics

- The dataset was thoroughly examined for completeness, and no missing values were found
- Outlier analysis did not reveal any extreme values significantly deviating from the distribution.
- The **mean WPI was 215.4**, providing a central measure of the price index over the years.

2. Seasonality and Trend Analysis

- Autocorrelation Function (ACF) and Fourier analysis were conducted to detect seasonality patterns.
- The results indicated **no significant recurring seasonal patterns**, suggesting that price fluctuations were not cyclical.

3. Volatility Analysis

- The dataset was assessed for **volatility clustering** using statistical tests.
- The findings showed **consistent price fluctuations over time**, meaning there were no prolonged periods of high or low volatility.

4. Stationarity Testing

- The Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test was applied to check for stationarity.
- Since our p < 0.05, the test results confirmed that the data was **already stationary**, removing the need for differencing before model implementation.

5. Model Parameter Selection

- Autocorrelation (ACF) and Partial Autocorrelation (PACF) plots were analysed to determine the appropriate lag values.
- These plots helped in selecting optimal parameters for the **ARMA model**, ensuring better predictive performance.

Model Performance

After implementing and evaluating multiple forecasting models, their performance was compared using **Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE)** to determine the most effective approach for predicting WPI fluctuations.

Model Performance Comparison

The RMSE values for the models were as follows:

ARMA: 27.96XGBoost: 28.92

• Random Forest: 31.78

• LSTM: 35.69

• Hybrid Model (ARMA + LSTM): 40.48

Each model was selected for the following reasons:

- **ARMA** was used as a benchmark statistical model, leveraging its strength in capturing linear dependencies and short-term correlations in time-series data.
- **XGBoost** was implemented to explore non-linear relationships and feature importance in price fluctuations using a gradient-boosting approach.
- Random Forest was chosen as another ensemble learning method to analyse how well tree-based models could capture complex patterns in WPI data.

- LSTM was applied to assess deep learning's ability to model sequential dependencies and long-term patterns.
- A hybrid Model (ARMA + LSTM) was tested to combine ARMA's statistical efficiency with LSTM's capacity to learn complex, long-range dependencies.

Among these models, **ARMA** achieved the lowest RMSE of **27.96**, indicating that it provided the most accurate forecasts for WPI fluctuations. While machine learning models like **XGBoost** and **Random Forest** also performed well, deep learning-based **LSTM** and the **hybrid model** (**ARMA + LSTM**) showed higher RMSE values, suggesting they struggled to capture patterns effectively in this dataset. The relatively poorer performance of the hybrid model indicates that ARMA and LSTM did not complement each other effectively in this case.

Conclusion

This study aimed to develop an accurate forecasting model for the Wholesale Price Index (WPI) of potatoes (1990-2024) by comparing statistical and machine learning approaches. Through exploratory data analysis (EDA), we identified key trends, stationarity, volatility, and price fluctuations. Various models, including **ARMA**, **XGBoost**, **Random Forest**, **LSTM**, and a **Hybrid ARMA** + **LSTM model**, were implemented and evaluated using **Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE)**.

Among the tested models, **ARMA achieved the lowest RMSE** (27.96), making it the most effective model for forecasting WPI fluctuations. While machine learning models like XGBoost and Random Forest also performed reasonably well, deep learning-based LSTM and the hybrid model struggled to capture price patterns effectively. These results suggest that traditional statistical models remain highly effective for time-series forecasting in agricultural price data.

Using the ARMA model, we forecasted WPI values for the next five years (2025-2029) to provide insights into expected price trends. However, since the dataset contained only 35 data points, the accuracy of the forecast was limited. The small dataset size impacted the model's ability to capture long-term trends effectively, leading to reduced predictive reliability. This highlights the challenges of time-series forecasting with limited historical data and suggests that incorporating additional data sources or external factors could improve future predictions.

How Our Forecasting Model Supports the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Our forecasting model contributes to multiple **Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)** by enhancing agricultural and economic resilience through data-driven decision-making.

SDG 1: No Poverty

Reliable predictions help farmers anticipate market trends, optimise planting cycles, and time their sales effectively. This improves income stability, reduces financial losses, and supports economic security in rural communities.

SDG 2: Zero Hunger

Accurate price forecasts help predict market fluctuations, allowing stakeholders to adjust supply chains proactively. This ensures food availability and price stability, reducing hunger risks for low-income households reliant on staple crops.

SDG 12: Responsible Consumption and Production

By aligning production with demand, price forecasting helps prevent overproduction and reduce waste. This promotes sustainable resource use in agriculture, enhances market efficiency, and supports long-term environmental and economic sustainability.

Code

Packages and Libraries

,,,,,

import pandas as pd import numpy as np import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import seaborn as sns

#ignore warnings import warnings warnings.filterwarnings("ignore")

import warnings
warnings.simplefilter("ignore", category=UserWarning)
from statsmodels.tools.sm_exceptions import ConvergenceWarning
warnings.simplefilter("ignore", category=ConvergenceWarning)

Time series analysis import statsmodels.api as sm from statsmodels.tsa.stattools import adfuller from statsmodels.tsa.arima.model import ARIMA from statsmodels.tsa.statespace.sarimax import SARIMAX from statsmodels.graphics.tsaplots import plot_acf, plot_pacf from scipy.signal import periodogram

Scikit-learn from sklearn.preprocessing import MinMaxScaler from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error

Machine learning models from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor from xgboost import XGBRegressor import xgboost as xgb

TensorFlow/Keras for LSTM import tensorflow as tf from tensorflow.keras.models import Sequential from tensorflow.keras.layers import LSTM, Dense, Dropout from tensorflow.keras.optimizers import Adam from tensorflow.keras.callbacks import EarlyStopping import itertools

#!pip install statsmodels xgboost scikit-learn

"""## **Reading Data**"""

```
data = '/content/WPI_Data.xlsx'

# Reading the xlsx sheet
df = pd.read_excel(data, sheet_name=1)
print("Data Shape:", df.shape)
print(df.head())
```

```
"""# **Exploratory Data Analysis**
### **WPI Over Time - Line Plot**
,,,,,,
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 5))
plt.plot(df["Year"], df["WPI"], marker="o", linestyle="-", color="b", label="WPI")
plt.xlabel("Year")
plt.ylabel("Wholesale Price Index (WPI)")
plt.title("WPI of Potatoes Over Time")
plt.legend()
plt.grid(True)
plt.show()
"""###**Summary Statistics**"""
summary stats = df["WPI"].describe()
print("Summary Statistics\n", summary_stats)
"""### **Missing Values**"""
missing values = df.isnull().sum()
print("\nMissing Values:\n", missing values)
"""### **Box Plot**"""
plt.figure(figsize=(8, 5))
sns.boxplot(x=df["WPI"], color="skyblue")
plt.title("Boxplot of WPI to Identify Outliers")
plt.show()
"""### **ACF and Fourier Analysis**"""
#ACF Analysis
```

```
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 5))
plot_acf(df['WPI'], lags=10)
plt.title("Autocorrelation Function (ACF) for WPI")
plt.xlabel('Lag')
plt.ylabel('ACF')
plt.show()
# Fourier Analysis
years = df['Year'].values
wpi values = df['WPI'].values
freqs, power = periodogram(wpi values, scaling='spectrum')
# Periodogram
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 5))
plt.plot(freqs, power, marker='o', linestyle='-')
plt.xlabel("Frequency (1/Years)")
plt.ylabel("Power Spectrum")
plt.title("Spectral Analysis (Fourier Transform) of WPI")
plt.xlim(0, 0.5)
plt.grid(True)
plt.show()
"""### **WPI Percentage Change**"""
# Year-over-year changes
yoy_change = df['WPI'].pct_change() * 100
# Plotting
plt.figure(figsize=(12, 6))
plt.bar(df.index[1:], yoy change[1:])
plt.title('Year-over-Year Percentage Change in WPI')
plt.xlabel('Year')
plt.ylabel('Percentage Change (%)')
```

```
plt.grid(True)
plt.axhline(y=0, color='r', linestyle='-')
plt.tight layout()
plt.show()
"""### **Volatility Analysis of WPI**"""
volatility = df['WPI'].rolling(window=5).std()
# Plotting
plt.figure(figsize=(12, 6))
plt.plot(df.index[4:], volatility[4:])
plt.xlabel('Year', fontsize = 14)
plt.ylabel('Standard Deviation', fontsize = 14)
plt.grid(True)
plt.tight layout()
plt.show()
"""### **ADF (Augmented Dickey Fuller Test)**"""
# ADF test
result = adfuller(df['WPI'])
print('ADF Statistic:', result[0])
print('p-value:', result[1])
print('Critical Values:', result[4])
# Stationarity check
if result[1] \leq 0.05:
  print("Data is stationary")
else:
  print("Data is non-stationary")
"""## **Analysis and Modelling**
```

```
### **Splitting Data**
df.set index('Year', inplace=True)
train data = df['WPI'][:28] # First 28 years for training
test data = df['WPI'][28:] # Remaining 7 years for testing
# Scale
scaler = MinMaxScaler()
train scaled = scaler.fit transform(train_data.values.reshape(-1, 1))
test scaled = scaler.transform(test data.values.reshape(-1, 1))
"""### **ACF and PACF**"""
plt.figure(figsize=(12,5))
plot acf(df["WPI"].dropna(), lags=10)
plt.xlabel('Lag')
plt.ylabel('ACF')
plot pacf(df["WPI"].dropna(), lags=10)
plt.xlabel('Lag')
plt.ylabel('PACF')
plt.show()
print(df.shape)
"""### **Best ARMA order**"""
p values = range(0, 10)
d values = [0] # since it is stationary
q values = range(0, 9)
pdq_combinations = list(itertools.product(p_values, d_values, q_values))
best rmse = float('inf')
```

```
best aicc = float('inf')
best order = None
results = []
# evaluate model
for order in pdq combinations:
  try:
     model = sm.tsa.ARIMA(train data, order=order).fit()
     predictions = model.predict(start=len(train_data), end=len(df)-1)
     rmse = np.sqrt(mean squared error(test data, predictions))
     aicc = model.aicc
     results.append((order, rmse, aicc))
     if rmse < best rmse:
       best rmse = rmse
       best aicc = aicc
       best order = order
  except:
     continue
# Best Model
results df = pd.DataFrame(results, columns=['Order', 'RMSE', 'AICc'])
results df = results df.sort values(by='RMSE')
print(results df.head())
print(f"Best ARMA Order: {best order}, RMSE: {best rmse:.2f}, AICc: {best aicc:.2f}")
print(df.shape)
"""### **ARMA**"""
arma model = ARIMA(train data, order=(3,0,1))
arma fit = arma model.fit()
arma forecast = arma fit.predict(start=len(train data), end=len(df)-1)
```

```
"""### **Checking Seasonality**"""
P values = [0, 1, 2]
D values = [0, 1]
Q values = [0, 1, 2]
s = 1 # since it is yearly
# Generating all combinations of p,d,q
seasonal_combinations = list(itertools.product(P_values, D_values, Q_values))
best rmse = float('inf')
best order = (3,0,1)
best_seasonal\_order = None
# Loop through combinations
for seasonal order in seasonal combinations:
  try:
    model = sm.tsa.SARIMAX(train data, order=best order,
                  seasonal order[0], seasonal order[1], seasonal order[2], s))
     fit = model.fit()
    predictions = fit.predict(start=len(train data), end=len(df)-1)
    rmse = np.sqrt(mean squared error(test data, predictions))
    # best model
    if rmse < best rmse:
       best rmse = rmse
       best seasonal order = seasonal order
  except:
    continue
print(f"Best SARIMA Order: {best order}, Seasonal Order: {best seasonal order}, RMSE:
{best rmse:.4f}")
```

"""### **Random Forest and XGBoost**"""

```
# Lag Features based on ARMA (3,0,1)
df lags = df.copy() # Copy of original data
df lags['Lag1'] = df lags['WPI'].shift(1)
df lags['Lag2'] = df lags['WPI'].shift(2)
df lags['Lag3'] = df lags['WPI'].shift(3)
df lags.dropna(inplace=True)
print("After lag features:", df lags.shape)
df lags.fillna(method="bfill", inplace=True)
# Splitting Data using df lags
train size = int(len(df lags) * 0.8)
train, test = df lags.iloc[:train size], df lags.iloc[train size:]
# Scaling
scaler = MinMaxScaler()
train_scaled = scaler.fit_transform(train[['WPI', 'Lag1', 'Lag2', 'Lag3']])
test scaled = scaler.transform(test[['WPI', 'Lag1', 'Lag2', 'Lag3']])
X train, y train = train scaled[:, 1:], train scaled[:, 0] # Lag features as X, WPI as y
X test, y test = test scaled[:, 1:], test scaled[:, 0]
# Random Forest
rf model = RandomForestRegressor(n estimators=100, random state=42)
rf model.fit(X train, y train)
rf forecast scaled = rf model.predict(X test).reshape(-1, 1)
rf forecast = scaler.inverse transform(np.hstack((rf forecast scaled, X test)))[:, 0]
# XGBoost
xgb model
                              xgb.XGBRegressor(n estimators=100,
                                                                              learning rate=0.05,
objective='reg:squarederror', random state=42)
```

```
xgb model.fit(X train, y train)
xgb forecast scaled = xgb model.predict(X test).reshape(-1, 1)
xgb forecast = scaler.inverse transform(np.hstack((xgb forecast scaled, X test)))[:, 0]
# RMSE
rmse rf = np.sqrt(mean squared error(test['WPI'], rf forecast))
rmse xgb = np.sqrt(mean squared error(test['WPI'], xgb forecast))
print(f"Random Forest RMSE: {rmse rf:.2f}")
print(f"XGBoost RMSE: {rmse xgb:.2f}")
test['RF Prediction'] = rf forecast
test['XGB Prediction'] = xgb forecast
df = df[['WPI']]
"""### **Long Short-Term Memory**"""
def create sequences(data, seq_length):
  X, y = [], []
  for i in range(len(data) - seq_length):
    X.append(data[i:(i + seq_length)])
    y.append(data[i + seq length])
  return np.array(X), np.array(y)
sequence length = 3 # Matches ARMA p=3
full data = df['WPI'].values.reshape(-1, 1)
scaler lstm = MinMaxScaler()
scaled data = scaler lstm.fit transform(full data)
# Splitting data
train size = int(len(full data) * 0.8)
train scaled lstm = scaled data[:train size]
```

```
test scaled lstm = scaled data[train size:]
X train, y train = create sequences(train scaled lstm, sequence length)
X test, y test = create sequences(test scaled lstm, sequence length)
print(f"Total data points: {len(full data)}")
print(f"Train data points: {len(train scaled lstm)}")
print(f"Test data points: {len(test scaled lstm)}")
print(f"X train shape: {X train.shape}")
print(f"X test shape: {X test.shape}")
# LSTM model
model = Sequential([
  LSTM(10, input shape=(sequence length, 1)),
  Dropout(0.2),
  Dense(1)
1)
model.compile(optimizer=Adam(learning rate=0.001), loss='mse')
early stopping = EarlyStopping(monitor='val loss', patience=5, restore best weights=True)
model.fit(X train,
                       y train,
                                     epochs=30,
                                                      batch size=8,
                                                                          validation split=0.2,
callbacks=[early stopping], verbose=0)
# RMSE
test_predictions = model.predict(X_test, verbose=0)
test predictions = scaler lstm.inverse transform(test predictions)
y test actual = scaler lstm.inverse transform(y test.reshape(-1, 1))
lstm rmse = np.sqrt(mean squared error(y test actual, test predictions))
print(f'LSTM RMSE: {lstm rmse}')
"""### **ARMA+LSTM**"""
np.random.seed(42)
tf.random.set seed(42)
```

```
train data = df['WPI'][:28]
test data = df['WPI'][28:]
# ARMA model
arma model = ARIMA(train data, order=(3, 0, 1))
arma fit = arma model.fit()
arma train pred = arma fit.predict(start=0, end=len(train data) - 1)
arma forecast = arma fit.predict(start=len(train data), end=len(df) - 1)
print(f"arma forecast length before trimming: {len(arma forecast)}")
arma forecast = pd.Series(arma forecast.values, index=test data.index)
print(f"arma forecast length after trimming: {len(arma forecast)}")
arma rmse = np.sqrt(mean squared error(test_data, arma_forecast))
# scale residuals
train residuals = train data - arma train pred
scaler lstm = MinMaxScaler()
train residuals scaled = scaler lstm.fit transform(train residuals.values.reshape(-1, 1))
# sequences from scaled residuals
def create sequences(data, seq length):
  X, y = [], []
  for i in range(len(data) - seq length):
    X.append(data[i:(i + seq length)])
    y.append(data[i + seq length])
  return np.array(X), np.array(y)
sequence length = 3
X train, y train = create sequences(train residuals scaled, sequence length)
print(f"Total data points: {len(df)}")
print(f"Train points: {len(train data)}")
print(f"Test points: {len(test data)}")
print(f"X train shape: {X train.shape}")
```

```
# LSTM
model = Sequential([
  LSTM(10, input shape=(sequence length, 1)),
  Dropout(0.3),
  Dense(1)
])
model.compile(optimizer=Adam(learning rate=0.001), loss='mse')
early stopping = EarlyStopping(monitor='val loss', patience=5, restore best weights=True)
model.fit(X train, y train, epochs=50, batch size=8, validation split=0.2, shuffle=False,
      callbacks=[early stopping], verbose=0)
last sequence = train residuals scaled[-sequence length:]
test residuals pred scaled = []
current sequence = last sequence.copy()
for in range(len(test data)):
  current sequence reshaped = current sequence.reshape((1, sequence length, 1))
  next pred = model.predict(current sequence reshaped, verbose=0)
  test residuals pred scaled.append(next pred[0, 0])
  current sequence = np.roll(current sequence, -1)
  current sequence[-1] = next pred[0, 0]
test residuals pred
                                                                                            =
scaler lstm.inverse transform(np.array(test residuals pred scaled).reshape(-1, 1))
print(f"test residuals pred length: {len(test residuals pred)}")
# Combine ARMA with LSTM residuals
hybrid forecast = arma forecast + test residuals pred.flatten()
# RMSE
ARMA LSTM rmse = np.sqrt(mean squared error(test data, hybrid forecast))
test residuals actual = test data - arma forecast
print(f"test residuals actual length: {len(test residuals actual)}")
```

```
residual rmse = np.sqrt(mean squared error(test residuals actual, test residuals pred.flatten()))
print(f'Hybrid ARMA-LSTM RMSE: {ARMA LSTM rmse:.4f}')
"""### **Conclusion**"""
arma rmse = np.sqrt(mean squared error(test data, arma forecast))
xgb rmse = np.sqrt(mean squared error(test data, xgb forecast))
rf rmse = np.sqrt(mean squared error(test data, rf forecast))
rmse values = [arma rmse, rmse rf, rmse xgb, lstm rmse, ARMA LSTM rmse]
model names = ["ARMA", "Random Forest", "XGBoost", "LSTM", "ARMA+LSTM"]
# Sorting results
sorted indices = np.argsort(rmse values)
print("RMSE Results:\n")
for index in sorted indices:
  print(f"{model names[index]}: {rmse values[index]}")
"""### **Actual VS Predicted WPI Values for ARMA**"""
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
plt.plot(train data.index, train data, label='Training Data', color='blue')
plt.plot(test data.index, test data, label='Actual Test Data', color='green')
plt.plot(test data.index, arma forecast, label='ARMA Predicted', color='red', linestyle='dashed')
plt.xlabel('Year')
plt.ylabel('WPI')
plt.title('Actual vs Predicted WPI (ARMA)')
plt.legend()
plt.show()
comparison df = pd.DataFrame({'Actual': test data, 'Predicted': arma forecast})
print(comparison df)
```

"""### **Predicted Values of All Models VS Actual Values of Test Data**"""

```
plt.figure(figsize=(12, 6))
plt.plot(df.index, df['WPI'], label='Actual')
plt.plot(test data.index, arma forecast, label='ARMA')
plt.plot(test data.index, xgb forecast, label='XGBoost')
plt.plot(test_data.index, rf_forecast, label='Random Forest')
plt.plot(test_data.index[-len(test_predictions):], test_predictions, label='LSTM', color='orange')
plt.plot(test data.index, hybrid forecast, label='ARMA+LSTM', color='cyan')
plt.xlabel('Year')
plt.ylabel('WPI Value')
plt.legend()
plt.title('WPI Forecasting')
plt.show()
plt.figure(figsize=(12, 6))
plt.plot(test_data.index, test_data, label='Actual', color='black')
plt.plot(test data.index, arma forecast, label='ARMA', color='blue')
plt.plot(test_data.index, xgb_forecast, label='XGBoost', color='red')
plt.plot(test_data.index, rf_forecast, label='Random Forest', color='green')
plt.plot(test_data.index[-len(test_predictions):], test_predictions, label='LSTM', color='orange')
plt.plot(test data.index, hybrid forecast, label='ARMA+LSTM', color='cyan')
plt.xlabel('Year')
plt.ylabel('WPI')
plt.title('Forecasting WPI using Various Models')
plt.legend()
plt.grid(True)
plt.show()
model = ARIMA(df['WPI'], order=(3,0,1))
results = model.fit()
# Forecasting for the next 5 years
```

```
forecast steps = 5
forecast = results.forecast(steps=forecast steps)
last year = df.index[-1]
forecast index = range(last year + 1, last year + forecast steps + 1)
forecast = np.array(forecast)
# 95% Confidence intervals
forecast ci = results.get forecast(steps=forecast steps).conf int(alpha=0.05)
lower ci = forecast ci.iloc[:, 0]
upper ci = forecast ci.iloc[:, 1]
# Plot
plt.figure(figsize=(12, 6))
plt.plot(df.index, df['WPI'], label='Historical Data', marker='o')
plt.plot(forecast index, forecast, label='Forecast', color='red', marker='o')
plt.fill between(forecast index, lower ci, upper ci, color='red', alpha=0.2, label='95%
Confidence Interval')
plt.title('WPI Forecast for Next 5 Years')
plt.xlabel('Year')
plt.ylabel('WPI Value')
plt.legend()
plt.grid(True)
plt.tight layout()
plt.show()
# Forecasted values
print("\nForecasted values for the next 5 years:")
for i, year in enumerate(forecast index):
  print(f"Year {year}: {forecast[i]:.4f}")
```

References

https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/arma-time-series-model/

https://sdgs.un.org/goals

 $\frac{https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/deep-learning-introduction-to-long-short-term}{-memory/}$

https://www.analyticsvidhya.com/blog/2021/03/introduction-to-long-short-term-memory-lstm/

https://www.analyticsvidhya.com/blog/2021/06/statistical-tests-to-check-stationarity-in-time-series-part-1/

https://www.baeldung.com/cs/acf-pacf-plots-arma-modeling

https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/autocorrelation-and-partial-autocorrelation/

Group Members:

- 1. Pratik Rai
- 2. Aanshi Rathi
- 3. G. Samyukta
- 4. Mesam Laloo