

2016-17

MTH100 - Monsoon ~~2016-17~~Notes for ~~Tuesday 28/10/2016~~

①

Example for eigenvalues and eigenvectors

$$\text{Let } A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & -1 \\ -3 & -1 & 1 \\ 6 & 4 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Let } \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Then } A\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ -11 \\ 38 \end{bmatrix}$$

So $A\vec{v} \neq c\vec{v}$ for any scalar c , and hence \vec{v} is not an eigenvector.

$$\text{OTOH, let } \vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then:

$$A\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = 1 \cdot \vec{v}_1$$

$$A\vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix} = 1 \cdot \vec{v}_2$$

(2)

Hence, we see that

\bar{v}_1 and \bar{v}_2 are both eigenvectors of A corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = 1$.

$$\text{Similarly, } A\bar{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \cdot \bar{v}_3$$

$\therefore \bar{v}_3$ is an eigenvector corresp. to the eigenvalue $\lambda_2 = 0$ (0 is allowed to be an eigenvalue).

$$\text{Put } \bar{v}_4 = \bar{v}_1 + \bar{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Then } A\bar{v}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & -1 \\ -3 & -1 & 1 \\ 6 & 4 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix},$$

again an ~~eigenvalue~~^{vector} for λ_1 .

→ So if we manage to find ~~an~~ one eigenvector for an eigenvalue, we can find more by taking sums and scalar multiples.

Proof of Prop. ~~4.1~~:

Suppose B.W.O.C. that $\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2, \dots, \bar{v}_p$ are linearly dependent. Let m be the smallest no. s.t. $\bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_m$ are lin. indep. but \bar{v}_{m+1} is a lin. comb. of the preceding vectors } ???

(at the worst, m would be $p-1$).

$$\therefore c_1 \bar{v}_1 + \dots + c_m \bar{v}_m = \bar{v}_{m+1} \quad (1)$$

Apply A on the LHS and RHS of (1), we get :-

$$c_1 A \bar{v}_1 + c_2 A \bar{v}_2 + \dots + c_m A \bar{v}_m = A \bar{v}_{m+1},$$

and using the fact that the \bar{v}_i are eigenvectors, we get.

$$c_1 \lambda_1 \bar{v}_1 + c_2 \lambda_2 \bar{v}_2 + \dots + c_m \lambda_m \bar{v}_m = \lambda_{m+1} \bar{v}_{m+1} \quad (2)$$

Now, multiplying (1) by λ_{m+1} , we get

$$c_1 \lambda_{m+1} \bar{v}_1 + c_2 \lambda_{m+1} \bar{v}_2 + \dots + c_m \lambda_{m+1} \bar{v}_m = \lambda_{m+1} \bar{v}_{m+1} \quad (3)$$

(PTO)

Subtracting (3) from (2), we get:

$$c_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_{m+1})\bar{v}_1 + \dots + c_m(\lambda_m - \lambda_{m+1})\bar{v}_m = \bar{0} \quad (4)$$

But since $\bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_m$ are lin. indep., all the co-effs. in (4) must be 0,

$$\text{i.e. } c_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_{m+1}) = 0$$

But $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_{m+1}$ (since these are distinct eigenvalues),

$$\text{so } c_1 = 0.$$

Similarly, $c_2 = c_3 = \dots = c_m = 0$.

But then from (1), $\bar{v}_{m+1} = \bar{0}$,

which is a contradiction, since all the \bar{v}_i are eigenvectors.

Hence, our initial hypothesis is wrong and so $\bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_p$ are lin. indep.

→ How to check whether a given λ is indeed an eigenvalue for a given matrix A ?

Ans: The equation $A\bar{x} = \lambda\bar{x}$

or $(A - \lambda I)\bar{x} = \bar{0}$ should have a non-trivial solution \bar{x} .

Example:- $A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & -1 \\ -3 & -1 & 1 \\ 6 & 4 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

(We saw yesterday that 1 and 0 are eigenvalues).

How about $\lambda = 3$?

lets try:-

$$A - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ -3 & -4 & 1 \\ 6 & 4 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\substack{R_2 \rightarrow R_2 + 3R_1 \\ R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - 6R_1}}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & -8 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\substack{R_2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}R_2 \\ R_3 \rightarrow R_3 + 8R_2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -14 \end{bmatrix}$$

we omit remaining steps, but clearly

$A - \lambda I$ is row-equivalent to I_3 , hence only trivial solutions. $\therefore 3$ is not an eigenvalue

Example of a real
matrix with no
real eigenvalues :-

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1-\lambda & -1 \\ 1 & 1-\lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= (1-\lambda)^2 + 1 = 1 - 2\lambda + \lambda^2 + 1$$

$$= 2 - 2\lambda + \lambda^2$$

$$\text{Solution: } \lambda = \frac{2 \pm \sqrt{4-8}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{2 \pm 2i}{2} = 1 \pm i$$

↑

only complex
eigenvalues

Notes for ~~Thursday~~ 27/10

⑤

(Not done in class - notes only)

Proof of Prop. ~~4.1~~ 4.1

[\Rightarrow] Suppose λ is an eigenvalue of A .

Then, there is a non-zero vector \bar{v}

s.t. $A\bar{v} = \lambda\bar{v}$ (\bar{v} is an eigenvector)

$$\text{i.e. } (A - \lambda I)\bar{v} = \bar{0}$$

\therefore the homog. system $(A - \lambda I)\bar{x} = \bar{0}$ has a non-zero solution,

$\therefore (A - \lambda I)$ is not invertible

$$\therefore \det(A - \lambda I) = 0$$

[\Leftarrow] Conversely, suppose λ is a root of the characteristic eqn.

$$\therefore \det(A - \lambda I) = 0$$

\therefore the matrix $A - \lambda I$ is not invertible.

\therefore by ~~4.1~~ 4.1, the system

$(A - \lambda I)\bar{x} = \bar{0}$ has a non-zero solution \bar{v} .

Since $(A - \lambda I)\bar{v} = \bar{0}$,

$A\bar{v} = \lambda\bar{v}$, and \bar{v} is an eigenvector corresp. to λ ~~eigenvector~~.

(6)

Proof of Prop. ~~4.2~~ ^{4.2} ~~5.2~~ :-

Suppose B is similar to A ,

i.e. $B = PAP^{-1}$ for some invertible P .

$$\therefore \text{char. poly. of } B = \det(B - \lambda I)$$

$$= \det(PAP^{-1} - \lambda I)$$

$$= \det(PAP^{-1} - P(\lambda I)P^{-1})$$

$$= \det(P(A - \lambda I)P^{-1})$$

$$= \det P \det(A - \lambda I) \det(P^{-1})$$

$$= \det P \det(A - \lambda I) (\det P)^{-1}$$

$$= \det(A - \lambda I),$$

Remark: However, note that this is only a nec. condition! We can find matrices A and B s.t.

$$\text{char. poly. of } A = \text{char. poly. of } B$$

but B is not similar to A !

[Exercise]