

Tutorial exercise for the week commencing Monday 15th August 2016.

1. Find the solution set in vector form for the homogeneous system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ given A below.
NB: A must be row-reduced to an RREF matrix in order to give the solution in standard form.

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & -2 & 3 & -1 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$

2. a) Row reduce the augmented matrix of the system given below to an RREF matrix:

$$3x + 2y + 7z + 9w = 7$$

$$6x + 14y + 22z + 15w = 13$$

$$x + 4y + 5z + 2w = 2$$

b) Express the solution (if the system is consistent) in the form of a vector \mathbf{u} which is a particular solution plus scalar multiples of vector(s) which are solutions of the associated homogeneous system.

3. Determine the inverse of the given matrix A using row reduction.

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 5 & 2 & -3 \end{array} \right]$$

4. Is it possible for a non-homogeneous system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$, $\mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{0}$, to be inconsistent when the associated homogeneous system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ has a unique solution (i.e. only the trivial solution)? Answer YES or NO, and justify your answer. If YES, construct an example and verify. If NO, explain with reference to suitable propositions and theorems.
5. Recall Proposition 5: if e is an elementary row-operation and E is the corresponding elementary matrix, then $e(A) = E(A)$. Illustrate with one example each for scaling and interchange operations (the minimum size of the matrices in your examples should be 3×3).
6. Prove Proposition 5 in the general case, i.e. for any row operation e and any matrix A . (NB: the three cases of scaling, replacement and interchange require separate proofs.)

SOLUTIONS BELOW

Q1.

Find the solution set in vector form for the homogeneous system $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$,

where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 3 & -1 \\ 3 & -1 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$

Ans: Step 1: Row-reduce A to get its RREF

matrix: $R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{15}{7} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{4}{7} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{10}{7} \end{bmatrix}$

Step 2: Write down the linear system corresponding to $R\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ and transfer free variables to RHS.

$x_1 + \frac{15}{7}x_4 = 0$ or $x_1 = -\frac{15}{7}x_4$

$x_2 - \frac{4}{7}x_4 = 0$ or $x_2 = \frac{4}{7}x_4$

$x_3 - \frac{10}{7}x_4 = 0$ or $x_3 = \frac{10}{7}x_4$

$x_4 = x_4$ or $x_4 = 1 \cdot x_4$

Step 3: Vectorial form of solution is

$x_4 \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{15}{7} \\ \frac{4}{7} \\ \frac{10}{7} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

, i.e. all scalar multiples of the vector $\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{15}{7} \\ \frac{4}{7} \\ \frac{10}{7} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Q2

(3)

(a) Row reduce the augmented matrix of the given system:

$$3x + 2y + 7z + 9w = 7$$

$$6x + 14y + 22z + 15w = 13$$

$$x + 4y + 5z + 2w = 2$$

Solution: The RREF matrix is:-

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & \frac{9}{5} & \frac{16}{5} & \frac{12}{5} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{4}{5} & -\frac{3}{10} & -\frac{1}{10} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

(b) The system is consistent and the general solution can be expressed in the form:

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{12}{5} \\ -\frac{1}{10} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_3 \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{9}{5} \\ -\frac{4}{5} \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_4 \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{16}{5} \\ \frac{3}{10} \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\downarrow \vec{u}$
 $\downarrow \vec{v}$
 $\downarrow \vec{w}$

$$\text{or } \vec{x} = \vec{u} + t\vec{v} + s\vec{w}$$

where \vec{u} is a particular solution and \vec{v} and \vec{w} are solutions of the corresponding homogeneous system.

Q3. Find the inverse of the matrix

A by row reduction:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 5 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Answer: $A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & -1 & -3 \\ -5 & 1 & 2 \\ 10 & -1 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$

(5)

Q4. (Answer) YES, it is possible for a non-homogeneous system $A\bar{x} = \bar{b}$ to be inconsistent, when the associated homogeneous system $A\bar{x} = \bar{0}$ has a unique solution.

Consider: if ~~the system~~ has more variables than ~~equations~~, then there have to be free variables, i.e. infinitely many solutions. So this case isn't useful.

OTOM, if A is square, then by VIT, if $A\bar{x} = \bar{0}$ has only the unique solution, then A is invertible, and so by (d) of VIT, $A\bar{x} = \bar{b}$ has a solution for every \bar{b} . So this case also isn't useful. Hence, we must take a system with more equations than variable, i.e. A has more rows than columns.

After reasoning as above, it is easy to construct an example; many examples ~~are~~ can be constructed.

For example:

$$\begin{aligned} 2x + 3y &= 13 \\ 3x + 5y &= 21 \\ x + y &= 6 \end{aligned}$$

We now reduce the augmented matrix $[A: \bar{b}]$:-

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 2 & 3 & 13 \\ 3 & 5 & 21 \\ 1 & 1 & 6 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_3} \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 1 & 6 \\ 3 & 5 & 21 \\ 2 & 3 & 13 \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - 3R_1 \\ R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - 2R_1 \end{array}$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 1 & 6 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3} \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 1 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - 2R_2 \\ R_3 \rightarrow R_3 - 2R_2 \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 1 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \neq$$

$$\begin{array}{l} R_1 \rightarrow R_1 - 6R_3 \\ R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - R_3 \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{R_1 \rightarrow R_1 - R_2} \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]. \text{ This has a}$$

row of the form $[0 \dots 0 \ b]$, $b \neq 0$, so is inconsistent. OTOM, the RREF of A is I_2 , so $A\bar{x} = \bar{0}$ has a unique solution.

Q 5. Examples for Proposition 5.

(6)

let us take $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 5 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$

(i) Example for interchange.

let $e_1 = R_1 \leftrightarrow R_3$

$\therefore E_1 = e_1(I_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$e_1(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 5 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{e_1} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$

$E_1 A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 5 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$

(1) and (2) are equal.

(ii) Example for scaling.

let $e_2 = R_1 \rightarrow 2R_1$

$\therefore E_2 = e_2(I_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$e_2(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 5 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$

$E_2 A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 5 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 5 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$

(3) and (4) are equal.

Q6. Proof of Proposition.

(7)

We need to show $e(A) = EA$.

Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ be a general $m \times n$ matrix, and let I be the $m \times m$ identity matrix.

We consider the three cases one by one.

- (i) Scaling: - ~~we assume~~ suppose the ~~let~~ k -th row is to be scaled by the real number $r \neq 0$.

Then, in $e(A)$, all ~~elements are~~ entries are unchanged except in the k -th row, we now have entries $\approx a_{kj}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. (1)

In $E = e(I)$, all entries are unchanged except in the k -th row, k -th column, we have r instead of 1.

Now, in the product EA , only the k -th row will change, all other rows are unchanged (since all rows of E except k -th are same as I).

Consider:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{matrix} \text{r-th} \\ \leftarrow \end{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & & & & \\ 0 & & & & \\ 0 & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{k1} & \dots & a_{kn} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix} \\
 \begin{matrix} \uparrow \text{r-th} \\ E \end{matrix} \quad \quad \quad \begin{matrix} A \end{matrix}
 \end{array}$$

In the k -th row of EA , the first element is $\approx a_{k1}$; the 2nd element is $\approx a_{k2}$; and so on, i.e. the j -th element of the row is $\approx a_{kj}$. (2)

Hence, in the k -th row, each element is $\approx a_{kj}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Comparing (2) with the statement (1) above, the result follows.

Q6 (cont'd).

(ii) Replacement: suppose the k -th row is to be replaced by the k -th row plus r times the ~~k~~ p -th row, $r \neq 0$.

Then, in $e(A)$, all entries are unchanged, except in the k -th row, the typical entry is $a_{kj} + ra_{pj}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. (3)

In $E = e(I)$, all entries are unchanged, except that in the k -th row, the p -th entry is now r instead of being 0.

As before, in EA , all rows are unchanged except the k -th row.

Consider: k -th, p -th

$$k\text{-th} \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & r & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

E

In the ~~k -th row~~ k -th row of EA , the 1st element is $a_{k1} + ra_{p1}$, the second element is $a_{k2} + ra_{p2}$; and so on, i.e. the general element is $a_{kj} + ra_{pj}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ (4)

Comparing statements (3) and (4), the result follows.

(iii) Interchange: suppose the k -th row and p -th row are to be interchanged, $k < p$. Then, in $e(A)$, the ~~k~~ typical entry in p -th row is a_{pj} , $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and the typical entry in k -th row is a_{kj} , $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ (5)

Q6 (cont'd)

(9)

In $E = e(I)$, the k -th row is all zeroes, except for the p -th entry which is 1, and the p -th row is all zeroes except for the k -th entry which is 1.

Consider:

$$E = \begin{matrix} & \begin{matrix} \downarrow k\text{-th} & \downarrow p\text{-th} \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} \leftarrow k\text{-th} \\ \leftarrow p\text{-th} \end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{matrix}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

The only rows of $e(A)$ and EA which are different from A are the k -th and p -th rows; ~~as we saw~~ other rows have been multiplied by corresponding rows of I , so are unchanged.

In the k -th row of EA the first entry is a_{p1} ; ~~after~~ the second entry is a_{p2} ; and so on, i.e. the typical element is a_{pj} , $j = 1, \dots, n$. (6a)

Similarly, in the p -th row of EA , the typical element is a_{kj} , $j = 1, \dots, n$. (6b)

Comparing the statement (5) with the statements (6a) and (6b), the result follows.