```
.. breast cancer dataset:
Breast cancer wisconsin (diagnostic) dataset
**Data Set Characteristics:**
   :Number of Instances: 569
   :Number of Attributes: 30 numeric, predictive attributes and the
class
   :Attribute Information:
       - radius (mean of distances from center to points on the
perimeter)
       - texture (standard deviation of gray-scale values)
       - perimeter
       - area
       - smoothness (local variation in radius lengths)
       - compactness (perimeter^2 / area - 1.0)
       - concavity (severity of concave portions of the contour)
       - concave points (number of concave portions of the contour)
       - symmetry
       - fractal dimension ("coastline approximation" - 1)
       The mean, standard error, and "worst" or largest (mean of the
three
       worst/largest values) of these features were computed for each
image,
       resulting in 30 features. For instance, field 0 is Mean
Radius, field
       10 is Radius SE, field 20 is Worst Radius.
       - class:
              - WDBC-Malignant
              - WDBC-Benign
   :Summary Statistics:
   radius (mean):
                                      6.981 28.11
                                            39.28
   texture (mean):
                                      9.71
                                      43.79 188.5
   perimeter (mean):
   area (mean):
                                      143.5 2501.0
                                      0.053 0.163
   smoothness (mean):
                                      0.019 0.345
   compactness (mean):
   concavity (mean):
                                      0.0
                                            0.427
                                      0.0
   concave points (mean):
                                            0.201
                                      0.106 0.304
   symmetry (mean):
```

0.05 0.097

0.112 2.873

0.36 4.885

0.757 21.98

6.802 542.2

fractal dimension (mean):

texture (standard error):

perimeter (standard error):

radius (standard error):

area (standard error):

```
smoothness (standard error):

compactness (standard error):

concavity (standard error):

concave points (standard error):

symmetry (standard error):

concave points (standard
 fractal dimension (standard error): 0.001 0.03
radius (worst):
                                                                                                                                                                                                7.93
                                                                                                                                                                                                12.02 49.54
texture (worst):
                                                                                                                                                                                                 50.41 251.2
perimeter (worst):
                                                                                                                                                                                                  185.2 4254.0
area (worst):
                                                                                                                                                                                                 0.071 0.223
smoothness (worst):
                                                                                                                                                                                               0.027 1.058
compactness (worst):
concavity (worst):
                                                                                                                                                                                               0.0 1.252
concave points (worst):
                                                                                                                                                                                              0.0
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     0.291
```

:Missing Attribute Values: None

:Class Distribution: 212 - Malignant, 357 - Benign

:Creator: Dr. William H. Wolberg, W. Nick Street, Olvi L. Mangasarian

:Donor: Nick Street

:Date: November, 1995

This is a copy of UCI ML Breast Cancer Wisconsin (Diagnostic) datasets. https://goo.gl/U2Uwz2

Features are computed from a digitized image of a fine needle aspirate (FNA) of a breast mass. They describe characteristics of the cell nuclei present in the image.

Separating plane described above was obtained using Multisurface Method-Tree (MSM-T) [K. P. Bennett, "Decision Tree Construction Via Linear Programming." Proceedings of the 4th Midwest Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Science Society, pp. 97-101, 1992], a classification method which uses linear programming to construct a decision tree. Relevant features were selected using an exhaustive search in the space of 1-4 features and 1-3 separating planes.

The actual linear program used to obtain the separating plane in the 3-dimensional space is that described in: [K. P. Bennett and O. L. Mangasarian: "Robust Linear Programming Discrimination of Two Linearly Inseparable Sets", Optimization Methods and Software 1, 1992, 23-34].

This database is also available through the UW CS ftp server:

ftp ftp.cs.wisc.edu
cd math-prog/cpo-dataset/machine-learn/WDBC/

.. topic:: References

- W.N. Street, W.H. Wolberg and O.L. Mangasarian. Nuclear feature extraction $\ \ \,$
- for breast tumor diagnosis. IS&T/SPIE 1993 International Symposium on
- Electronic Imaging: Science and Technology, volume 1905, pages 861-870,

San Jose, CA, 1993.

- O.L. Mangasarian, W.N. Street and W.H. Wolberg. Breast cancer diagnosis and
- prognosis via linear programming. Operations Research, 43(4), pages 570-577,

July-August 1995.

- W.H. Wolberg, W.N. Street, and O.L. Mangasarian. Machine learning techniques
- to diagnose breast cancer from fine-needle aspirates. Cancer Letters 77 (1994) 163-171.
- .. _breast_cancer_dataset:

Breast cancer wisconsin (diagnostic) dataset

Data Set Characteristics:

:Number of Instances: 569

:Number of Attributes: 30 numeric, predictive attributes and the class

:Attribute Information:

- radius (mean of distances from center to points on the perimeter)
- texture (standard deviation of gray-scale values)
- perimeter
- area
- smoothness (local variation in radius lengths)
- compactness (perimeter^2 / area 1.0)
- concavity (severity of concave portions of the contour)
- concave points (number of concave portions of the contour)
- symmetry
- fractal dimension ("coastline approximation" 1)

The mean, standard error, and "worst" or largest (mean of the three worst/largest values) of these features were computed for each image, resulting in 30 features. For instance, field 0 is Mean Radius, field 10 is Radius SE, field 20 is Worst Radius.

- class:
 - WDBC-Malignant
 - WDBC-Benign

:Summary Statistics:

Min Max

radius (mean): 6.981 28.11 texture (mean): 9.71 39.28 perimeter (mean): 43.79 188.5 area (mean): 143.5 2501.0 smoothness (mean): 0.053 0.163 compactness (mean): 0.019 0.345 concavity (mean): 0.0 0.427 concave points (mean): 0.0 0.201 symmetry (mean): 0.106 0.304 fractal dimension (mean): 0.05 0.097 radius (standard error): 0.112 2.873 texture (standard error): 0.36 4.885 perimeter (standard error): 0.757 21.98 area (standard error): 6.802 542.2 smoothness (standard error): 0.002 0.031 compactness (standard error): 0.002 0.135 concavity (standard error): 0.0 0.396 concave points (standard error): 0.0 0.053 symmetry (standard error): 0.008 0.079 fractal dimension (standard error): 0.001 0.03

radius (worst): 7.93 36.04 texture (worst): 12.02 49.54 perimeter (worst): 50.41 251.2 area (worst): 185.2 4254.0 smoothness (worst): 0.071 0.223 0.027 1.058 compactness (worst): 0.0 1.252 concavity (worst): concave points (worst): 0.0 0.291 symmetry (worst): 0.156 0.664 fractal dimension (worst): 0.055 0.208

:Missing Attribute Values: None

:Class Distribution: 212 - Malignant, 357 - Benign

:Creator: Dr. William H. Wolberg, W. Nick Street, Olvi L. Mangasarian

:Donor: Nick Street

:Date: November, 1995

This is a copy of UCI ML Breast Cancer Wisconsin (Diagnostic) datasets. https://goo.gl/U2Uwz2

Features are computed from a digitized image of a fine needle aspirate (FNA) of a breast mass. They describe characteristics of the cell nuclei present in the image.

Separating plane described above was obtained using Multi surface Method-Tree (MSM-T) [K. P. Bennett, "Decision Tree Construction Via Linear Programming." Proceedings of the 4th Midwest Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Science Society, pp. 97-101, 1992], a classification method which uses linear programming to construct a decision tree. Relevant features were selected using an exhaustive search in the space of 1-4 features and 1-3 separating planes.

The actual linear program used to obtain the separating plane in the 3-dimensional space is that described in: [K. P. Bennett and O. L. Mangasarian: "Robust Linear Programming Discrimination of Two Linearly Inseparable Sets", Optimization Methods and Software 1, 1992, 23-34].

This database is also available through the UW CS ftp server:

ftp ftp.cs.wisc.edu cd math-prog/cpo-dataset/machine-learn/WDBC/

.. topic:: References

- W.N. Street, W.H. Wolberg and O.L. Mangasarian. Nuclear feature extraction for breast tumor diagnosis. IS&T/SPIE 1993 International Symposium on Electronic Imaging: Science and Technology, volume 1905, pages 861-870, San Jose, CA, 1993.
- O.L. Mangasarian, W.N. Street and W.H. Wolberg. Breast cancer diagnosis and prognosis via linear programming. Operations Research, 43(4), pages 570-577, July-August 1995.
- W.H. Wolberg, W.N. Street, and O.L. Mangasarian. Machine learning techniques to diagnose breast cancer from fine-needle aspirates. Cancer Letters 77 (1994) 163-171.

output

['mean radius' 'mean texture' 'mean perimeter' 'mean area' 'mean smoothness' 'mean compactness' 'mean concavity' 'mean concave points' 'mean symmetry' 'mean fractal dimension' 'radius error' 'texture error' 'perimeter error' 'area error' 'smoothness error' 'compactness error' 'concavity error' 'concave points error' 'symmetry error' 'fractal dimension error' 'worst radius' 'worst texture' 'worst perimeter' 'worst area' 'worst smoothness' 'worst compactness' 'worst concavity' 'worst concave points' 'worst symmetry' 'worst fractal dimension']

```
array([[ 1.09706398, -2.07333501, 1.26993369, ..., 2.29607613,
    2.75062224, 1.93701461],
   [1.82982061, -0.35363241, 1.68595471, ..., 1.0870843,
   -0.24388967, 0.28118999],
   [1.57988811, 0.45618695, 1.56650313, ..., 1.95500035,
    1.152255 , 0.20139121],
   [0.70228425, 2.0455738, 0.67267578, ..., 0.41406869,
   -1.10454895, -0.31840916],
   [1.83834103, 2.33645719, 1.98252415, ..., 2.28998549,
    1.91908301, 2.21963528],
   [-1.80840125, 1.22179204, -1.81438851, ..., -1.74506282,
   -0.04813821, -0.75120669]])
(569, 30)
(569, 30)
(569, 2)
array([[ 9.19283683, 1.94858307],
   [2.3878018, -3.76817174],
   [5.73389628, -1.0751738],
   [1.25617928, -1.90229671],
   [10.37479406, 1.67201011],
   [-5.4752433, -0.67063679]])
```

	mean radius	mean texture	mean perimeter	mean area	mean smoothness	mean compactness	mean concavity	mean concave points	mean symmetry	mean fractal dimension		worst radius	worst texture	worst perimeter		worst smoothness	worst compactness	worst concavity	wor conca poin
0	17.99	10.38	122.80	1001.0	0.11840	0.27760	0.3001	0.14710	0.2419	0.07871		25.38	17.33	184.60	2019.0	0.1622	0.6656	0.7119	0.26
1	20.57	17.77	132.90	1326.0	0.08474	0.07864	0.0869	0.07017	0.1812	0.05667		24.99	23.41	158.80	1956.0	0.1238	0.1866	0.2416	0.18
2	19.69	21.25	130.00	1203.0	0.10960	0.15990	0.1974	0.12790	0.2069	0.05999		23.57	25.53	152.50	1709.0	0.1444	0.4245	0.4504	0.24
3	11.42	20.38	77.58	386.1	0.14250	0.28390	0.2414	0.10520	0.2597	0.09744		14.91	26.50	98.87	567.7	0.2098	0.8663	0.6869	0.25
4	20.29	14.34	135.10	1297.0	0.10030	0.13280	0.1980	0.10430	0.1809	0.05883		22.54	16.67	152.20	1575.0	0.1374	0.2050	0.4000	0.16
5 rows × 30 columns																			

