The write-up of our experiment using generators with & without augmented data and our analysis.

Experiment Number	Model	Result	Decision + Explanation
1	Conv3D	Total Params: 687813 Training Accuracy: 0.5309 Validation Accuracy: 0.1700	- As we see from the experiments "image resolution" and number of frames in sequence have more impact on training time than batch_size can consider the Batch Size around 15-40 - resolution (120,120) of images
2	Conv3D	Total Params: 1736389 Training Accuracy: 0.6035 Validation Accuracy: 0.1500	- As we see from the experiments "image resolution" and number of frames in sequence have more impact on training time than batch_size can consider the Batch Size around 15-40 - resolution (160, 160) of images
3	Conv3D	Total Params: 1,117,06 Training Accuracy: 0.9414 Validation Accuracy: 0.2300	 Model is clearly overfitting. Adding a dropout layer in the next model will help to overcome overfitting.
4	Conv3D	Total Params: 3,638,981 Training Accuracy: 0.7426 Validation Accuracy: 0.2300	 We can see val_loss did not improve from 1.73641 so early stopping stops the epoch automatically!! Last Epoch stopped on 11/25!! Next we can try to reduce the filter size and image resolution and see if we get better results. Moreover since we see minor oscillations in loss.
5	Conv3D	Total Params: 2,556,533 Training Accuracy: 0.7637 Validation Accuracy: 0.2100	 Model is clearly overfitting. Adding dropouts has further reduced validation accuracy as the model doesn't seem to generalize well. All the experimental models above have more than 1 million parameters. We can try to reduce the model size to create & train a low memory footprint model and see the better performance.
6	CNN-LSTM	Total Params: 1,657,445 Training Accuracy: 0.9604 Validation Accuracy: 0.8300	 For CNN LSTM model we get a best validation accuracy of 83% Also the number of parameters is less compared to other models. LSTM tackles gradient vanishing by ignoring useless data/information in the network.

7	Conv3D with Data Augmentation	Total Params: 2,556,533 Training Accuracy: 0.6487 Validation Accuracy: 0.2200	- Model is clearly overfitting. - Adding more layers, reduced network parameters can help us to tackle overfitting to some extent.
8	CNN-LSTM GRU with Data Augmentation	Total Params: 2,573,925 Training Accuracy: 0.9165 Validation Accuracy: 0.6800	 Model performance is improved & considerable. However, the number of parameters is quite high compared to CNN-LSTM. We see that overfitting is considerably high when we do more augmentation. However there is not much improvement on accuracy
9	Transfer Learning with GRU	Total Params: 3,693,253 Training Accuracy: 0.9964 Validation Accuracy: 0.9500	 Model performance is Awesome. However, the number of parameters is quite high compared to CNN-LSTM. We see that overfitting is considerably high when we do more augmentation. However there is not much improvement on accuracy

Conclusion:

Based on our Experiment using generator with and without augmented data and our analysis:

We can see LSTM networks combat the RNN's vanishing gradients or long-term dependence issue. Gradient vanishing refers to the loss of information in a neural network as connections recur over a longer period. In simple words, LSTM tackles gradient vanishing by ignoring useless data/information in the network.

Here is the details result of CNN-LSTM Model:

Total Params: 1,657,445
Training Accuracy: 0.9604
Validation Accuracy: 0.8300

Hence, we considered the CNN-LSTM model is the best model, with below given weight for model testing.

The best weights of CNN-LSTM: model-00020-0.14990-0.96003-0.64083-0.83000.h5 (20 MB).