

My Progress

MonoHiggs to $b\bar{b}$

Prayag Yadav

Last updated: 2024-01-02 11:05:51+05:30

University of Hyderabad


Table of contents

- 1. Thu, 5th October 2023

 Basic kinematic plots (Without any scale factors or corrections)
- 2. Thu, 26th October 2023 MET Filters / MET Flags
- 3. Tue, 2nd January 2024 Contribution of various backgrounds:Resolved;2018

Basic kinematic plots


BTag Scores: MC



- Btagger used : btagDeepFlavB
- Sample used:MonoHTobb_ZpBaryonic
- Lots of bjets in Signal MC

Figure 1: BTag score for signal MC sample


BTag Scores: Data



- Btagger used : btagDeepFlavB
- Sample used: Run2018A/MET
- Less number of bjets in Data

Figure 2: BTag score for Data samples

Jet p_t : MC



- Basic selections : $p_t > 25 GeV$ and $|\eta| < 2.5$
- Btagger used : btagDeepFlavB
- Sample used: MonoHTobb_ZpBaryonic
- Medium Weight Parameter used for ak4bjets: 0.3040

Figure 3: Jet p_t of signal MC samples

Jet p_t : Data




Figure 4: Jet p_t of Data samples

- Basic selections : $p_t > 25 GeV$ and $|\eta| < 2.5$
- Btagger used : btagDeepFlavB
- Sample used: Run2018A/MET
- Medium Weight Parameter used for ak4bjets: 0.3040
- Not as predictable as signal MC

DiJet mass: MC




Figure 5: DiJet mass of signal MC samples

- Basic selections : $p_t > 25 GeV$ and $|\eta| < 2.5$ for each jet
- Btagger used : btagDeepFlavB
- Sample used:MonoHTobb_ZpBaryonic
- Medium Weight Parameter used for ak4bjets selection: 0.3040
- Peaks around SM Higgs mass

DiJet mass: Data





Figure 6: DiJet mass of Data samples

- Basic selections : $p_t > 25 GeV$ and $|\eta| < 2.5$ for each jet
- Btagger used:btagDeepFlavB
- Sample used: Run2018A/MET
- Medium Weight Parameter used for ak4bjets selection: 0.3040
- Lot of noise, no clear structure


$MET p_t : MC$



 No filters or Trigger applied

Figure 7: MET p_t for signal MC samples

MET p_t : Data




- No filters or Trigger applied
- Looks similar to the Jet data

Figure 8: MET p_t for Data samples

MET Filters

MET p_t : MET2018A




 Compared how the MET pt looks with and without MET triggers on Data

•

Figure 9: MET p_t for MET2018A


MET ϕ : MET2018A



- Compared how the MET ϕ looks with and without MET triggers
- .jf

Figure 10: MET ϕ for MET2018A


MET p_t : MonoHtobb_ZpBaryonic



- Compared how the MET p_t looks with and without MET triggers on Signal MC
- · .jf

Figure 11: MET p_t for MonoHtobb_ZpBaryonic

MET ϕ : MonoHTobb_ZpBaryonic



• Compared how the MET ϕ looks with and without MET triggers on Signal MC

•

Figure 12: MET ϕ for MC

Contribution of various

backgrounds:Resolved;2018

Contribution of various backgrounds: Resolved $b\bar{b}$ bar in 2018




Figure 13: Simulated contribution of various backgrounds to the signal in the resolved $b\bar{b}$ bar case for 2018

Selections Applied: Event Selections:

- MET > 200 GeV
- · no leptons
- · no photons

Object Selections:

- jet $p_t > 30 \text{ GeV}$
- jet $|\eta| < 2.5$
- Δφ(Jet, MET)
- at least 2 tight bjets (algorithm:DeepFlavB)
- leading bjet p_f > 50 GeV
- subleading bjet p_t > 30 GeV
- atmost 2 additional jets
- · dijet = leading bjet + subleading bjet
- · dijet mass between (100 GeV,150 GeV)
- dijet p_t > 100 GeV

References i