

1. The first method that I implemented was constructing Huffman tree. While implementing this method first I created an arraylist of tree nodes. I iterated through string literals and assigned each tree nodes value to be equal to the value of string literals, and I set the frequency of the tree node to be the frequency of each string literal. This took $O(n)$ time. Then I created a priority queue for tree nodes using comparator which took $O(n \log n)$ time. After that I created a Huffman tree with the help of priority queue using recursion, and this took $O(n \log n)$ time. Finally, I populated my hashmap using string literal as key, and Huffman code as my value which I found by traversing Huffman tree. This took $O(n \log n)$ time as well. Overall my time complexity for this method was $O(n \log n)$.
2. The second method that I implemented was encode. In this method, I traversed through each string literal in the message, and I looked for its corresponding value in the hashmap. After finding the value I concatenated all the values. Looking value in the hashmap took constant time, concatenating took constant time, and traversing through the message took $O(n)$ time. Thus, my overall time complexity for this method was $O(n)$ time.
3. The third method that I implemented was decode. In this method, I traversed through the message, and while traversing depending upon the bits I got I went left or right in the Huffman tree starting from the root of the Huffman tree which took constant time. When I found a leaf, I read the value and concatenated that to returning decoded message, and I started from root again to get another string literal. Since I traversed through the binary code once, my overall running time for this algorithm was $O(n)$.
4. We are using Huffman tree which is a binary tree to encode the given message. All the letters in the message appear as leaves in Huffman tree. For any letter x in the message, in order to encode we follow the path that goes from node to the leaf which is labeled x . While traversing if the path goes from node to left child we write 0 and if the path goes to right child we write 1. Finally, the resulting string bits gives the encoding for x . Now, the proof which shows that my encoding is prefix free is as follows:

Proof by Contradiction:

Suppose letter x has an encoding which is a prefix of the encoding of letter y in my Huffman Tree. Then the path from root to x is the prefix of the path from root to y . This also means that x lies in the path from root to y . This is a contradiction since this shows that x would not be a leaf in my Huffman tree, and as I mentioned before all the letters appear as leaves in the Huffman Tree. Thus, this shows that my encoding is prefix free.