



Rajkot Smart City Development Limited

Room No. 10, Shri Harisinhji Gohil Zonal Office, West zone, behind Big Bazaar,

Rajkot – 360005 Email- rmc.smartcity@gmail.com

	Rajkot Smart City Development Limited, Room No. 10, Shri Harisinhji Gohil Zonal Office, West zone, behind Big Bazaar, Rajkot – 360005	
Addendum and Corrigendum II		
"Request for Proposal for Selection of Master System Integrator for Implementation of Smart Solutions in Rajkot City"		
RFP no - RSCDL/SMART CITY/05/2017-18 System Tender no -		
With reference to the RFP No.: RSCDL/SMART CITY/05/2017-18, Dated: 09/05/2018 & Addendum & Corrigendum I, Dated: <28/04/2018> the following Addendum and Corrigendum is made:		

1. Addendum and Corrigendum II

1.1. Terms and Conditions

The Bidders are requested to take note of the following changes made in the RFP documents, which are to be taken in to account while submitting the RFP. They shall be presumed to have done so and submitted the RFP accordingly.

- This Addendum and Corrigendum shall be the part of the RFP documents.
- All items specified in this Addendum and Corrigendum supersede relevant items to that effect as provided in the original RFP documents. All other specifications, terms and conditions of the original RFP document shall remain unchanged.
- The Amendments to certain clauses / terms as stated in Annexure – A, are made in the RFP.
- Annexure – B refers to the changes made in the Proposed Bill of Material
- Clarifications and replies to the all queries received from prospective bidders are as per Annexure-C.

CONFIDENTIAL

1.2. Annexure A: Amendments to certain clauses / terms of tender

Bidder shall read and consider following points, which shall be a part of the RFP documents.

CONFIDENTIAL

Sr No	Clause No.	Page No.	Original Clause	Revised Clause																		
1.	Volume I, 3.5.1, Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria Clause # B3 Corrigendum I Pt 11	Page 6 of 44 (Corrigendum I)	<p>The bidder (or any Consortium member) having expertise and experience in implementation of at least 1 ERP project of value not less than INR 10 Crore in last seven years ending on 31st Dec 2017) will get 5.5 marks For every additional ERP project (worth at least INR 10 Cr.), the bidder will get 1 mark subject to a maximum of 7.5 marks. For the additional project - OEM citations will be accepted; however one project which fetches 5.5 marks has to be executed by lead bidder or member of consortium. In absence of evidence of one project executed by the lead bidder or consortium member – no marks will be awarded for this criteria.</p> <table><tr><th>No of Projects</th><th>Marks</th></tr><tr><td>>=3</td><td>7.5</td></tr><tr><td>=2</td><td>6.5</td></tr><tr><td>=1</td><td>5.5</td></tr></table>	No of Projects	Marks	>=3	7.5	=2	6.5	=1	5.5	<p>The bidder (or any Consortium member) having expertise and experience in implementation of at least 1 ERP project of value not less than INR 10 Crore in last seven years ending on 31st Dec 2017) will get 3 marks</p> <p>For every additional ERP project (worth at least INR 10 Cr.), the bidder will get 1 mark subjectto a maximum of 5 marks.</p> <p>For the additional projects - OEM citations will be accepted; however one project which fetches 3 marks has to be executed by lead bidder or member of consortium.</p> <p>In absence of evidence of one project worth 3 marks executed by the lead bidder or consortium member – NO marks will be awarded for this criteria.</p> <p>ERP OEM's that shall be amongst the top 5 for world-wide market share in terms of revenue as per IDC latest published quarterly report, shall receive an additional 2.5 marks.</p> <p>Maximum possible marks under this criteria will be a combined 7.5 marks.</p> <table><tr><th>No of Projects</th><th>Marks</th></tr><tr><td>>=3</td><td>5</td></tr><tr><td>=2</td><td>4</td></tr><tr><td>=1</td><td>3</td></tr><tr><td>IDC top 5</td><td>2.5</td></tr></table>	No of Projects	Marks	>=3	5	=2	4	=1	3	IDC top 5	2.5
No of Projects	Marks																					
>=3	7.5																					
=2	6.5																					
=1	5.5																					
No of Projects	Marks																					
>=3	5																					
=2	4																					
=1	3																					
IDC top 5	2.5																					
2.	Volume II, Part 2 4.5.11 Database License	15 of 311	Bidder needs to provide Licensed RDBMS, enterprise/full version as required for the all	The bidder needs to provide licensed enterprise version of RDBMS for the all proposed system. The RDBMS shall follow all standard industry norms for performance, data security, Authentication and shall be exportable in to XML.																		

3

Addendum and Corrigendum – RFP for Selection of MSI for Implementation of Smart Solutions in Rajkot City

			proposed system and following all standard industry norms for performance, data security, Authentication and database shall be exportable in to XML.	
3.	Volume II, Part 1 ROW & RI	74 of 305		The bidder will be responsible for co-ordination with various city agencies and State Government Departments & utilities whenever and wherever necessary on the behalf of RSCDL for the purpose Of survey. In case of major problems, RSCDL will assist. This includes taking permission, clearance after restoring back the works & handing over. RSCDL/RMC will give ROW permission without charges. The restoration work will be done by the bidder no compensation will be provided for the same.
4.	Volume II, Part 2 5.7.8.8.1 Role and Responsibilities of System Integrator (SI) 5. Vandalism Insurance	161 of 311	Insure the entire hardware deployed at various locations for the entire duration of the agreement against vandalism, theft, fire and lightening etc.	Terms of the RFP remain unchanged.
5.	Corrigendum I Additional Clause – OEM Citation	6 of 44	One OEM can participate with only one Consortium	This clause stands deleted
6.	Minutes of Pre-Bid Meeting held on 27.03.18 Pt 721 7.9 Bill of Material DG set		DG Set (IT Load Only)	DG set is required and the relevant line item from BoQ stands reinstated , as under RFP Vol I, 7.9 Proposed Bill of Materials, pt G.32
7.	Volume II Part 1 3.5 Integrated Transport Management System- NPCI guideline	37 of 305		The system provided by the Master System Integrator should support the NCMC Cards based digital payments . The customers should be able to use NCMC cards issued by any certified Bank for payments.

8.	Corrigendum I – Annexure B 615: Volume 1 – Instructions to Bidders	301 of 464	As a part of the scope, all bidders will have to carry out a POC for 1 Sq. KM or line sections having turns, cross junctions and having utility networks of interests as identified by RSCDL. The POC will be carried out by the bidder before the final Technical submission of this RFP.	Underground utility survey POC is part of the overall POC and therefore must be conducted after the submission of technical bid
9.	RFP Vol I/Clause No. 2.11.1/Sr. No. 2 “Pre- Qualification criteria/ Turnover of Bidder”	17 of 103	<p>The average annual Turnover (TO) in any of the 3 out of last 7 financial years(FY 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16, 2016-17) should be:</p> <p>‘Average Turn Over (TO) for Last 3 years shall be Rs. 500 cr.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - For Sole Bidder – 500 Cr - For Consortium <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Lead Bidder should have minimum 60% of TO o Other members must have minimum 25 cr. o All members together 	<p>The average annual Turnover (TO) in any of the 3 out of last 7 financial years(FY 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16, 2016-17) should be:</p> <p>‘Average Turn Over (TO) for Last 3 years shall be Rs. 500 cr.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - For Sole Bidder – 500 Cr - For Consortium <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Lead Bidder should have minimum 60% of TO o Other members must have minimum 12.5 cr. o All members together should have meet 500 Cr TO requirement

			should have meet 500 Cr TO requirement																					
10.	Server/Networking Rack Specifications	Page 299 of 586	Outdoor type air-conditioning unit with Redundancy – 10kW or more	Outdoor type air-conditioning unit with Redundancy – 7kW or more to be provided.																				
11.	Vol II Payment Milestones			O&M for individual request orders before final project go live will be paid on pro-rata basis																				
12.	Corrigendum I - Payment milestones		Payment Milestones M2.5, M3.4, M4.4	<table><tr><th colspan="4">Payment Milestones for the Implementation % Payment of Time Schedule</th></tr><tr><th>Milestones</th><th>Phase</th><th>Payment Schedule</th><th>Time schedule</th></tr><tr><td>M2.5</td><td>Request Order 1 – Three months of successful operation and Maintenance after Project Acceptance</td><td>10% of Request Order 1 Value (Capex Cost)</td><td>D1+9 Months</td></tr><tr><td>M3.4</td><td>Request Order 2 – Three months of successful operation and Maintenance after Project Acceptance</td><td>10% of Request Order 1 Value (Capex Cost)</td><td>D2+9 Months</td></tr><tr><td>M4.4</td><td>Request Order 3 – Three months of successful operation and Maintenance after Project Acceptance</td><td>10% of Request Order 1 Value (Capex Cost)</td><td>D3+9 Months</td></tr></table>	Payment Milestones for the Implementation % Payment of Time Schedule				Milestones	Phase	Payment Schedule	Time schedule	M2.5	Request Order 1 – Three months of successful operation and Maintenance after Project Acceptance	10% of Request Order 1 Value (Capex Cost)	D1+9 Months	M3.4	Request Order 2 – Three months of successful operation and Maintenance after Project Acceptance	10% of Request Order 1 Value (Capex Cost)	D2+9 Months	M4.4	Request Order 3 – Three months of successful operation and Maintenance after Project Acceptance	10% of Request Order 1 Value (Capex Cost)	D3+9 Months
Payment Milestones for the Implementation % Payment of Time Schedule																								
Milestones	Phase	Payment Schedule	Time schedule																					
M2.5	Request Order 1 – Three months of successful operation and Maintenance after Project Acceptance	10% of Request Order 1 Value (Capex Cost)	D1+9 Months																					
M3.4	Request Order 2 – Three months of successful operation and Maintenance after Project Acceptance	10% of Request Order 1 Value (Capex Cost)	D2+9 Months																					
M4.4	Request Order 3 – Three months of successful operation and Maintenance after Project Acceptance	10% of Request Order 1 Value (Capex Cost)	D3+9 Months																					

1.3. Annexure B: Amended BoQ items

SI#	Line Item	Quantity	Unit of Measurement	Make	Model	Full Compliance with RFP
1	8.2 Price Component Implementation Cost I.5 Implementation of E-Governance Solution Pg 83/103 (RFP Vol I)	1	Unit			
2	8.2 Price Component Implementation Cost I.6 Man month rate of Data Entry Operators Pg 83/103 (RFP Vol I)	1	Unit			

Cyber Security Requirements

SI#	Line Item	Quantity	Unit of Measurement	Make	Model	Full Compliance with RFP
1	DAM -Database Access Monitoring	1	Lump-Sum			
2	AV Solution (based on number of users)	150	Number			
	AV Solution (based on number of Servers)	1	Lump-Sum			
3	PKI Solution	1	Lump-Sum			

Addendum and Corrigendum – RFP for Selection of MSI for Implementation of Smart Solutions in Rajkot City

4	DLP Solution(End point, Web, Network, File Share)	1	Lump-Sum			
5	HSM	1	Number			
6	DDOS Protection	1	Number			
7	SIEM	1	Number			

Smart Parking

SI#	Line Item	Quantity	Unit of Measurement	Make	Model	Full Compliance with RFP
1	Networking cost for smart parking	1	Lump-Sum			

1.4. Annexure C: Clarifications & Reply to Queries

Bidder shall read and consider following points, which shall be a part of the RFP documents.

	Query received from	RFP Document Clause/Section Number	Clause Title	Page No	Content of the RFP Requiring Clarification	Clarification Sought	Response
1	Honeywell	Vol II Part 1	4.3 Cyber Security	246 of 286	Cyber security requirements across various domains as mentioned under the relevant section is required to be arranged by the bidder. These include the below mentioned areas however it is not limited to the list below: Network Security Application Security Data Security IoT Device Security Electronic Medical Record Security Cyber Security Governance	We request you to incorporate the same in Bill of material so that all functional requirement of Cyber security can be met and also specifications should be more generalized as per guidelines. We also would like to highlight that it has a major price implications and no clarity given in BOQ, hence to keep everyone at Par either remove the same or quantify the same in BOQ.	Please refer to Annexure B of this document

Addendum and Corrigendum – RFP for Selection of MSI for Implementation of Smart Solutions in Rajkot City

2	Honeywell	Vol II Part 2	4.5.11 Database license	15 of 311	Bidder needs to provide Licensed RDBMS, enterprise/full version as required for the all proposed system and following all standard industry norms for performance, data security, authentication and database shall be exportable in to XML.	Bidder should take RDBMS license as per their solution, if not required should not take enterprise version of DB.	Please refer Corrigendum II
3	Honeywell	Corrigendum I		6 of 44	One OEM can participate with ONE consortium	We understand that One consortium partner can participate with One bidder only and they can't participate with other bidder neither as consortium partner nor as OEM. Kindly clarify, However other OEMs like networking, OS can participate with multiple vendors.	Please refer Corrigendum II
4	Honeywell	Vol-II Part 1	ROW and RI	74 of 305	The bidder will be responsible for co-ordination with various city agencies and State Government Departments & utilities whenever and wherever necessary on the behalf of RSCDL for the purpose	Contradiction statement in tender and corrigendum documents as some place its written that ROW and RI is in bidder scope and some place its in RSCDL case, however we would like to highlight and as per other tender of smart cities, ROW and RI is always in customer's scope. Kindly clarify the same.	Please refer Corrigendum II

Addendum and Corrigendum – RFP for Selection of MSI for Implementation of Smart Solutions in Rajkot City

5	Honeywell	Corrigendum I	DG Set		DG set not required	Corrigendum state that DG set is not required, however BOQ line item still there for the same. Kindly remove from BOQ as well.	Refer Corrigendum II
6	Honeywell	VOL II - Part 2	Vandalism	465 of 615	Insure entire hardware against Vandalism, theft, fire and lightening	We would like to highlight that no insurance company insure against vandalism, hence we request you to keep vandalism as part of force majeure and remove from here.	The terms of the RFP remain unchanged.
7	Honeywell	VOL II - Part 1	ERP	106 of 305	RMC will Purchase only a subset of Core ERP licenses during the implementation phase.	We request you to incorporate OEM criteria for ERP license so that only qualified OEM can participate. e.g. Few criteria is given below : 1. Minimum 5 ERP SI partners who are certified by OEM to work in Government / PSU /ULB as on date of issue of the RFP. 2. The ERP OEM should have at least one development center in India. OEM development centers across world should have minimum 1000 developers involved in ERP product development.	The terms of RFP remain unchanged
8	Honeywell	VOL II - Part 2	Vandalism	465 of 615	Insure entire hardware against Vandalism, theft, fire and lightening	We would like to highlight that no insurance company insure against vandalism, hence we request you to keep vandalism as part of force majeure and remove from here.	The terms of the RFP remain unchanged.

Addendum and Corrigendum – RFP for Selection of MSI for Implementation of Smart Solutions in Rajkot City

9	Honeywell	VOL II - Part 2	Vandalism	465 of 615	Insure entire hardware against Vandalism, theft, fire and lightening	We would like to highlight that no insurance company insure against vandalism, hence we request you to keep vandalism as part of force majeure and remove from here.	The terms of the RFP remain unchanged.
10	Honeywell	VOL II - Part 2	Enterprise Resource Planning	493 of 615	Payment Milestone	ERP license is required from beginning and its bundle package and cant split in Nos. of users, hence we request you to change payment terms of EPR and pay 100% license cost on delivery of license which is within 4~6 weeks, time from WO.	The terms of RFP remains unchanged
11	Honeywell	Corrigendum – General	O&M & Payments Terms			We understand that payment for last milestone of Request Order 1 - i.e. 3 months of O&M will be released after 3 months go live of Request Order 1 and O&M will start immediate after GO Live. Same will be applicable to Request Order 2 & 3. Kindly clarify that our understanding is clear	Refer Corrigendum II
12	Highbar Technocrat	VOL II - Part 2	ERP	493 of 615	Payment milestone	we request department to pay 100% of license supply cost on delivery of license	The terms of RFP remains unchanged

Addendum and Corrigendum – RFP for Selection of MSI for Implementation of Smart Solutions in Rajkot City

13	Highbar Technocrat	VOL II - Part 2	ERP	493 of 615	Payment milestone	we request department to amend the procurement schedules as : 1) Schedule of license procurement needs to be amended to 12th week instead of 42 weeks; as the license will be required for solution finalization and start of system related work from this period 2) Schedule of hardware procurement needs to be amended to 12th week instead of 28 weeks	The terms of RFP remains unchanged
14	Highbar Technocrat	VOL II - Part 2	ERP	493 of 615	Payment milestone	we request department to revise the ERP implementation payment milestone as below:	The terms of RFP remains unchanged
15	Highbar Technocrat	Vol I	7.9 Proposed Bill of Material	74 of 103	ERP License Cost F.1 - Supply and Activation of ERP Licenses - 100 Nos F.2 - Supply and Activation of Workflow and File Management System & DMS - 100 Nos F.3 - Supply and Activation of Automatic Building Plan and Drawing approval Solution - 1 No F.4 - Supply and Activation of	Apart from Login ID, transaction in ADD-Module / components are required. Department is requested to clarify how to SI should incorporate these additional license BOM Item in the "Commercial Bid ICC & Smart Solution".	Refer to Annexure B: Amended BoQ Items of this Corrigendum

Addendum and Corrigendum – RFP for Selection of MSI for Implementation of Smart Solutions in Rajkot City

					Employee Self Services Licenses - 2250 No F.5 - Supply and Activation of Payroll Licenses - 7500 Nos		
16	Highbar Technocrat	Vol I	7.9 Proposed Bill of Material	74 of 103	ERP License Cost F.1 - Supply and Activation of ERP Licenses - 100 Nos F.2 - Supply and Activation of Workflow and File Management System & DMS - 100 Nos F.3 - Supply and Activation of Automatic Building Plan and Drawing approval Solution - 1 No F.4 - Supply and Activation of Employee Self Services Licenses - 2250 No F.5 - Supply and Activation of Payroll Licenses - 7500 Nos	E-Gov (COT / NON COT) license requirement is not incorporated in "Commercial Bid ICCC & Smart Solution". We request Department to clarify How this cost to be incorporated in "Commercial Bid ICCC & Smart Solution".	Recently the Govt. Of Gujarat has implemented the online building permission. So the scope is limited to only integration of online building permission with ERP and ICCC.
17	L&T	Addendum and Corrigendum Dated 21.04.2018	Clause No.41	Page 15 of 44	The bidder shall be paid 65% of the commercial bid value as part of Implementation and integration phase while remaining 35% will be paid as part of O&M phase	We request you to amend the clause as mentioned below The bidder shall be paid 80% of the commercial bid value as part of Implementation and integration phase while remaining 20% will be paid as part of O&M phase	The terms of RFP remains unchanged

18	L&T	Volume 1 – Instructions to Bidders	2.11.1 Pre-Qualification criteria	Page 19 of 103	<p>8. The sole bidder OR the Lead bidder and all member of the Consortium should not be blacklisted by any Central / State Government Department and Central/State Public Sector Units (PSUs) in India in last three years as on the bid submission date</p>	<p>we understand as per Clause No. 3.5.1 Note 1B. (Page 36 of 103) the Bidders can use their subsidiary's credentials for Pre-Qualification and Technical Evaluation Criteria hence we request you to kindly amend the clause as mentioned below</p> <p>The sole bidder OR the Lead bidder and all member of the Consortium including their parent/ subsidiary/ associate company should not be blacklisted by any Central / State Government Department and Central/State Public Sector Units (PSUs) in India in last three years as on the bid submission date</p>	<p>The terms of the RFP remain unchanged. However RSCDL reserves the right to take stern action against any bidder/subsidiary found to be in violation of the blacklisting clause.</p>
19	L&T	Volume 1 – Instructions to Bidders	1.4. Fact sheet	Page 9 of 103	<p>As a part of the scope, all bidders will have to carry out a POC for 1 Sq. KM or line sections having turns, cross junctions and having utility networks of interests as identified by RSCDL. The POC will be carried out by the bidder before the final Technical submission of this RFP.</p>	<p>We request you to combine the utility Survey POC along with technical POC after submission of bid.</p>	<p>Please refer Corrigendum II</p>

Addendum and Corrigendum – RFP for Selection of MSI for Implementation of Smart Solutions in Rajkot City

20	L&T	Volume 1 – Instructions to Bidders	2.30 Right to vary quantity	Page 28 of 103	a. At the time of award of contract, the quantity of goods, works or services originally specified in the bidding documents may be increased. It shall be without any change in the unit prices or other terms and conditions of the Bid and the bidding documents.	As % of Quantity variation not specified in the RFP hence we request you to limit the quantity variation as + or - 10% of Bill of Quantity	The terms of RFP remains unchanged
21	L&T	Addendum and Corrigendum Dated 21.04.2018	Clause No.8 Additional Clause	Page 5 of 44	<p>The bidder (or any Consortium member) should have experience of implementing the following scope in last 7 years ending 31st Dec 2017</p> <p>At least 20 semi actuated or fully actuated or centrally controlled traffic signals with a centralized software system</p>	<p>We request you to kindly amend the clause as mentioned below</p> <p>The bidder (or any Consortium member) should have experience of implementing the following scope in last 7 years ending 31st Dec 2017</p> <p>At least 10 semi actuated or fully actuated or centrally controlled traffic signals with a centralized software system</p>	The terms of RFP remains unchanged
22	L&T	Addendum and Corrigendum Dated 21.04.2018	Clause No.9 Additional Clause – Documentary evidence	Page 5 of 44	<p>Documentary evidence (Citation, Copy of completion / Ongoing client certificate and work order / Contract)</p> <p>Note: In case project is on-</p>	<p>We request you to kindly amend the clause as mentioned below</p> <p>Documentary evidence (Citation, Copy of completion / Ongoing client certificate and work order / Contract)</p> <p>Note:</p>	The terms of RFP remains unchanged

					<p>going a certificate from the project Implementing Authority has to be provided mentioning that 50% of Capex complete.</p> <p>In case the experience shown is that of the bidder's parent / subsidiary /sister concern company (Minimum ownership of parent company should be 50%), then the following additional documents are required: Certificate signed by the Company Secretary/statutory Auditor/2 Board of Directors of the bidder certifying that the entity whose experience is shown is parent/subsidiary/sister concern Company. Shareholding pattern of the bidding entity as per audit reports</p>	<p>1. In case project is on-going a certificate from the project Implementing Authority has to be provided mentioning that 50% of Capex complete. project is under Implementation</p> <p>2. For Ongoing project – Work order/contract agreement required. Ongoing work experience will be considered, only if Agreement has been signed and notice to proceed with work has been issued by the Authority 4 months prior to the bid submission date</p> <p>In case the experience shown is that of the bidder's parent / subsidiary /sister concern company (Minimum ownership of parent company should be 50%), then the following additional documents are required: Certificate signed by the Company Secretary/statutory Auditor/2 Board of Directors of the bidder certifying that the entity whose experience is shown is parent/subsidiary/sister concern Company. Shareholding pattern of the bidding entity as per audit reports</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Addendum and Corrigendum – RFP for Selection of MSI for Implementation of Smart Solutions in Rajkot City

23	L&T	Volume 2 RFP	Clause 3.3, point c	Page 23 of 615	Provisioning of bandwidth will not be included in the scope of the Implementation vendor; however entire network backbone shall be provided by RSCDL	We understand connectivity from service provider POP to junction locations will be provided by RSCDL. Please confirm.	Yes the understanding is correct
24	L&T	General	General	General	General	We understand bidder has to provide new ICCC software for this project. Procurement of additional license or utilising the existing ICCC software is not acceptable. Please confirm our understanding is correct.	RMC has already implemented ICCC. Bidder shall proposed new platform for ICCC, however RSCDL deserves right to implement new platform or use existing platform for ICCC.
25	L&T	Addendum & Corrigendum	Point 47	Page 17 of 44	In addition to the applicable penalty, the RSCDL shall be within its rights to invoke the termination clause if or anytime the penalty increases by 20% of the OPEX value, RSCDL would also have the right to invoke the termination clause and debarred for three years for any work in RSCDL/ RMC	We request amend the clause as mentioned below: In addition to the applicable penalty, the RSCDL shall be within its rights to invoke the termination clause if or anytime the penalty increases to 20% of the OPEX value, RSCDL would also have the right to invoke the termination clause and also invoke Performance Bank Guarantee	The terms of RFP remains unchanged
26	Tata Communications	RFP Volume II Part 1	4.3.7 Cloud Security Requirements Compliance	Pg 272 of 305	Certification/Compliance: Provisional Empanelment of Cloud Service Offerings CSPs facilities/services shall	While we are already compliant with the relevant CSP/Cloud Security related certifications like ISO 27001, ISO 27018, ISO 20000-9, ISO/IEC 20000-1 & PCI DSS, etc. Some of these Certification documents are still awaited from the concerned	The bidder shall provide a self-declaration stating that the requisite certifications will be provided before the work order is issued. The bidder then needs to

					be certified to be compliant to the following standards: ISO 27001, ISO 27018, ISO 20000-9, ISO/IEC 20000-1 & PCI DSS - The CSP/Service Provider shall meet all the security requirements indicated in the IT Act 2000	Certification Authorities which could take upto June – July 2018 time frame. Since the lead time for the Cloud DR is 6 months from the issue of the Request order 1, the Rajkot Smart city will not be impacted considering that CSPs shall provide the necessary certification copies before release of the request order by Rajkot Smart City Ltd.	ensure the requisite certifications as stated in the RFP under Cloud Security Requirements are available before issuance of the work order by RSCDL.
27	SECON	Addendum and Corrigendum Point no 10.	Additional Clause – OEM citation	Pg 6 of 44	One OEM can participate with only one consortium	There are not many OEMs available in the market who can fulfill the required functionality compliance matrix of RFP, especially for GIS Software platform & others. By this clause, competition shall be limited to only 1 or 2 OEMs associating with respective 1 Or 2 consortiums & other remaining consortiums will be left with no options to meet the RFP requirement for OEM. Hence we request to modify this clause to allow OEMs to participate with more than one consortium.	Refer Corrigendum II

Addendum and Corrigendum – RFP for Selection of MSI for Implementation of Smart Solutions in Rajkot City

28	SECON	Addendum and Corrigendum Point no 38.	Vol II, 4.7.1 Functionality	Pg 13 of 44	Page 373 of RFP: Please follow coding to answer the compliance matrix given as below: Column A coding Column B coding Column C coding	GIS Functionality Matrix has 45 parameters. Kindly confirm if there are any weightage while technical marking based on the number of parameters complied out of total 45 & whether is it mandatory to fulfill all the 45 parameters? Kindly confirm.	Bidders are encouraged to comply with as many parameters as possible.
29	SECON	Addendum and Corrigendum, Point no 12.	Volume I, 3.5.1, Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria Clause # B4	Pg 6 of 44	For every additional Enterprise GIS For the additional project - OEM citations will be accepted;	Instead of OEM citations, we request department to consider only Experience Certificates/ citations issued from the actual Clients directly i.e. from municipal corporation/ government agencies/ semigovernment establishments /PSU/Smart Cities, etc as this can be easily verified and are more authentic as certificates are issued directly from the project owner/ client.	The terms of RFP remains unchanged
30	SECON	Addendum and Corrigendum, Point no 976	Vol-II Part-1, Town Planning, RFP page-62 & 63	399	The details are as following: AutoCAD files available 26.09 Sq Km Hard Copy and no digital file available - 23.78 Sq km	Kindly clarify that whether Bidder need to do total station survey for this 23.78sq.km area or can digitize the hard copy available in the scale & prepare the map?	Total station survey will be required for area which does not have digital file available
31	SECON	Addendum and Corrigendum, Point no 976	Vol-II Part-1, Town Planning, RFP page-62 & 63	399	The details are as following: AutoCAD files available 26.09 Sq Km Hard Copy and no digital file available - 23.78 Sq km	RFP page-63 of part-1 says, "Bidder has to also undertake survey for all the non-TP area under RSCDL boundary (130 Sq.km)". Hence kindly clarify if only field verification is required OR detail total station survey is required for this 130 Sq.km	Total station survey will be required for area which does not have digital file available

Addendum and Corrigendum – RFP for Selection of MSI for Implementation of Smart Solutions in Rajkot City

						Non-TP area.	
32	SECON	Addendum and Corrigendum, Point no 961 & 962	3.5.1 Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria	394 & 395	Bidder Queries regarding Underground utility survey: 1. 'Undertaking' 2. 'Final commercial evaluation. Department Reply: Refer Corrigendum	Both these clauses are not covered in the issued Corrigendum. Please clarify	The terms of the RFP remain unchanged
33	SECON	Addendum and Corrigendum, Point no 960	3.5.1 Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria, A1 Bidder Competence – Turnover	394	Bidder Query on Turnover: Department Reply: Refer Corrigendum	Turnover clause is not covered under Corrigendum. Kindly clarify.	Refer Corrigendum II
34	SECON	Addendum and Corrigendum, Point no 968	Vol-II Part-1, 3.8.6 Underground Utility Survey	396	Maximum Depth of various utilities under RSCDL/RMC jurisdiction is approximately around 7m.	Presently GPR is the only instrument available in the market that is capable of detecting metal & non-metal underground utilities and also has its own technological limitations/ challenges too. Signal penetration below the ground largely depends on the type of the soil, presence of moisture/ water, etc. Generally,	The terms of the RFP remain unchanged

						GPR is capable of detecting utilities upto 6 mtr & also utility surveys across India to various departments are carried out upto 6 mtr depending upon sub-surface strata. Hence we request RSCDL to consider utility survey for up to 6 mtr instead of 7 mtr. Please confirm	
35	Nascent	Addendum and Corrigendum, Point no 404	RFP Vol I/Clause No. 2.11.1/Sr. No. 2 “Pre-Qualification criteria/Turnover of Bidder”	17 of 103	<p>RFP Clause: The average annual Turnover (TO) in any of the 3 out of last 7 financial years(FY 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16, 2016-17) should be:</p> <p>‘Average Turn Over (TO) for Last 3 years shall be Rs. 500 cr.</p> <p>- For Sole Bidder – 500 Cr</p> <p>- For Consortium</p> <p>o Lead Bidder should have minimum 60% of TO</p> <p>o Other members must have minimum</p>	<p>“We are Gujarat based GIS solution provider company registered under MSME Scheme of GoI. We are providing GIS solutions in other smart cities of Gujarat and we are very much interested to participate in this tender as a GIS service provider. Since the RFP Vol I: clause no. 2.28 (Pg No. 27 of 103) promoting the inclusion of MSMEs in the project and to keep the spirit of the clause alive, we request you to give some relaxation in this clause and reduce the average annual turnover criteria requirement from consortium to 12.5 Cr. This will help us to participate in this tender and encourage several other smaller company to participate in the tendering process.”</p>	Refer Corrigendum II

					25 cr. o All members together should have meet 500 Cr TO requirement		
--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--

1.5 Annexure D: Clarification to Pre-Bid Queries

	RFP Document Clause/Section Number	Clause Title	Page No	Content of the RFP Requiring Clarification	Clarification Sought	Earlier Response	Current Response
1	Minutes of Pre-Bid Meeting held on 27.03.18 – Annexure B Pt 963 (1)	Proof of Concept (POC) for Underground Utility Survey	395/423	Proof of Concept (POC) for Underground Utility Survey	Will RMC / RSCDL provide soft copy of the base map for the 01 Sq. Km PILOT area along with necessary As Built drawings	Please refer to corrigendum for PoC guidelines	RSCDL shall provide all possible data (As built drawing/ Maps and Geospatial Data), available with RSCDL/RMC for the pilot area
2	Minutes of Pre-Bid Meeting held on 27.03.18 – Annexure B Pt 963 (2)	Proof of Concept (POC) for Underground Utility Survey	395/423	Proof of Concept (POC) for Underground Utility Survey	Kindly clarify the Pilot area, whether it is 01 sq.km or 1km since SOR is asked for per 'Road Km'. Sq. KM or line sections are different units. Hence kindly mention 1 unit of measurement to enable agency to estimate cost.	Please refer to corrigendum for PoC guidelines	RSCDL shall define the pilot area before the PoC

Addendum and Corrigendum – RFP for Selection of MSI for Implementation of Smart Solutions in Rajkot City

	RFP Document Clause/Section Number	Clause Title	Page No	Content of the RFP Requiring Clarification	Clarification Sought	Earlier Response	Current Response
3	Minutes of Pre-Bid Meeting held on 27.03.18 – Annexure B Pt 963 (3)	Proof of Concept (POC) for Underground Utility Survey	395/423	Proof of Concept (POC) for Underground Utility Survey	Kindly clarify the width of the Road to be survey for POC.	Please refer to corrigendum for PoC guidelines	The bidder is required to assess the same at the time of requirement gathering
4	Minutes of Pre-Bid Meeting held on 27.03.18 – Annexure B Pt 963 (4)	Proof of Concept (POC) for Underground Utility Survey	395/423	Proof of Concept (POC) for Underground Utility Survey	Will the area for POC shall be same for all the bidders & different area shall be allocated. Kindly confirm.	Please refer to corrigendum for PoC guidelines	The area for POC shall be same for all the bidders
5	Minutes of Pre-Bid Meeting held on 27.03.18 – Annexure B Pt 960	A1 Bidder Competence – Turnover	394/423	The bidder (lead bidder in case of consortium) having minimum turnover of 400 Cr. as on 31st March 2017 will get 3 out of total allocated marks.	In line with other criteria & general practice, We request department to kindly consider combined Turnover of Lead & JV/Consortium partner instead of considering only Lead partner's turnover as all the consortium shall jointly responsible & together execute the project.	Refer Corrigendum	The terms of the RFP remain unchanged

RFP Document Clause/Section Number	Clause Title	Page No	Content of the RFP Requiring Clarification	Clarification Sought	Earlier Response	Current Response
6	Minutes of Pre-Bid Meeting held on 27.03.18 – Annexure B Pt 961	3.5.1 Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria	394/423 4. If GIS Underground Survey PoC is deemed successful by RSCDL, the successful MSI shall have to match the lowest price quoted for per line km for sub surface (underground) utility mapping amongst the technically qualified bidders. An undertaking in the same respect should be provided by all the bidders (Refer annexure 10)	We request department to kindly delete this criteria as this is a 70:30 QCBS tender and wherein 6 marks have been assigned for POC of Underground utility survey in Bid evaluation criteria. Further it will be difficult for competent agency to match L1 rate if other agency quote very nonworkable rates.	Refer Corrigendum	The terms of the RFP remain unchanged
7	Minutes of Pre-Bid Meeting held on 27.03.18 – Annexure B Pt 962	3.5.1 Technical Bid Evaluation Criteria	395/423 3. Price quoted for underground GIS mapping shall not be considered in the final	Since it is a 70:30 QCBS tender wherein 6 marks have been assigned for POC of Underground utility survey, We request dept to consider underground GIS mapping as part of the final	Refer Corrigendum	The terms of the RFP remain unchanged

Addendum and Corrigendum – RFP for Selection of MSI for Implementation of Smart Solutions in Rajkot City

RFP Document Clause/Section Number	Clause Title	Page No	Content of the RFP Requiring Clarification	Clarification Sought	Earlier Response	Current Response
			commercial evaluation.	commercial evaluation.		

-----End of Document-----

CONFIDENTIAL